

**PRELIMINARY OFFICIAL STATEMENT DATED MARCH 21, 2023**

**NEW ISSUE – BOOK ENTRY ONLY**  
**RATINGS: S&P: (AGM Insured): “AA”/(Underlying): “A+”**  
**(See “RATINGS” herein.)**

*In the opinion of Dannis Woliver Kelley, Bond Counsel to the District, under existing law, interest on the Bonds is exempt from personal income taxes of the State of California, and, assuming continuing compliance after the date of initial delivery of the Bonds with certain covenants contained in the Resolution authorizing the Bonds and subject to the matters set forth under “TAX MATTERS” herein, interest on the Bonds for federal income tax purposes under existing statutes, regulations, published rulings, and court decisions will be excludable from the gross income of the owners thereof pursuant to section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended to the date of initial delivery of the Bonds, and will not be included in computing the alternative minimum taxable income of the owners thereof; however, interest on the Bonds is taken into account in determining annual adjusted financial statement income for the purpose of computing the alternative minimum tax imposed on certain corporations.. See “TAX MATTERS” herein.*

**\$2,575,000\***  
**SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT**  
**(STANISLAUS COUNTY, CALIFORNIA)**  
**GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS,**  
**2020 ELECTION, 2023 SERIES B**  
**(Bank Qualified)**

**Dated: Date of Delivery**

**Due: August 1, as shown on inside cover.**

The Salida Union School District (Stanislaus County, California) General Obligation Bonds, 2020 Election, 2023 Series B (the “Bonds”) are being issued by the Salida Union School District (the “District”) to finance the acquisition, construction, furnishing and equipping of District facilities, and to pay certain costs of issuance associated therewith, as more fully described herein under the caption “THE PROJECTS.” The Bonds were authorized at an election within the District held on November 6, 2020 (the “Election”) at which at least fifty-five percent of the registered voters voting on the proposition voted to authorize the issuance and sale of \$9,240,000 aggregate principal amount of general obligation bonds of the District (the “Authorization”). The Bonds are the second series of general obligation bonds issued under the Authorization and are issued on a parity basis with all other outstanding general obligation bonds of the District.

The Bonds are general obligations of the District only and are not obligations of the County of Stanislaus (the “County”), the State of California or any of its other political subdivisions. The Board of Supervisors of the County has the power and is obligated to levy and collect *ad valorem* property taxes without limitation as to rate or amount (except as to certain personal property which is taxable at limited rates), for each fiscal year upon the taxable property of the District in an amount at least sufficient, together with other moneys available for such purpose, to pay the principal of, and premium, if any, and interest on each Bond as the same becomes due and payable.

Interest on the Bonds is payable on February 1 and August 1 of each year, commencing August 1, 2023. See “THE BONDS” herein.

The Bonds will be issued in book-entry form only, in denominations of \$5,000 or integral multiples thereof. The Bonds will be initially registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee of The Depository Trust Company (“DTC”). Purchasers will not receive certificates representing their interests in the Bonds. Payments on the Bonds will be made by U.S. Bank Trust Company, National Association, as Paying Agent, to DTC for subsequent disbursement to DTC participants who will remit such payments to the beneficial owners of the Bonds. See “THE BONDS – Book-Entry Only System.”

The scheduled payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds when due will be guaranteed under an insurance policy to be issued concurrently with the delivery of the Bonds by ASSURED GUARANTY MUNICIPAL CORP (“AGM” or the “Insurer”). See “BOND INSURANCE” herein and “APPENDIX G- Specimen Municipal Bond Insurance Policy” hereto.

**The Bonds are subject to redemption prior to maturity as described herein.** See “THE BONDS – Redemption” herein.

**MATURITY SCHEDULE**  
**On Inside Cover**

**THIS COVER PAGE CONTAINS CERTAIN INFORMATION FOR QUICK REFERENCE ONLY. IT IS NOT A SUMMARY OF THIS ISSUE. INVESTORS MUST READ THE ENTIRE OFFICIAL STATEMENT TO OBTAIN INFORMATION ESSENTIAL TO THE MAKING OF AN INFORMED INVESTMENT DECISION.**

*The Bonds will be offered when, as and if issued and received by the Underwriter subject to the approval of legality by Dannis Woliver Kelley, Long Beach, California, Bond Counsel, and certain other conditions. Dannis Woliver Kelley, Long Beach, California, is acting as Disclosure Counsel for the District. Certain matters will be passed upon for the Underwriter by its counsel, Kutak Rock LLP, Irvine, California. It is anticipated that the Bonds will be available for delivery in definitive form in New York, New York, through the facilities of DTC on or about April 13, 2023.*



The Date of this Official Statement is: \_\_\_\_\_, 2023.

\* Preliminary; subject to change.

*This Preliminary Official Statement and the information contained herein are subject to completion or amendment. These securities may not be sold, nor may offers to buy them be accepted, prior to the time the Official Statement is delivered in final form. Under no circumstances shall this Preliminary Official Statement constitute an offer to sell or the solicitation of an offer to buy, nor shall there be any sale of these securities in any jurisdiction in which such offer, solicitation or sale would be unlawful prior to registration, qualification or filing under the securities laws of any such jurisdiction.*

**MATURITY SCHEDULE**

**\$2,575,000\***

**SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT  
(STANISLAUS COUNTY, CALIFORNIA)  
GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS, 2020 ELECTION, 2023 SERIES B  
(Bank Qualified)**

\$ \_\_\_\_\_ Serial Bonds

<u>Maturity (August 1)</u>	<u>Principal Amount</u>	<u>Interest Rate</u>	<u>Yield</u>	<u>CUSIP<sup>1</sup> (794692)</u>
2024				
2025				
2026				
2027				
2028				
2029				
2030				
2031				
2032				

\$ \_\_\_\_\_ % Term Bonds due August 1, 20\_\_; Yield \_\_\_\_\_%, CUSIP<sup>1</sup> 794692\_\_

\* Preliminary; subject to change.

<sup>1</sup> CUSIP® is a registered trademark of the American Bankers Association. CUSIP Global Services (CGS) is managed on behalf of the American Bankers Association by FactSet Research Systems Inc. Copyright© 2023 CUSIP Global Services. All rights reserved. CUSIP® data herein is provided by CUSIP Global Services. This data is not intended to create a database and does not serve in any way as a substitute for the CGS database. CUSIP® numbers are provided for convenience of reference only. None of the District, the Underwriter, or their agents or counsel assumes responsibility for the accuracy of such numbers.

**SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT**  
**Stanislaus County, State of California**

**Board of Trustees**

Nanci E. Fox, *President*  
Gary Dew, *Clerk*  
Virginia L. Berry, *Member*  
Maria Elena Magana, *Member*  
Linda Brughelli, *Member*

**District Administrators**

Twila Tosh, *Superintendent*  
Jaime Towe, *Chief Business Official*  
Agustin Mireles, *Assistant Superintendent, Human Resources*

**SPECIAL SERVICES**

**Bond Counsel and Disclosure Counsel**

Dannis Woliver Kelley  
*Long Beach, California*

**Municipal Advisor**

Dale Scott & Company  
*San Francisco, California*

**Paying Agent, Transfer Agent and Registration Agent**

U.S. Bank Trust Company, National Association  
*San Francisco, California*

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

INTRODUCTION .....	1
General .....	1
Registration .....	1
The District.....	1
Sources of Payment for the Bonds .....	2
Continuing Disclosure.....	2
Professionals Involved in the Offering.....	2
Forward Looking Statements .....	2
Bank Qualified .....	3
Closing Date.....	3
THE BONDS.....	3
Authority for Issuance.....	3
Purpose of Issue .....	3
Description of the Bonds.....	3
Book-Entry Only System .....	3
Payment of the Bonds.....	4
Redemption .....	4
Selection of Bonds for Redemption .....	5
Notice of Redemption .....	5
Right to Rescind Notice of Redemption.....	5
Effect of Notice of Redemption .....	6
Transfer and Exchange.....	6
Defeasance .....	6
Continuing Disclosure Agreement .....	7
SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS.....	7
District Investments; Application of Proceeds .....	7
DEBT SERVICE SCHEDULES .....	8
SECURITY FOR THE BONDS.....	10
General .....	10
Property Taxation System .....	10
Restrictions on use of <i>Ad Valorem</i> Taxes and Statutory Lien on Debt Service.....	10
Pledge of Tax Revenues.....	11
BOND INSURANCE .....	11
Bond Insurance Policy.....	11
Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.....	11
THE PROJECTS .....	13
TAX BASE FOR REPAYMENT OF THE BONDS .....	13
<i>Ad Valorem</i> Property Taxation.....	13
Assessed Valuations .....	14
Natural Disasters Impacting Assessed Valuations .....	15
Reassessments and Appeals of Assessed Valuations .....	17
Assessed Valuation by Jurisdiction .....	18
Assessed Valuation by Land Use .....	19
Assessed Valuation of Single-Family Homes .....	20
Largest Taxpayers .....	21
Tax Rates.....	22
The Teeter Plan .....	22
Direct and Overlapping Debt .....	23

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
**(continued)**

	<b>Page</b>
DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION .....	25
State Funding of Education .....	25
ADA and Enrollment .....	26
Revenue Sources .....	29
Developer Fees.....	29
COVID-19 Outbreak and its Economic Impact.....	30
Impact of COVID-19 on California School Districts .....	31
Budget Procedures.....	32
Comparative Financial Statements .....	35
Accounting Practices.....	36
State Budget Measures .....	37
CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS AFFECTING DISTRICT REVENUES.....	41
Article XIII A of the California Constitution .....	41
Legislation Implementing Article XIII A .....	42
Unitary Property .....	42
Article XIII B of the California Constitution .....	43
Article XIII C and Article XIII D of the California Constitution .....	44
Proposition 26 .....	44
Proposition 98 .....	45
Proposition 111 .....	45
Proposition 39 .....	46
Jarvis v. Connell.....	47
Proposition 1 A and Proposition 22.....	47
Proposition 30 .....	48
Proposition 55 .....	49
Proposition 51 .....	49
Proposition 2 .....	50
Future Initiatives .....	51
SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT .....	52
Introduction .....	52
Board of Trustees .....	52
Key Personnel .....	53
Employees and Labor Relations.....	53
District Retirement Systems.....	53
Risk of Investment Value Declines .....	57
Other Post-Employment Benefits.....	58
Risk Management.....	58
Cyber Security.....	58
Charter Schools .....	59
District Debt Structure.....	59
STANISLAUS COUNTY INVESTMENT FUND .....	62
CONTINUING DISCLOSURE.....	64
LEGAL MATTERS .....	64
Limitation on Remedies; Amounts Held in the County Treasury Pool.....	64
California Senate Bill 222 .....	65
TAX MATTERS .....	65
LEGALITY FOR INVESTMENT .....	67
BANK QUALIFICATION .....	67
RATINGS.....	68
UNDERWRITING .....	68
NO LITIGATION.....	68
OTHER INFORMATION .....	69

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**  
**(continued)**

	<b>Page</b>
APPENDIX A – FORM OF BOND COUNSEL OPINION .....	A-1
APPENDIX B – SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022 .....	B-1
APPENDIX C – GENERAL ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION FOR THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS .....	C-1
APPENDIX D – FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT .....	D-1
APPENDIX E – STANISLAUS COUNTY INVESTMENT POLICY STATEMENT .....	E-1
APPENDIX F – BOOK-ENTRY ONLY SYSTEM.....	F-1
APPENDIX G – SPECIMEN MUNICIPAL BOND INSURANCE POLICY.....	G-1

No dealer, broker, salesperson or other person has been authorized by the Salida Union School District (the "District") to provide any information or to make any representations other than as contained herein and, if given or made, such other information or representation must not be relied upon as having been authorized by the District. This Official Statement does not constitute an offer to sell, the solicitation of an offer to buy, nor shall there be any sale of the Bonds by a person in any jurisdiction in which it is unlawful for such person to make such an offer, solicitation or sale.

This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract with the purchasers of the Bonds. Statements contained in this Official Statement which involve estimates, forecasts or matters of opinion, whether or not expressly described herein, are intended solely as such and are not to be construed as a representation of facts.

The information and expressions of opinion herein are subject to change without notice and neither delivery of this Official Statement nor any sale made hereunder shall, under any circumstances, create any implication that there has been no change in the affairs of the District since the date hereof. Although certain information set forth in this Official Statement has been provided by the County of Stanislaus, the County of Stanislaus has not approved this Official Statement and is not responsible for the accuracy or completeness of the statements contained in this Official Statement except for the information set forth under the caption "STANISLAUS COUNTY POOLED INVESTMENT FUND."

The Underwriter has provided the following sentence for inclusion in this Official Statement. "The Underwriter has reviewed the information in this Official Statement in accordance with, and as part of, its responsibilities to investors under the federal securities laws as applied to the facts and circumstances of this transaction, but the Underwriter does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of such information."

**In connection with this offering, the Underwriter may over-allot or effect transactions which stabilize or maintain the market price of the Bonds offered hereby at levels above those that might otherwise prevail in the open market. Such stabilizing, if commenced, may be discontinued at any time. The Underwriter may offer and sell the Bonds to certain securities dealers, institutional investors, banks or others at prices lower or higher than the public offering prices stated on the inside cover page hereof and said public offering prices may be changed from time to time by the Underwriter.**

Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. ("AGM" or the "Insurer") makes no representation regarding the Bonds or the advisability of investing in the Bonds. In addition, AGM has not independently verified, makes no representation regarding, and does not accept any responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of this Official Statement or any information or disclosure contained herein, or omitted herefrom, other than with respect to the accuracy of the information regarding AGM supplied by AGM and presented under the heading "**BOND INSURANCE**" and "APPENDIX G - Specimen Municipal Bond Insurance Policy".

The District maintains a website. However, the information presented there is not part of this Official Statement and should not be relied upon in making an investment decision with respect to the Bonds.

This Official Statement is submitted in connection with the sale of the Bonds referred to herein and may not be reproduced or used, in whole or in part, for any other purpose.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]



**\$2,575,000\***  
**SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT**  
**(STANISLAUS COUNTY, CALIFORNIA)**  
**GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS,**  
**2020 ELECTION, 2023 SERIES B**  
**(Bank Qualified)**

**INTRODUCTION**

*This Introduction is not a summary of this Official Statement. It is only a brief description of and guide to, and is qualified by, more complete and detailed information contained in the entire Official Statement, including the cover page, inside cover page and appendices hereto, and the documents summarized or described herein. A full review should be made of the entire Official Statement. The offering of the Bonds to potential investors is made only by means of the entire Official Statement.*

**General**

The Salida Union School District (the “District”) proposes to issue \$2,575,000\* aggregate principal amount of its General Obligation Bonds, 2020 Election, 2023 Series B (the “Bonds”) under and pursuant to a bond authorization (the “Authorization”) for the issuance and sale of not more than \$9,240,000 of general obligation bonds approved by 55% or more of the qualified voters of the District voting on the proposition at a general election held on November 3, 2020 (the “Election”). The Bonds constitute the second series of bonds to be issued under the Authorization. Subsequent to the issuance of the Bonds, no\* aggregate principal amount of general obligation bonds will remain for issuance pursuant to the Authorization.

Proceeds from the sale of the Bonds will be used to finance the acquisition, construction, furnishing and equipping of District facilities and to pay certain costs of issuance associated therewith. See “THE BONDS – Purpose of Issue” and “THE PROJECTS” herein.

**Registration**

U.S. Bank Trust Company, National Association will act as the initial registrar, transfer agent and paying agent for the Bonds (the “Paying Agent”). As long as The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York (“DTC”) is the registered owner of the Bonds and DTC’s book entry-method is used for the Bonds, the Paying Agent will send any notice of redemption or other notices to owners only to DTC. See “THE BONDS – Description of the Bonds” herein.

**The District**

The District was formed in 1885 and is comprised of approximately 31 square miles in Stanislaus County (the “County”) in California’s San Joaquin Valley. Most of the District encompasses unincorporated areas of the County, including the community of Salida, as well as a northern portion of the City of Modesto. The District provides education services in four elementary schools providing transitional kindergarten through fifth grade, one middle school providing sixth through eighth grade and one charter school providing transitional kindergarten through fifth grade. The District’s average daily attendance (“ADA”) for fiscal year 2022-23 is budgeted, as of the Second Interim Report, to be approximately 2,013 students and the District has a 2022-23 total assessed valuation of \$2,712,069,032.

\* Preliminary; subject to change.

The District's audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022 are attached hereto as APPENDIX B. For further information concerning the District, see the caption "SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT" herein.

### **Sources of Payment for the Bonds**

The Bonds are general obligations of the District payable solely from *ad valorem* property taxes. The Board of Supervisors of the County is empowered and obligated to annually levy *ad valorem* property taxes upon all property subject to taxation by the District, without limitation as to rate or amount (except certain personal property which is taxable at limited rates), for the payment of principal and interest on the Bonds when due. See "SECURITY FOR THE BONDS" and "TAX BASE FOR REPAYMENT OF THE BONDS" herein.

### **Continuing Disclosure**

The District has covenanted that it will comply with and carry out all of the provisions of the Continuing Disclosure Agreement executed by the District in connection with the Bonds. See "THE BONDS – Continuing Disclosure Agreement," "CONTINUING DISCLOSURE" herein and APPENDIX D – "FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT" hereto.

### **Professionals Involved in the Offering**

Dannis Woliver Kelley, Long Beach, California, is acting as Bond Counsel and Disclosure Counsel to the District with respect to the Bonds. U.S. Bank Trust Company, National Association, San Francisco, California, is acting as paying agent for the Bonds. Dale Scott & Company, San Francisco, California, is acting as Municipal Advisor to the District in connection with the issuance of the Bonds. Kutak Rock LLP, Irvine, California, is acting as counsel to the Underwriter with respect to the Bonds. Dannis Woliver Kelley, Dale Scott & Company and U.S. Bank Trust Company, National Association will receive compensation from the District contingent upon the sale and delivery of the Bonds. Kutak Rock LLP will receive compensation from the Underwriter contingent upon the sale and delivery of the Bonds.

### **Forward Looking Statements**

Certain statements included or incorporated by reference in this Official Statement constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the United States Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, Section 21E of the United States Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Section 27A of the United States Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Such statements are generally identifiable by the terminology used such as "plan," "expect," "estimate," "project," "budget" or other similar words. Such forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, certain statements contained in the information regarding the District herein. THE ACHIEVEMENT OF CERTAIN RESULTS OR OTHER EXPECTATIONS CONTAINED IN SUCH FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS INVOLVE KNOWN AND UNKNOWN RISKS, UNCERTAINTIES AND OTHER FACTORS WHICH MAY CAUSE ACTUAL RESULTS, PERFORMANCE OR ACHIEVEMENTS DESCRIBED TO BE MATERIALLY DIFFERENT FROM ANY FUTURE RESULTS, PERFORMANCE OR ACHIEVEMENTS EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED BY SUCH FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS. THE DISTRICT DOES NOT PLAN TO ISSUE ANY UPDATES OR REVISIONS TO THE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS SET FORTH IN THIS OFFICIAL STATEMENT.

## **Bank Qualified**

The District has designated the Bonds as “qualified tax-exempt obligations,” thereby allowing certain financial institutions that are holders of such qualified tax-exempt obligations to deduct a portion of such institution’s interest expense allocable to such qualified tax-exempt obligations, all as determined in accordance with Section 265(b)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. See “BANK QUALIFICATION” herein.

## **Closing Date**

The Bonds are offered when, as and if issued, subject to approval as to their legality by Bond Counsel. It is anticipated that the Bonds in book-entry form will be available for delivery through the facilities of DTC on or about April 13, 2023.

## **THE BONDS**

### **Authority for Issuance**

The Bonds are general obligations of the District. The Bonds are being issued by the District under the provisions of Title 5, Division 2, Part 1, Chapter 3, Article 4.5 of the Government Code of the State (the “Government Code”) (commencing with Section 53506), applicable provisions of the Education Code of the State (the “Education Code”) and pursuant to a resolution of the Board of Trustees (“Board”) of the District adopted on February 21, 2023 (the “Resolution”).

### **Purpose of Issue**

The net proceeds of the Bonds will be used to finance certain capital improvements for the District as specified in the District bond proposition submitted at the Election, which includes, retrofitting and renovating classrooms, increasing student internet and computer technology access, upgrading emergency communication and preparedness and fire alarm systems, improving campus security and student safety, and repairing roofs (the “Projects”). See “THE PROJECTS” herein.

### **Description of the Bonds**

The Bonds will be dated their date of delivery and will be issued only as fully registered bonds in denominations of \$5,000 principal amount or integral multiples thereof.

The Bonds will be issued in fully registered form and, when issued, will be registered in the name of Cede & Co., as registered owner and nominee of The Depository Trust Company, New York, New York (“DTC”). DTC will act as securities depository for the Bonds. So long as Cede & Co. is the registered owner of the Bonds, as nominee of DTC, references herein to the Owners or registered owners shall mean Cede & Co. as aforesaid, and shall not mean the Beneficial Owners (as defined herein) of the Bonds.

### **Book-Entry Only System**

The Bonds will be issued under a book-entry system, evidencing ownership of the Bonds in denominations of \$5,000 principal amount or integral multiples thereof, with no physical distribution of Bonds made to the public. DTC will act as depository for the Bonds, which will be immobilized in their custody. The Bonds will be registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee for DTC.

So long as Cede & Co. is the registered owner of the Bonds, principal of and interest or premium, if any, on the Bonds are payable by wire transfer or New York Clearing House or by wire transfer of same day funds by U.S. Bank Trust Company, National Association, as Paying Agent, to Cede & Co., as nominee for DTC. DTC is obligated, in turn, to remit such amounts to the DTC participants for subsequent disbursement to the Beneficial Owners. See APPENDIX F – “BOOK-ENTRY ONLY SYSTEM” herein.

### **Payment of the Bonds**

Interest on the Bonds is payable commencing August 1, 2023, and semiannually thereafter on February 1 and August 1 of each year (each, an “Interest Payment Date”). The Bonds shall be issued in fully registered form, without coupons, in denominations of \$5,000 or any integral multiple thereof.

Interest on each Bond shall accrue from its dated date at the interest rates applicable thereto as set forth on the inside cover page hereof. Interest shall be computed using a year of 360 days comprised of twelve 30-day months and shall be payable on each Interest Payment Date to the Owner thereof as of the close of business on the fifteenth calendar day of the month next preceding an Interest Payment Date (the “Record Date”). Interest will be payable from the Interest Payment Date next preceding the date of registration thereof, unless it is registered prior to the close of business on July 15, 2023, in which event interest shall be payable from its dated date; provided, however, that if at the time of registration of any Bond interest with respect thereto is in default, interest with respect thereto shall be payable from the Interest Payment Date to which interest has previously been paid or made available for payment. Payments of interest will be made on each Interest Payment Date by check or draft of the Paying Agent sent by first-class mail, postage prepaid, to the Owner thereof on the Record Date, or by wire transfer to any Owner of \$1,000,000 or more of such Bonds, to the account specified by such Owner in a written request delivered to the Paying Agent on or prior to the Record Date for such Interest Payment Date; provided, however, that payments of defaulted interest shall be payable to the person in whose name such Bond is registered at the close of business on a special record date fixed therefor by the Paying Agent which shall not be more than 15 days and not less than ten days prior to the date of the proposed payment of defaulted interest.

### **Redemption**

***Optional Redemption.*** The Bonds maturing on or before August 1, 20\_\_ are not subject to redemption prior to their stated maturity dates. The Bonds maturing on or after August 1, 20\_\_ are subject to redemption prior to maturity, at the option of the District, in whole or in part among maturities on such basis as shall be designated by the District and by lot within a maturity, from any available source of funds, on August 1, 20\_\_, or on any date thereafter, at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount thereof, without premium, together with accrued interest thereon to the redemption date.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

**Mandatory Redemption.** The Bonds maturing on August 1, 20\_\_ are subject to redemption prior to maturity from mandatory sinking fund payments on August 1 of each year, on and after August 1, 20\_\_, at a redemption price equal to the principal amount thereof as of the date set for such redemption, without premium. The principal amount to be so redeemed and the dates therefore and the final payment date is as indicated in the following table:

Mandatory Sinking Fund Payment Date (August 1)	Principal Amount to be Redeemed
--	------------------------------------

<sup>(1)</sup> Maturity.

In the event that a portion of the Bonds maturing on August 1, 20\_\_ is optionally redeemed prior to maturity, the remaining mandatory sinking fund payments shown above shall be reduced proportionately, or as otherwise directed by the District, in integral multiples of \$5,000 principal amount of such Bonds optionally redeemed.

**Selection of Bonds for Redemption**

If less than all of the Bonds are subject to redemption and are called for redemption, such Bonds shall be redeemed in inverse order of maturities or as otherwise directed by the District, and if less than all of the Bonds of any given maturity are called for redemption, the portions of such Bonds of a given maturity to be redeemed shall be determined by lot.

**Notice of Redemption**

Notice of any redemption of the Bonds shall be mailed by the Paying Agent, postage prepaid, not less than 20 nor more than 60 days prior to the redemption date (i) by first class mail to the County and the respective Owners thereof at the addresses appearing on the registration books held by the Paying Agent, and (ii) as may be further required in accordance with the Continuing Disclosure Agreement. Each notice of redemption shall state (i) the date of such notice; (ii) the name of the Bonds and the date of issue of the Bonds; (iii) the redemption date; (iv) the redemption price; (v) the series of Bonds and the dates of maturity or maturities of Bonds to be redeemed; (vi) if less than all of the Bonds of a series of any maturity are to be redeemed, the distinctive numbers of the Bonds of each maturity of such series to be redeemed; (vii) in the case of Bonds of a series redeemed in part only, the respective portions of the principal amount of the Bonds of each maturity of such series to be redeemed; (viii) the CUSIP number, if any, of each maturity of Bonds of a series to be redeemed; (ix) a statement that such Bonds must be surrendered by the Owners at the principal corporate trust office of the Paying Agent, or at such other place or places designated by the Paying Agent; (x) notice that further interest on such Bonds will not accrue after the designated redemption date; and (xi) in the case of a conditional notice, that such notice is conditioned upon certain circumstances and the manner of rescinding such conditional notice.

**Right to Rescind Notice of Redemption**

The District may rescind any optional redemption and notice thereof for any reason on any date prior to the date fixed for redemption by causing written notice of the rescission to be given to the owners of the Bonds so called for redemption. Any optional redemption and notice thereof shall be rescinded if

for any reason on the date fixed for redemption moneys are not available in the Interest and Sinking Fund or otherwise held in trust for such purpose in an amount sufficient to pay in full on said date the principal of and interest and any premium due on the Bonds called for redemption. Notice of rescission of redemption shall be given in the same manner in which notice of redemption was originally given. The actual receipt by the owner of any Bond of notice of such rescission shall not be a condition precedent to rescission, and failure to receive such notice or any defect in such notice shall not affect the validity of the rescission.

### **Effect of Notice of Redemption**

Neither the failure to receive the notice of redemption as described above, nor any defect in such notice shall affect the sufficiency of the proceedings for the redemption of the Bonds or the cessation of interest on the date fixed for redemption. When notice of redemption has been given substantially as provided for in the Resolution, and when the redemption price of the Bonds called for redemption is set aside for the redemption thereof, the Bonds designated for redemption shall become due and payable on the specified redemption date and interest shall cease to accrue thereon as of the redemption date, and upon presentation and surrender of such Bonds at the place specified in the notice of redemption, such Bonds shall be redeemed and paid at the redemption price thereof out of the money provided therefor. The Owners of such Bonds so called for redemption after such redemption date shall be entitled to payment thereof only from the Interest and Sinking Fund or the trust fund established for such purpose. All Bonds redeemed shall be cancelled forthwith by the Paying Agent and shall not be reissued.

### **Transfer and Exchange**

If the Bonds are no longer in book-entry-only form, any Bond may be exchanged for Bonds of like tenor, series, maturity and principal amount upon presentation and surrender at the principal office of the Paying Agent, together with a request for exchange signed by the Owner or by a person legally empowered to do so in a form satisfactory to the Paying Agent. A Bond may be transferred on the Bond Register only upon presentation and surrender of such Bond at the principal office of the Paying Agent together with an assignment executed by the Owner or a person legally empowered to do so in a form satisfactory to the Paying Agent. Upon exchange or transfer, the Paying Agent shall complete, authenticate and deliver a new Bond or Bonds of like tenor and of any authorized denomination or denominations requested by the Owner equal to the principal amount of the Bond surrendered and bearing interest at the same rate and maturing on the same date.

### **Defeasance**

If at any time the District shall pay or cause to be paid or there shall otherwise be paid to the Owners of any or all of the outstanding Bonds all or any part of the principal, interest and premium, if any, on the Bonds at the times and in the manner provided in the Resolution and in the Bonds, or as described in the following paragraph, or as otherwise provided by law consistent with the Resolution, then such Owners shall cease to be entitled to the obligation of the District as provided in the Resolution, and such obligation and all agreement and covenants of the District and of the County to such Owners under the Resolution and under the Bonds shall thereupon be satisfied and discharged and shall terminate, except only that the District shall remain liable for payment of all principal, interest and premium, if any, represented by the Bonds, but only out of monies on deposit in the Interest and Sinking Fund or otherwise held in trust for such payment; and provided further, however, that the provisions of the Resolution relating to unclaimed moneys shall apply in all events.

For purposes of defeasance of the Bonds, the District may pay and discharge any or all of the Bonds by depositing in trust with the Paying Agent or an escrow agent, selected by the District, at or

before maturity, money or non-callable direct obligations of the United States of America (including zero interest bearing State and Local Government Series) or other non-callable obligations the payment of the principal of and interest on which is guaranteed by a pledge of the full faith and credit of the United States of America, in an amount which will, together with the interest to accrue thereon and available monies then on deposit in the Interest and Sinking Fund, be fully sufficient to pay and discharge the indebtedness on such Bonds (including all principal, interest and redemption premiums) at or before their respective maturity dates.

**Continuing Disclosure Agreement**

In accordance with the requirements of Rule 15c2-12 (the “Rule”) promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, the District will enter into a Continuing Disclosure Agreement (the “Continuing Disclosure Agreement”) in the form of APPENDIX D hereto, on or prior to the delivery of the Bonds in which the District will undertake, for the benefit of the Beneficial Owners of the Bonds, to provide certain information as set forth therein. See “CONTINUING DISCLOSURE” herein and APPENDIX D – “FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT” hereto.

**SOURCES AND USES OF FUNDS**

The proceeds of the Bonds are expected to be applied as follows:

*Sources of Funds*

Principal Amount of Bonds  
 [Net] Original Issue Premium  
 Total Sources

*Uses of Funds*

Deposit to Building Fund  
 Deposit to Interest and Sinking Fund  
 Costs of Issuance<sup>(1)</sup>  
 Total Uses

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes Underwriter’s discount, Bond Counsel and Disclosure Counsel fees, Municipal Advisory fees, paying agent fees, rating agency fees and other costs of issuance.

**District Investments; Application of Proceeds**

The Stanislaus County Treasurer-Tax Collector (the “Treasurer”) manages, in accordance with Government Code Section 53600 *et seq.*, funds deposited with the Treasurer by school districts and community college districts located in the County, various special districts, and some cities within the State of California. State law generally requires that all moneys of the County, school and community college districts and certain special districts located in the County be held in the County’s pooled investment fund (the “Pooled Investment Fund”).

The composition and value of investments under management in the Pooled Investment Fund vary from time to time depending on cash flow needs of the County and public agencies invested in the pool, maturity or sale of investments, purchase of new securities, and due to fluctuations in interest rates generally. For a further discussion of the Pooled Investment Fund, see the caption “STANISLAUS COUNTY POOLED INVESTMENT FUND” herein.

The net proceeds from the sale of the Bonds (other than premium) shall be paid to the County to the credit of the Salida Union School District Building Fund (the “Building Fund”) established pursuant to the Resolution and shall be disbursed for the payment of the costs of acquiring and constructing the Projects (as described below). Any premium or accrued interest received by the District from the sale of the Bonds will be deposited in the Interest and Sinking Fund. Earnings on the investment of moneys in either the Building Fund or the Interest and Sinking Fund will be retained in the respective fund and used only for the purposes to which the respective fund may lawfully be applied. Moneys in the Interest and Sinking Fund may only be applied to make payments of principal of and interest, and premium, if any, on bonds of the District. All funds held in the Building Fund and the Interest and Sinking Fund will be invested by the Treasurer. See “STANISLAUS COUNTY POOLED INVESTMENT FUND” herein.

**DEBT SERVICE SCHEDULES**

The following table summarizes the principal and interest payments on the Bonds, assuming no optional redemption.

**DEBT SERVICE ON THE BONDS**

Bond Year Ending August 1	Principal	Interest	Total Debt Service
2024			
2025			
2026			
2027			
2028			
2029			
2030			
2031			
2032			
<b>Total</b>			



The table below shows the annual debt service payments on all of the District’s outstanding general obligation bonds, consisting of the General Obligation Bonds, 2018 Election, 2019 Series A (the “2019 Bonds”), the General Obligation Bonds, 2020 Election, 2021 Series A (the “Series A Bonds”) and the Bonds.

**DEBT SERVICE ON ALL OUTSTANDING GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS**

Period Ending August 1	2019 Bonds	The Series A Bonds	The Bonds	Total Debt Service
2023	\$116,300.00	\$660,387.50		
2024	131,300.00	692,787.50		
2025	135,100.00	396,587.50		
2026	138,500.00	326,287.50		
2027	141,500.00	334,287.50		
2028	144,100.00	221,087.50		
2029	151,300.00	228,887.50		
2030	152,700.00	235,787.50		
2031	158,700.00	252,787.50		
2032	164,350.00	269,387.50		
2033	169,275.00	430,587.50		
2034	173,475.00	453,487.50		
2035	176,950.00	485,787.50		
2036	184,700.00	502,287.50		
2037	193,950.00	523,287.50		
2038	197,450.00	538,687.50		
2039	210,450.00	563,587.50		
2040	217,450.00	582,112.50		
2041	227,200.00	--		
2042	241,262.50	--		
2043	254,700.00	--		
2044	272,225.00	--		
2045	283,937.50	--		
Total	\$4,236,875.00	\$7,698,100.00		

## SECURITY FOR THE BONDS

### General

The Bonds are general obligations of the District, and the Board of Supervisors of the County has the power and is obligated to levy and collect *ad valorem* taxes upon all property within the District subject to taxation by the County, without limitation as to rate or amount (except certain personal property which is taxable at limited rates) for payment of both principal of and interest on the Bonds. The District received authorization to issue \$9,240,000 aggregate principal amount of general obligation bonds pursuant to an election of the qualified electors within the District on November 3, 2020. The Bonds are the second series of general obligation bonds issued under the Authorization. Subsequent to the issuance of the Bonds, no\* aggregate principal amount of general obligation bonds will remain for issuance under the Authorization.

### Property Taxation System

Property tax revenues result from the application of the appropriate tax rate to the total assessed value of taxable property in the District. School districts receive property taxes for payment of voter-approved bonds, as well as for general operating purposes.

Local property taxation is the responsibility of various county officers. School districts whose boundaries extend into more than one county are treated, for property tax purposes, as separate jurisdictions in each county in which they are located. For each school district located in a county, the county assessor computes the value of locally assessed taxable property. Based on the assessed value of property and the scheduled debt service on outstanding bonds in each year, the county auditor-controller computes the rate of tax necessary to pay such debt service, and presents the tax rolls (including rates of tax for all taxing jurisdictions in the county) to the county board of supervisors for approval. The county treasurer and tax collector prepares and mails tax bills to taxpayers and collects the taxes. In addition, the treasurer and tax collector, as *ex officio* treasurer of each school district located in the county, holds school district funds, including taxes collected for payment of school bonds, and is charged with payment of principal and interest on the bonds when due.

### Restrictions on use of *Ad Valorem* Taxes and Statutory Lien on Debt Service

Under State of California (the "State") law, school districts may levy *ad valorem* taxes (in addition to their share of the 1% county tax to pay operating expenses) only to pay principal of and interest on general obligation bonds that, like the Bonds, are approved at an election to finance specified projects or are bonds issued to refund such general obligation bonds. Moreover, State law provides that the *ad valorem* taxes may be levied to pay the principal of and interest on bonds and for no other purpose. Consequently, under State law, the District is not authorized to divert revenue from *ad valorem* taxes levied to pay the Bonds to a purpose other than payment of the Bonds.

Pursuant to Section 53515 of the State Government Code, effective for any bonds issued on or after January 1, 2016, the Bonds will be secured by a statutory lien on all revenues received pursuant to the levy and collection of *ad valorem* property taxes for the payment thereof. The lien automatically attaches, without further action or authorization by the Board, and is valid and binding from the time the Bonds are executed and delivered. The revenues received pursuant to the levy and collection of the *ad valorem* property tax will be immediately subject to the lien, and such lien will be enforceable against the

\* Preliminary; subject to change.

District, its successor, transferees and creditors, and all other parties asserting rights therein, irrespective of whether such parties have notice of the lien and without the need for physical delivery, recordation, filing or further act.

### **Pledge of Tax Revenues**

Under the Resolution, the District has pledged, as security for the Bonds and the interest thereon, the proceeds from the levy of the *ad valorem* tax which the County levies and receives and all interest earnings thereon (the “Pledged Moneys”). The Pledged Moneys shall be used to pay the principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Bonds when and as the same shall become due and payable.

The Bonds are the general obligations of the District, payable solely from Pledged Moneys and do not constitute an obligation of the County except as provided in the Resolution. No part of any fund or account of the County is pledged or obligated to the payment of the Bonds or the interest thereon. Other than the Pledged Moneys, no funds or accounts of the District are pledged to payment of the Bonds.

## **BOND INSURANCE**

### **Bond Insurance Policy**

Concurrently with the issuance of the Bonds, Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. (“AGM” or the “Insurer”) will issue its Municipal Bond Insurance Policy for the Bonds (the “Policy”). The Policy guarantees the scheduled payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds when due as set forth in the form of the Policy included as Appendix G to this Official Statement.

The Policy is not covered by any insurance security or guaranty fund established under New York, California, Connecticut or Florida insurance law.

### **Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.**

AGM is a New York domiciled financial guaranty insurance company and an indirect subsidiary of Assured Guaranty Ltd. (“AGL”), a Bermuda-based holding company whose shares are publicly traded and are listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol “AGO”. AGL, through its operating subsidiaries, provides credit enhancement products to the U.S. and international public finance (including infrastructure) and structured finance markets and asset management services. Neither AGL nor any of its shareholders or affiliates, other than AGM, is obligated to pay any debts of AGM or any claims under any insurance policy issued by AGM.

AGM’s financial strength is rated “AA” (stable outlook) by S&P Global Ratings, a business unit of Standard & Poor’s Financial Services LLC (“S&P”), “AA+” (stable outlook) by Kroll Bond Rating Agency, Inc. (“KBRA”) and “A1” (stable outlook) by Moody’s Investors Service, Inc. (“Moody’s”). Each rating of AGM should be evaluated independently. An explanation of the significance of the above ratings may be obtained from the applicable rating agency. The above ratings are not recommendations to buy, sell or hold any security, and such ratings are subject to revision or withdrawal at any time by the rating agencies, including withdrawal initiated at the request of AGM in its sole discretion. In addition, the rating agencies may at any time change AGM’s long-term rating outlooks or place such ratings on a watch list for possible downgrade in the near term. Any downward revision or withdrawal of any of the above ratings, the assignment of a negative outlook to such ratings or the placement of such ratings on a negative watch list may have an adverse effect on the market price of any security guaranteed by AGM. AGM only guarantees scheduled principal and scheduled interest payments payable by the issuer of bonds insured by AGM on the date(s) when such amounts were initially scheduled to become due and payable

(subject to and in accordance with the terms of the relevant insurance policy), and does not guarantee the market price or liquidity of the securities it insures, nor does it guarantee that the ratings on such securities will not be revised or withdrawn.

### *Current Financial Strength Ratings*

On October 21, 2022, KBRA announced it had affirmed AGM's insurance financial strength rating of "AA+" (stable outlook). AGM can give no assurance as to any further ratings action that KBRA may take.

On July 8, 2022, S&P announced it had affirmed AGM's financial strength rating of "AA" (stable outlook). AGM can give no assurance as to any further ratings action that S&P may take.

On March 18, 2022, Moody's announced it had upgraded AGM's insurance financial strength rating to "A1" (stable outlook) from "A2" (stable outlook). AGM can give no assurance as to any further ratings action that Moody's may take.

For more information regarding AGM's financial strength ratings and the risks relating thereto, see AGL's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2022.

### *Capitalization of AGM*

At December 31, 2022:

- The policyholders' surplus of AGM was approximately \$2,747 million.
- The contingency reserve of AGM was approximately \$855 million.
- The net unearned premium reserves and net deferred ceding commission income of AGM and its subsidiaries (as described below) were approximately \$2,134 million. Such amount includes (i) 100% of the net unearned premium reserve and net deferred ceding commission income of AGM, and (ii) the net unearned premium reserves and net deferred ceding commissions of AGM's wholly owned subsidiary Assured Guaranty UK Limited ("AGUK") and its 99.9999% owned subsidiary Assured Guaranty (Europe) SA ("AGE").

The policyholders' surplus of AGM and the contingency reserves, net unearned premium reserves and net deferred ceding commission income of AGM were determined in accordance with statutory accounting principles. The net unearned premium reserves and net deferred ceding commissions of AGUK and AGE were determined in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

### *Incorporation of Certain Documents by Reference*

Portions of AGL's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2022 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") on March 1, 2023 that relate to AGM are incorporated by reference into this Official Statement and shall be deemed to be a part hereof.

All information relating to AGM included in, or as exhibits to, documents filed by AGL with the SEC pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, excluding Current Reports or portions thereof "furnished" under Item 2.02 or Item 7.01 of Form 8-K, after the filing of the last document referred to above and before the termination of the offering of the Bonds shall be

deemed incorporated by reference into this Official Statement and to be a part hereof from the respective dates of filing such documents. Copies of materials incorporated by reference are available over the internet at the SEC's website at <http://www.sec.gov>, at AGL's website at <http://www.assuredguaranty.com>, or will be provided upon request to Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.: 1633 Broadway, New York, New York 10019, Attention: Communications Department (telephone (212) 974-0100). Except for the information referred to above, no information available on or through AGL's website shall be deemed to be part of or incorporated in this Official Statement.

Any information regarding AGM included herein under the caption "**BOND INSURANCE – Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp.**" or included in a document incorporated by reference herein (collectively, the "AGM Information") shall be modified or superseded to the extent that any subsequently included AGM Information (either directly or through incorporation by reference) modifies or supersedes such previously included AGM Information. Any AGM Information so modified or superseded shall not constitute a part of this Official Statement, except as so modified or superseded.

#### *Miscellaneous Matters*

AGM makes no representation regarding the Bonds or the advisability of investing in the Bonds. In addition, AGM has not independently verified, makes no representation regarding, and does not accept any responsibility for the accuracy or completeness of this Official Statement or any information or disclosure contained herein, or omitted herefrom, other than with respect to the accuracy of the information regarding AGM supplied by AGM and presented under the heading "**BOND INSURANCE**".

### **THE PROJECTS**

The District will apply a portion of the net proceeds of the Bonds to finance the acquisition, construction, furnishing and equipping of District facilities in accordance with the bond proposition approved at the Election which includes the ballot measure and a project list. The "Smaller Classes, Safer Schools, and Financial Accountability Act," a Constitutional amendment known as Proposition 39, controls the method by which the District will expend Bond proceeds on its capital improvements. Prior to the Election, the District prepared and submitted to the Board for approval a master list of capital improvement projects to be built, acquired, constructed or installed with the proceeds of the Bonds, which was then submitted to the voters at the Election (the "Project List"). The District will prioritize and may not undertake to complete all components of the Project List.

### **TAX BASE FOR REPAYMENT OF THE BONDS**

*The information in this section describes ad valorem property taxation, assessed valuation, and other measures of the tax base of the District. The Bonds are payable solely from ad valorem taxes levied and collected by the County on taxable property in the District. The District's general fund is not a source for the repayment of the Bonds.*

#### ***Ad Valorem Property Taxation***

Taxes are levied for each fiscal year on taxable real and personal property which is situated in the County as of the preceding January 1. However, upon a change in ownership of property or completion of new construction, State law permits an accelerated recognition and taxation of increases in real property assessed valuation (known as a "floating lien date"). For assessment and collection purposes, property is classified either as "secured" or "unsecured" and is listed accordingly on separate parts of the assessment roll. The "secured roll" is that part of the assessment roll containing property secured by a

lien which is sufficient, in the opinion of the assessor, to secure payment of the taxes. Other property is assessed on the “unsecured roll.”

The County levies a 1% property tax on behalf of all taxing agencies in the County. The taxes collected are allocated on the basis of a formula established by State law enacted in 1979. Under this formula, the County and all other taxing entities receive a base year allocation plus an allocation on the basis of “situs” growth in assessed value (new construction, change of ownership, inflation) prorated among the jurisdictions which serve the tax rate areas within which the growth occurs. Tax rate areas are specifically defined geographic areas which were developed to permit the levying of taxes for less than county-wide or less than city-wide special and school districts. In addition, the County levies and collects additional approved property taxes and assessments on behalf of any taxing agency within the County.

Property taxes on the secured roll are due in two installments, on November 1 and February 1. If unpaid, such taxes become delinquent after December 10 and April 10, respectively, and a 10% penalty attaches to any delinquent payment. In addition, property on the secured roll secured by the assessee’s fee ownership of land with respect to which taxes are delinquent is declared tax-defaulted on or about June 30. Those properties on the secured roll that become tax-defaulted on June 30 of the fiscal year that are not secured by the assessee’s fee ownership of land are transferred to the unsecured roll and are then subject to the County Treasurer’s enforcement procedures (*i.e.*, seizures of money and property, liens and judgments). Such property may thereafter be redeemed by payment of the delinquent taxes and the delinquency penalty, plus a penalty of one and one-half percent per month to the time of redemption. If taxes are unpaid for a period of five years or more, the tax-defaulted property is subject to sale by the County Treasurer.

Property taxes on the unsecured roll as of July 31 become delinquent, if unpaid, on August 31 and are subject to a 10% delinquency penalty. Unsecured property taxes remaining unpaid on October 31 are also subject to an additional penalty of one and one half percent per month on the first day of each month thereafter. The additional penalties shall continue to attach until the time of payment or until the time a court judgment is entered for the amount of unpaid taxes and penalties, whichever occurs first.

The taxing authority has four ways of collecting unsecured personal property taxes: (1) a civil action against the taxpayer; (2) filing a certificate in the office of the respective County Clerk specifying certain facts in order to obtain a judgment lien on certain property of the taxpayer; (3) filing a certificate of delinquency for recordation in the County Recorder’s office in order to obtain a lien on certain property of the taxpayer; and (4) seizure and sale of personal property, improvements, bank accounts or possessory interests belonging or assessed to the taxpayer.

### **Assessed Valuations**

The assessed valuation of property in the District is established by the County Assessor, except for public utility property which is assessed by the State Board of Equalization. Assessed valuations are reported at 100% of the full value of the property, as defined in Article XIII A of the California Constitution. See “CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS AFFECTING DISTRICT REVENUES” herein.

The State Constitution currently requires a credit of \$7,000 of the taxable value of an owner-occupied dwelling for which application has been made to the County Assessor. The revenue estimated to be lost to local taxing agencies due to the exemption is reimbursed from State sources. Reimbursement is based upon total taxes due upon such exempt value and is not reduced by any amount for estimated or actual delinquencies. Current law also provides, upon application, a basis exemption of \$100,000 increased by inflation for veterans with specified disabilities or for unmarried spouses of deceased veterans. The exemption may be raised to \$150,000 if the applicant meets the income limit of \$40,000.

In addition, certain classes of property such as cemeteries, free public libraries and museums, public schools, churches, colleges, not-for-profit hospitals and charitable institutions are exempt from property taxation and do not appear on the tax rolls. No reimbursement is made by the State for such exemptions.

The following table presents the historical assessed valuation in the District since fiscal year 2011-12. The District’s total assessed valuation is \$ 2,712,069,032 for fiscal year 2022-23.

**SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT  
Summary of Assessed Valuations  
Fiscal Years 2011-12 Through 2022-23**

Fiscal Year	Local Secured	Utility	Unsecured	Total	Annual % Change
2011-12	\$ 1,471,981,709	\$ 33,847	\$ 81,780,999	\$ 1,553,796,555	--
2012-13	1,399,009,933	112,913	82,168,558	1,481,291,404	(4.7)%
2013-14	1,482,674,895	112,913	82,041,630	1,564,829,438	5.6
2014-15	1,662,081,063	112,913	90,379,198	1,752,573,174	12.0
2015-16	1,754,575,386	112,913	90,536,431	1,845,224,730	5.3
2016-17	1,844,254,118	120,741	100,264,494	1,944,639,353	5.4
2017-18	1,951,452,119	120,741	98,778,200	2,050,351,060	5.4
2018-19	2,022,357,115	120,741	97,309,233	2,119,787,089	3.4
2019-20	2,122,373,842	120,741	111,600,384	2,234,094,967	5.4
2020-21	2,242,936,155	133,531	123,934,220	2,367,003,906	5.9
2021-22	2,423,165,614	133,531	121,407,474	2,544,706,619	7.5
2022-23	2,575,055,346	133,531	136,880,155	2,712,069,032	6.6

Source: *California Municipal Statistics, Inc.*

Economic and other factors beyond the District’s control, such as general market decline in property values, disruption in financial markets that may reduce availability of financing for purchasers of property, reclassification of property to a class exempt from taxation, whether by ownership or use (such as exemptions for property owned by the State and local agencies and property used for qualified education, hospital, charitable or religious purposes), or the complete or partial destruction of the taxable property caused by a natural or manmade disaster, such as earthquake, flood, fire, or toxic contamination, could cause a reduction in the assessed value of taxable property within the District. Any such reduction would result in a corresponding increase in the annual tax rate levied by the County to pay the debt service with respect to the Bonds. See “SECURITY FOR THE BONDS.”

**Natural Disasters Impacting Assessed Valuations**

***Drought Conditions.*** Water shortfalls resulting from the driest conditions in recorded State history caused a State-wide drought State of Emergency for California in 2014 and the imposition by State regulators of reductions in water usage through 2017 when the State-wide drought ended in almost all California counties.

During fiscal year 2020-21, 2021-22, and continuing during fiscal year 2022-23, much of the State has experienced Severe or Extreme Drought, pursuant to the U.S. Drought Monitor Classification Scheme. On July 8, 2021, Governor Newsom signed Executive Order N-10-21 calling on all Californians to voluntarily reduce water usage by 15%. On October 19, 2021, Governor Newsom declared a State of

Emergency due to drought in the State. On January 4, 2022, State Water Board adopted emergency use regulations prohibiting certain wasteful water practices such as watering ornamental landscapes during rain and using potable water to clean hard surfaces and driveways. On June 14, 2022, additional emergency water conservation regulations took effect limiting watering of ornamental grasses in certain locations.

According to the U.S. Drought Monitor, as of March 7, 2023, 26.84% of the State is experiencing no drought, 30.10% of the State is experiencing Abnormally Dry conditions, 24.06% of the State is experiencing Moderate Drought, and 19.00% of the State is experiencing Severe Drought. The County is currently not experiencing Abnormally Dry conditions. The District cannot predict if or when water usage restrictions might be imposed again or what impact such restrictions, if imposed, might have on the assessed valuation of the District and the local economy.

**Wildfires.** In recent years, certain portions of the State were affected by large wildfires which destroyed both natural lands and residential and commercial properties and resulted in large-scale property value reductions in the impacted areas. During the summer of 2020, California experienced large-scale wildfires in several portions of the State. The District was not materially impacted by recent wildfires.

**Earthquakes.** All jurisdictions in California are subject to the effects of damaging earthquakes. An earthquake could cause a number of casualties and extensive property damage. The effects of such a quake could be aggravated by aftershocks and secondary effects such as fires, landslides, dam failure, liquefaction and other threats to public health, safety and welfare. The potential direct and indirect consequences of a major earthquake can easily exceed the resources of the District and other local public entities and would require a high level of self-help, coordination and cooperation.

**Climate Change.** Climate change caused by human activities may have adverse effects on the property within the boundaries of the District. Climate change can also result in more variable weather patterns throughout the State, which can lead to longer and more severe droughts and wildfires as well as increased risk of flooding and a rise in sea levels. Projections of the impacts of global climate change are complex and depend on many factors that are outside the District's control. The various scientific studies that forecast the amount and timing of adverse impacts of climate change are based on assumptions contained in such studies, but actual events may vary materially. Also, the scientific understanding of climate change and its effects continues to evolve. Accordingly, the District is unable to forecast with certainty when adverse impacts of climate change will occur or the extent of such impacts.

The occurrence of natural disasters within the boundaries of the District could result in substantial damage to property within the District (including District properties) and, in turn, could substantially reduce assessed valuations of such property.

**Change in Economic Conditions.** The outbreak of COVID-19 and the corresponding measures to prevent its spread have caused widespread unemployment and economic slow-down in the United States, the State and the County. Such economic slow-down may lead to an economic recession or depression. Such a decline may cause a reduction of assessed values in the District. See "DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – COVID-19 Outbreak and its Economic Impact" for more information regarding the impact of COVID-19.

The District cannot make any representation regarding the effects that the drought, change in economic conditions, caused by pandemic or otherwise, fire conditions, earthquakes, or other natural disasters has had, or may have on the value of taxable property within the District, or to what extent such



conditions could cause disruptions to agricultural production, destroy property, reduce land values and adversely impact other economic activity within the boundaries of the District.

### **Reassessments and Appeals of Assessed Valuations**

Pursuant to California Proposition 8 of November 1978 (“Proposition 8”), property owners may apply for a reduction of their property tax assessment by filing a written application, in a form prescribed by the State Board of Equalization (“SBE”), with the appropriate county board of equalization or assessment appeals board. County assessors may independently reduce assessed values as well based upon the factors described in the paragraph above or reductions in the fair market value of the taxable property. In most cases, an appeal is filed because the applicant believes that present market conditions (such as lower residential home sale prices) cause the property to be worth less than its current assessed value. Any reduction in the assessment ultimately granted as a result of such appeal applies to the year for which application is made and during which the written application was filed. Such reductions are subject to yearly reappraisals and may be adjusted back to their original values when market conditions improve. Once the property has regained its prior value, adjusted for inflation, it once again is subject to the annual inflationary factor growth rate allowed under Article XIII A. See “CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS AFFECTING DISTRICT REVENUES – Article XIII A of the California Constitution.”

A second type of assessment appeal involves a challenge to the base year value of an assessed property. Appeals for reduction in the base year value of an assessment, if successful, reduce the assessment for the year in which the appeal is taken and prospectively thereafter. The base year is determined by the completion date of new construction or the date of change of ownership. Any base year appeal must be made within four years of the change of ownership or new construction date.

County assessors, at their discretion, may also, from time to time, review certain property types purchased between specific time periods (e.g., all single family homes and condominiums purchased shortly prior to widespread declines in the fair market value of residential real estate within the county, as occurred between 2009 and 2011) and may proactively, temporarily reduce the assessed value of qualifying properties to Proposition 8 assessed values without owner appeal therefor.

A property that has been reassessed under Proposition 8, whether pursuant to owner appeal or due to county assessor review, is subsequently reviewed annually to determine its lien date value. Assuming no change in ownership or new construction, and if and as market conditions improve, the assessed value of a property with a Proposition 8 assessed value in place may increase as of each property tax lien date by more than the standard annual inflationary factor growth rate allowed under Article XIII A (currently, a 2% annual maximum) until such assessed value again equals the Article XIII A base year value for such property as adjusted for inflation and years of ownership, at which point such property is again taxed pursuant to Article XIII A and base year values may not be increased by more than the standard Article XIII A annual inflationary factor growth rate. A change in ownership while a property is subject to a Proposition 8 reassessment assessed valuation will cause such assessed valuation to become fixed as a new Article XIII A base year value for such property. See “CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS AFFECTING DISTRICT REVENUES – Article XIII A of the California Constitution” herein.

**Assembly Bill 102.** On June 27, 2017, the Governor of the State (the “Governor”) signed into law Assembly Bill 102 (“AB 102”). AB 102 restructured the functions of the SBE and created two new separate agencies: (i) the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, and (ii) the Office of Tax Appeals. Under AB 102, the California Department of Tax and Fee Administration took over programs previously in the SBE Property Tax Department, such as the Tax Area Services Section, which is

responsible for maintaining all property tax-rate area maps and for maintaining special revenue district boundaries. Under AB 102, the SBE continues to perform the duties assigned by the State Constitution related to property taxes, however, effective January 1, 2018, the SBE only hears appeals related to the programs that it constitutionally administers and the Office of Tax Appeals hears appeals on all other taxes and fee matters, such as sales and use tax and other special taxes and fees. AB 102 obligates the Office of Tax Appeals to adopt regulations as necessary to carry out its duties, powers and responsibilities. No assurances can be given as to the effect of such regulations on the appeals process or on the assessed valuation of property within the District.

No assurance can be given that property tax appeals and reassessments in the future will not significantly reduce the assessed valuation of property within the District.

**Assessed Valuation by Jurisdiction**

The table below sets forth the assessed valuation within the District by political jurisdiction for fiscal year 2022-23.

**SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT  
2022-23 Assessed Valuation by Jurisdiction**

Jurisdiction	Assessed Valuation in District	% of District	Assessed Valuation of Jurisdiction	% of Jurisdiction in District
City of Modesto	\$ 809,209,681	29.84%	\$20,545,409,243	3.94%
Unincorporated Stanislaus County	<u>1,902,859,351</u>	<u>70.16</u>	\$19,015,760,462	10.01%
Total District	\$2,712,069,032	100.00%		
Stanislaus County	\$2,712,069,032	100.00%	\$61,637,077,792	4.40%

Source: *California Municipal Statistics, Inc.*

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

## Assessed Valuation by Land Use

The table below sets forth the assessed valuation of the taxable property within the District by land use for fiscal year 2022-23.

### SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT 2022-23 Assessed Valuation and Parcels by Land Use

Non-Residential	2022-23 Assessed Valuation <sup>(1)</sup>	% of Total	No. of Parcels	% of Total
Agricultural/Rural	\$192,757,774	7.49%	321	4.73%
Commercial/Office	388,905,883	15.10	176	2.59
Vacant Commercial	10,905,089	0.42	25	0.37
Industrial	287,082,007	11.15	200	2.94
Vacant Industrial	7,941,663	0.31	11	0.16
Government/Social/Institutional	<u>1,307,965</u>	<u>0.05</u>	<u>98</u>	<u>1.44</u>
Subtotal Non-Residential	\$888,900,381	34.52%	831	12.23%
Residential				
Single Family Residence	\$1,530,774,972	59.45%	5,310	78.17%
Condominium	77,648,016	3.02	471	6.93
Mobile Home	1,944,228	0.08	24	0.35
2-4 Residential Units	24,750,312	0.96	87	1.28
5+ Residential Units/Apartments	30,177,505	1.17	21	0.31
Vacant Residential	<u>20,859,932</u>	<u>0.81</u>	<u>49</u>	<u>0.72</u>
Subtotal Residential	\$1,686,154,965	65.48%	5,962	87.77%
Total	\$2,575,055,346	100.00%	6,793	100.00%

<sup>(1)</sup> Local secured assessed valuation, excluding tax-exempt property.  
Source: *California Municipal Statistics, Inc.*

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

## Assessed Valuation of Single-Family Homes

The following table sets forth ranges of assessed valuations of single-family homes in the District for fiscal year 2022-23, including the median and average assessed value per single-family parcel.

### SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT Per Parcel 2022-23 Assessed Valuation of Single Family Homes

	No. of Parcels	2022-23 Assessed Valuation	Average Assessed Valuation	Median Assessed Valuation
Single-Family Residential	5,310	\$1,530,774,972	\$288,282	\$260,098

2022-23 Assessed Valuation	No. of Parcels <sup>(1)</sup>	% of Total	Cumulative % of Total	Total Valuation	% of Total	Cumulative % of Total
\$0 - \$24,999	10	0.188%	0.188%	\$ 176,107	0.012%	0.012%
\$25,000 - \$49,999	45	0.847	1.036	1,774,734	0.116	0.127
\$50,000 - \$74,999	70	1.318	2.354	4,333,728	0.283	0.411
\$75,000 - \$99,999	82	1.544	3.898	7,134,769	0.466	0.877
\$100,000 - \$124,999	94	1.77	5.669	10,666,357	0.697	1.573
\$125,000 - \$149,999	276	5.198	10.866	38,398,470	2.508	4.082
\$150,000 - \$174,999	468	8.814	19.68	76,389,245	4.99	9.072
\$175,000 - \$199,999	564	10.621	30.301	105,402,139	6.886	15.958
\$200,000 - \$224,999	473	8.908	39.209	99,917,962	6.527	22.485
\$225,000 - \$249,999	425	8.004	47.213	100,960,688	6.595	29.08
\$250,000 - \$274,999	355	6.685	53.898	93,155,485	6.086	35.166
\$275,000 - \$299,999	359	6.761	60.659	103,049,406	6.732	41.898
\$300,000 - \$324,999	373	7.024	67.684	116,049,948	7.581	49.479
\$325,000 - \$349,999	309	5.819	73.503	104,100,718	6.801	56.279
\$350,000 - \$374,999	311	5.857	79.36	112,453,777	7.346	63.626
\$375,000 - \$399,999	255	4.802	84.162	98,560,928	6.439	70.064
\$400,000 - \$424,999	191	3.597	87.759	78,693,735	5.141	75.205
\$425,000 - \$449,999	150	2.825	90.584	65,685,224	4.291	79.496
\$450,000 - \$474,999	112	2.109	92.693	51,663,323	3.375	82.871
\$475,000 - \$499,999	91	1.714	94.407	44,309,968	2.895	85.765
\$500,000 and greater	<u>297</u>	<u>5.593</u>	100.000	<u>217,898,261</u>	<u>14.235</u>	100.000
	5,310	100.00%		\$1,530,774,972	100.000%	

<sup>(1)</sup> Improved single-family residential parcels. Excludes condominiums and parcels with multiple family units.  
Source: *California Municipal Statistics, Inc.*

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

## Largest Taxpayers

The table below sets forth the largest local secured taxpayers within the District in fiscal year 2022-23.

### SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT 2022-23 Largest Local Secured Taxpayers

Property Owner	Primary Land Use	2022-23 Assessed Valuation	% of Total <sup>(1)</sup>
1. California Almond Growers Exchange	Food Processing	\$134,476,967	5.22%
2. CFT Northpointe LLC	Shopping Center	44,958,193	1.75
3. DJD Partners 10 LLC	Shopping Center	26,055,218	1.01
4. Costco Wholesale Corporation	Commercial	24,563,080	0.95
5. Circle Bar Investments LLC	Office Building	23,234,545	0.9
6. Mapes Ranch Investments LLC	Shopping Center	19,054,909	0.74
7. Lowes HIW Inc.	Commercial	15,796,130	0.61
8. Eddie R. Fischer	Commercial	15,240,452	0.59
9. Flory Properties LP	Industrial	15,112,278	0.59
10. Westdale Commons	Apartments	14,256,934	0.55
11. MTC Distributing	Warehouse	13,931,788	0.54
12. Martins Farm LP	Agricultural	13,293,594	0.52
13. Likwid Asset Management LLC	Undeveloped	12,240,000	0.48
14. Dayton Hudson Corporation	Commercial	11,818,398	0.46
15. Northpoint Office Towers LLC	Office Building	11,353,754	0.44
16. Grover Family Properties LP	Vacant	9,835,845	0.38
17. Post Properties LLC	Industrial	9,698,898	0.38
18. Coddquest LLC	Office Building	9,040,129	0.35
19. Grant Craven	Warehouse	7,919,980	0.31
20. Macerich Vintage Faire LP	Shopping Center	<u>7,495,806</u>	<u>0.29</u>
		<u>\$439,376,898</u>	<u>17.06%</u>

<sup>(1)</sup> 2022-23 local secured assessed valuation: \$2,575,055,346.

Source: *California Municipal Statistics, Inc.*

The top 20 taxpayers on the secured roll for fiscal year 2022-23 account for 17.06% of the secured assessed value in the District which is \$2,575,055,346. According to California Municipal Statistics, Inc., the largest secured taxpayer in the District for fiscal year 2022-23 is California Almond Growers Exchange, accounting for 5.22% of the total secured assessed value in the District. No other secured taxpayer accounts for more than 1.75% of the total secured assessed value in the District. The more property (by assessed value) owned by a single taxpayer, the more tax collections are exposed to weakness, if any, in such taxpayer's financial situation and ability or willingness to pay property taxes in a timely manner.

## Tax Rates

The following table sets forth typical tax rates levied in Tax Rate Area 2-20 and 96-44 by the County within the District for fiscal years 2018-19 through 2022-23:

### SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT Typical Tax Rate per \$100 Assessed Valuation (TRA 2-20 and 96-44)<sup>(1)</sup>

	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23
General Tax Rate	\$1.00000	\$1.00000	\$1.00000	\$1.00000	\$1.00000
Salida Union School District-Bonds 1988 Issue	.005595	.004891	-	-	-
Salida Union School District-Bonds 2019 A	-	.007299	.003880	0.004348	0.003181
Salida Union School District-Bonds 2021 A	-	-	-	0.027369	0.023311
Modesto High School District-Bond 2001 Issue	.036813	.034036	.033707	0.036219	0.035237
Yosemite CCD-Bond 2007C	.009093	.008839	.008883	0.009653	0.009875
Yosemite CCD-Bond 2010D	.000410	.000405	.000425	-	-
Yosemite CCD-Bond 2012 Refunding	.007962	.007286	.006554	0.003172	-
Yosemite CCD-Bond 2015 Refunding	.008509	.007250	.006916	0.006985	-
Yosemite CCD-Bond 2020 Refunding A	-	-	-	0.000860	-
Yosemite CCD-Bond 2020 Refunding B	-	-	-	0.003336	0.006848
Yosemite CCD-Bond 2022 Refunding	-	-	-	-	0.006694
Total Tax Rate	\$1.068382	\$1.070006	\$1.060365	\$1.091942	\$1.085146

<sup>(1)</sup> 2022-23 assessed valuation of TRA 2-20 is \$572,040,835 which is 21.09% of the District's total assessed valuation. 2022-23 assessed valuation of TRA 96-044 is \$147,317,172 which is 5.43% of the District's total assessed valuation.

Source: *California Municipal Statistics, Inc.*

## The Teeter Plan

The Board of Supervisors of the County has approved the implementation of the Alternative Method of Distribution of Tax Levies and Collections and of Tax Sale Proceeds (the "Teeter Plan"), as provided for in Section 4701 *et seq.* of the California Revenue and Taxation Code. Under the Teeter Plan for the County, the County apportions secured property taxes on an accrual basis when due (irrespective of actual collections) to its local political subdivisions, including the District, for which the County acts as the tax-levying or tax-collecting agency.

The Teeter Plan for the County is applicable to all tax levies for which the County acts as the tax-levying or tax-collecting agency, or for which the County Treasury is the legal depository of tax collections.

Under the Teeter Plan, the District will receive 100% of its *ad valorem* property tax levied with respect to the Bonds irrespective of actual delinquencies in the collection of property taxes by the County.

The Teeter Plan of the County is to remain in effect unless the Board of Supervisors of the County orders its discontinuance or unless, prior to the commencement of any fiscal year of the County (which commences on July 1), the Board of Supervisors of the County receives a petition for its discontinuance joined in by a resolution adopted by at least two-thirds of the participating revenue districts in the County. In the event the Board of Supervisors of the County orders discontinuance of its Teeter Plan, only those secured property taxes actually collected would be allocated to political subdivisions (including the District) for which the County acts as the tax-levying or tax-collecting agency. In addition, if the delinquency rate for all *ad valorem* property taxes levied within the District exceeds 3%, the Board of Supervisors can terminate the Teeter Plan with respect to the District. In the event that the Teeter Plan were terminated with regard to the secured tax roll, the amount of the levy of *ad valorem*

property taxes would depend upon the collection of *ad valorem* property taxes and delinquency rates experienced with respect to the parcels within the District.

The District is not aware of any petitions for the discontinuance of the Teeter Plan now pending in the County.

### **Direct and Overlapping Debt**

Numerous local agencies that provide public services overlap the District's service area. These local agencies have outstanding debt in the form of general obligation, lease revenue and special assessment bonds. The following table shows the District's estimated direct and overlapping bonded debt. The statement excludes self-supporting revenue bonds, tax allocation bonds and non-bonded capital lease obligations. The District has not reviewed this table and there can be no assurance as to the accuracy of the information contained in the table; inquiries concerning the scope and methodology of procedures carried out to compile the information presented should be directed to California Municipal Statistics, Inc.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

The following table is a statement of the District’s direct and estimated overlapping bonded debt prepared on February 14, 2023, for debt outstanding as of February 1, 2023:

**SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT  
Direct and Overlapping Bonded Indebtedness**

2022-23 Assessed Valuation:     \$2,712,069,032

Direct and Overlapping Tax and Assessment Debt	% Applicable	Debt as of 2/1/23
Yosemite Community College District	3.255%	\$ 8,243,824
Modesto High School District	9.076	1,392,105
<b>Salida Union School District</b>	<b>100.000</b>	<b>8,630,000</b>
Salida Area Community Facilities District No. 1988-1	100.000	<u>11,864,316</u>
<b>TOTAL DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING TAX AND ASSESSMENT DEBT</b>		<b>\$30,130,245</b>
Direct and Overlapping General Fund Debt		
Stanislaus County Certificates of Participation	4.400%	\$ 598,180
Stanislaus County Office of Education Certificates of Participation	4.400	34,540
Modesto High School District Certificates of Participation	9.076	1,490,279
Salida Union School District Certificates of Participation	100.00	6,545,000
City of Modesto Certificates of Participation	3.939	<u>1,664,228</u>
<b>TOTAL GROSS DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING GENERAL FUND DEBT</b>		<b>\$10,332,227</b>
Less: City of Modesto supported obligations		<u>18,907</u>
<b>TOTAL NET DIRECT AND OVERLAPPING GENERAL FUND DEBT</b>		<b>\$10,313,320</b>
Overlapping Tax Increment Debt:		
Successor Agency to Stanislaus County Redevelopment Agency	20.711%	<u>\$2,262,677</u>
<b>TOTAL OVERLAPPING TAX INCREMENT DEBT</b>		<b>\$2,262,677</b>
<b>GROSS COMBINED TOTAL DEBT</b>		<b>\$42,725,149<sup>(2)</sup></b>
<b>NET COMBINED TOTAL DEBT</b>		<b>\$42,706,242</b>
<u>Ratios to 2022-23 Assessed Valuation:</u>		
<b>Direct Debt (\$8,630,000).....</b>	<b>0.32%</b>	
Total Direct and Overlapping Tax and Assessment Debt.....	1.11%	
<b>Combined Direct Debt (\$15,175,000).....</b>	<b>0.56%</b>	
Gross Combined Total Debt.....	1.58%	
Net Combined Total Debt.....	1.57%	
<u>Ratios to Redevelopment Incremental Valuation (\$401,514,422):</u>		
Overlapping Tax Increment Debt .....	0.56%	

<sup>(1)</sup> Excludes the Bonds.

<sup>(2)</sup> Excludes tax and revenue anticipation notes, enterprise revenue, mortgage revenue and non-bonded capital lease obligations.

Source: *California Municipal Statistics Inc.*



## DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

*The information in this section concerning the operations of the District and the District's finances is provided as supplementary information only, and it should not be inferred from the inclusion of this information in this Official Statement that the principal and interest on the Bonds is payable from the general fund of the District. The Bonds are payable from the proceeds of an ad valorem tax approved by the voters pursuant to all applicable laws and State Constitutional requirements, and required to be levied by the County on all taxable property within the District in an amount sufficient for the timely payment of principal and interest on the Bonds. See "SECURITY FOR THE BONDS" and "TAX BASE FOR REPAYMENT OF THE BONDS" herein.*

### State Funding of Education

On June 27, 2013, the State adopted a new method for funding school districts commonly known as the "Local Control Funding Formula." The Local Control Funding Formula ("LCFF") was implemented in stages, beginning in fiscal year 2013-14 with full implementation in fiscal year 2018-19. Prior to adoption of the LCFF, the State used a revenue limit system.

**Local Control Funding Formula.** State Assembly Bill 97 (Stats. 2013, Chapter 47) ("AB 97"), enacted as part of the 2013-14 State Budget (defined below), as amended by Senate Bill 91 ("SB 91") and other legislation since initial implementation, comprise the statutory framework of the LCFF. The LCFF replaced the revenue limit funding system and many categorical programs. The LCFF distributes resources to schools through a guaranteed base funding grant (the "Base Grant") per unit of ADA. The average Base Grant per unit of ADA under the LCFF is more than the average revenue limit under the prior funding system. A Base Grant is assigned to each of four grade spans. Additional supplemental funding is made available based on the proportion of English language learners, low-income students and foster youth.

For fiscal year 2022-23, the LCFF provided to school districts and charter schools a Target Base Grant for each Local Education Agency ("LEA") equivalent to (a) \$9,132 per ADA for kindergarten through grade 3; (b) \$9,269 per ADA for grades 4 through 6; (c) \$9,545 per ADA for grades 7 and 8; and (d) \$11,060 per ADA for grades 9 through 12.

Beginning in fiscal year 2013-14, and in each subsequent year, the Base Grants have been adjusted for cost-of-living increases by applying the implicit price deflator for government goods and services. With full implementation of the LCFF, the provision of a cost-of-living-adjustment ("COLA") is now subject to appropriation for such adjustment in the annual State budget. For fiscal year 2022-23, a 6.56% COLA was included. See "– State Budget Measures – 2022-23 State Budget" and "– Proposed 2023-24 State Budget" for information regarding the proposed COLA for fiscal year 2023-24. The differences among Base Grants are linked to differentials in statewide average revenue limit rates by district type, and are intended to recognize the generally higher costs of education at higher grade levels.

The Base Grants for grades K-3 and 9-12 are subject to adjustments of 10.4% and 2.6%, respectively, to cover the costs of class size reduction in early grades and the provision of career technical education in high schools. Unless otherwise collectively bargained for, school districts serving students in grades K-3 must maintain an average class enrollment of 24 or fewer students in grades K-3 at each school site in order to continue receiving the adjustment to the K-3 Base Grant. Additional add-ons are also provided to school districts that received categorical block grant funding pursuant to the Targeted Instructional Improvement and Home-to-School Transportation programs during fiscal year 2012-13.

School districts that serve students of limited English proficiency (“EL” students), students from low income families that are eligible for free or reduced priced meals (“LI” students) and foster youth are eligible to receive additional funding grants. Enrollment counts are unduplicated, such that students may not be counted as both EL and LI (foster youth automatically meet the eligibility requirements for free or reduced priced meals (“FRPM”) and are not discussed separately herein). A supplemental grant add-on (each, a “Supplemental Grant”) is authorized for school districts that serve EL/LI students, equal to 20% of the applicable Base Grant multiplied by such districts’ percentage of unduplicated EL/LI student enrollment. School districts whose EL/LI populations exceed 55% of their total enrollment are eligible for a concentration grant add-on (each, a “Concentration Grant”) equal to 65% of the applicable Base Grant multiplied by the percentage of such district’s unduplicated EL/LI student enrollment in excess of the 55% threshold.

**ADA and Enrollment**

The following table sets forth the historical ADA (at P-2) and enrollment for fiscal years 2011-12 through 2021-22.

**SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT  
Historical ADA and Enrollment<sup>1</sup>  
Fiscal Years 2011-12 through 2021-22**

Fiscal Year	ADA	Enrollment
2011-12	2,566	2,687
2012-13	2,481	2,602
2013-14	2,481	2,585
2014-15	2,459	2,576
2015-16	2,398	2,502
2016-17	2,388	2,491
2017-18	2,353	2,481
2018-19	2,302	2,419
2019-20	2,247	2,362
2020-21	2,247 <sup>2</sup>	2,244
2021-22	2,033	2,233

<sup>1</sup>Includes Independence Charter School, a dependent charter school that previously operated on District property but was closed on June 30, 2022.

<sup>2</sup> Reflects hold harmless ADA under Covid-related legislation.

Source: *The District*.

**Declining Enrollment.** The District has experienced declining enrollment in the last decade of approximately 421 students. The District projects that enrollment will continue to decline by another approximately 25 students per year over the current and next two fiscal years as reflected in its budget and as shown in the following table. The District attributes the decline in enrollment to local demographic shifts including a decline in birth rates and State-wide declines in population. The District currently offers transitional kindergarten at each of its campuses and does not expect an increase in enrollment in future years due to the expansion of the transitional kindergarten program. See “DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – District Budgets” for a discussion of the impact of declining enrollment, among other factors, on the District’s financial condition.

The following table sets forth the ADA, enrollment and the percentage of EL/LI enrollment budgeted for fiscal year 2022-23 and projected for fiscal years 2023-24 and 2024-25.

**SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT  
ADA, English Language/Low Income Enrollment  
Fiscal Years 2022-23 through 2024-25**

ADA						
Fiscal Year	TK-3	4-6	7-8	Total ADA	Total Enrollment	Unduplicated Count (as % of Total Enrollment)
2022-23 <sup>1</sup>	855.49	673.27	484.23	2,012.99	2,168	75.0
2023-24 <sup>2</sup>	856.09	673.75	484.57	2,014.42	2,143	75.0
2024-25 <sup>2</sup>	855.11	672.98	484.02	2,012.10	2,118	75.0

<sup>(1)</sup> Budgeted.

<sup>(2)</sup> Projected.

Source: *The District*.

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic and related State budget-implementing legislation, California school districts, other than certain charter school districts, were held harmless against any loss of ADA for purposes of calculating apportionment in the 2020-21 fiscal year, with ADA for purposes of calculation of state funding based on ADA for fiscal year 2019-20. Additionally, due to State-wide declining enrollment trends, additional hold harmless measures have been instituted to shelter school districts from large annual revenue losses. For fiscal year 2021-22, ADA for funding purposes was based on ADA for fiscal year 2019-20. Changes to LCFF funding in fiscal year 2022-23 budget for the State permits schools districts to use the greater of the current year or prior year ADA or an average of the three prior years' ADA to calculate LCFF funding. See "DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – State Budget Measures."

The sum of a school district's adjusted Base, Supplemental and Concentration Grants will be multiplied by such district's second principal apportionment ADA for the current or prior year, whichever is greater (with certain adjustments applicable to small school districts). This funding amount, together with any applicable economic recovery target or categorical block grant add-ons, will yield a district's total LCFF allocation. Generally, the amount of annual State apportionments received by a school district will amount to the difference between such total LCFF allocation and such district's share of applicable local property taxes. Most school districts receive a significant portion of their funding from such State apportionments. As a result, decreases in State revenues may significantly affect appropriations made by the Legislature to school districts.

Certain schools districts, known as "community-funded" districts (formerly, "basic aid" districts), have allocable local property tax collections that equal or exceed such districts' total LCFF allocation, and result in the receipt of no State apportionment aid. Community-funded school districts receive only special categorical funding, which is deemed to satisfy the "basic aid" requirement of \$120 per student per year guaranteed by Article IX, Section 6 of the State Constitution. The implication for community-funded districts is that the legislatively determined allocations to school districts, and other politically determined factors, are less significant in determining their primary funding sources. Rather, property tax growth and the local economy are the primary determinants. The District does not qualify as community-funded.

**Accountability.** The State Board of Education has promulgated regulations regarding the expenditure of supplemental and concentration funding, including a requirement that school districts increase or improve services for EL/LI students in proportion to the increase in funds apportioned to such district on the basis of the number and concentration of such EL/LI students, as well as the conditions under which school districts can use supplemental or concentration funding on a school-wide or district-wide basis.

School districts are also required to adopt local control and accountability plans (“LCAPs”) disclosing annual goals for all students, as well as certain numerically significant student subgroups, to be achieved in eight areas of State priority identified by the LCFF. LCAPs may also specify additional local priorities. LCAPs must specify the actions to be taken to achieve each goal, including actions to correct identified deficiencies with regard to areas of State priority. LCAPs, covering a three-year period, are required to be adopted annually. The State Board of Education has developed and adopted a template LCAP for use by school districts.

**Support and Intervention.** The LCFF establishes a new system of support and intervention to assist school districts meet the performance expectations outlined in their respective LCAPs. School districts must adopt their LCAPs (or annual updates thereto) in tandem with their annual operating budgets, and not later than five days thereafter submit such LCAPs or updates to their respective county superintendents of schools. On or before August 15 of each year, a county superintendent may seek clarification regarding the contents of a district’s LCAP (or annual update thereto), and the district is required to respond to such a request within 15 days. Within 15 days of receiving such a response, the county superintendent can submit non-binding recommendations for amending the LCAP or annual update, and such recommendations must be considered by the respective school district at a public hearing within 15 days. A district’s LCAP or annual update must be approved by the county superintendent by October 8 of each year if the superintendent determines that (i) the LCAP or annual update adheres to the State template, and (ii) the district’s budgeted expenditures are sufficient to implement the actions and strategies outlined in the LCAP.

A school district is required to receive additional support if its respective LCAP or annual update thereto is not approved, if the district requests technical assistance from its respective county superintendent, or if the district does not improve student achievement across more than one State priority for one or more student subgroups. Such support can include a review of a district’s strengths and weaknesses in the eight State priority areas, or the assignment of an academic expert to assist the district identify and implement programs designed to improve outcomes. Assistance may be provided by the California Collaborative for Educational Excellence, a state agency created by the LCFF and charged with assisting school districts achieve the goals set forth in their LCAPs. The State Board of Education has developed rubrics to assess school district performance and the need for support and intervention.

The State Superintendent of Public Instruction (the “State Superintendent”) is further authorized, with the approval of the State Board of Education, to intervene in the management of persistently underperforming school districts. The State Superintendent may intervene directly or assign an academic trustee to act on his or her behalf. In so doing, the State Superintendent is authorized to (i) modify a district’s LCAP, (ii) impose budget revisions designed to improve student outcomes, and (iii) stay or rescind actions of the local governing board that would prevent such district from improving student outcomes; provided, however, that the State Superintendent is not authorized to rescind an action required by a local collective bargaining agreement.

## Revenue Sources

The District categorizes its general fund revenues into four sources: LCFF revenues, federal revenues, other state revenues and other local revenues. Each of these revenue sources is briefly described below.

**LCFF Sources.** State funding under the LCFF consists of Base Grants and supplemental grants as described above. This category also includes local property taxes. See “- State Funding of Education – Local Control Funding Formula” above.

**Federal Revenues.** The federal government provides funding for several District programs, including special education programs, programs under the Educational Consolidation and Improvement Act, and specialized programs such as Every Child Succeeds.

**Other State Revenues.** The District receives some other State revenues. These other State revenues are primarily restricted revenues funding items such as the Special Education Master Plan, Economic Impact Aid, School Improvement Program, instructional materials, and various block grants.

The District receives State aid from the California State Lottery (the "Lottery"), which was established by a constitutional amendment approved in the November 1984 general election. Lottery revenues must be used for the education of students and cannot be used for non-instructional purposes such as real property acquisition, facility construction, or the financing of research. Moreover, State Proposition 20 approved in March 2000 requires that 50% of the increase in Lottery revenues over 1997-98 levels must be restricted to use on instructional material.

**Other Local Revenues.** In addition to property taxes, the District receives additional local revenues from items such as interest earnings, interagency services and other local sources.

The following table presents each revenue source as a percentage of total revenues for fiscal years 2018-19 through 2022-23.

### SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT Percentage of Revenue by Source Fiscal Years 2018-19 through 2022-23

Revenue Source	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22	2022-23 <sup>(1)</sup>
LCFF sources	76.33%	79.13%	71.95%	72.90%	61.8%
Federal revenues	3.62	3.58	11.04	5.04	12.1
Other State revenues	12.61	9.34	10.66	17.01	21.4
Other local revenues	7.44	7.94	6.34	5.05	4.7

<sup>(1)</sup> Budgeted, based on Second Interim Report.  
Source: *The District*.

## Developer Fees

The District receives developer fees per square foot pursuant to Education Code Section 17620 which must be used to fund construction or reconstruction of school facilities. Current developer fees are \$4.79 per square foot for residential housing and \$0.78 per square foot for commercial or industrial development. The District shares boundaries with a high school district and must split this statutory maximum fee with the high school district based on each district’s agreed upon share of the fee. The District can levy developer fees up to 60%, or \$2.87 per square foot of residential construction. The

District collects 100% of the fees for commercial/industrial construction. The following table sets forth developer fee collections by the District for the last five fiscal years and the projected developer fee collections for the current fiscal year.

**SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT  
Developer Fee Collections**

Fiscal Year	Developer Fees Collected
2017-18	\$ 33,001.29
2018-19	44,024.65
2019-20	728,644.21 <sup>(1)</sup>
2020-21	469,877.67 <sup>(1)</sup>
2021-22	14,538.14
2022-23 <sup>(2)</sup>	42,500.00

<sup>(1)</sup> Reflects developer fees collected from new housing development consisting of approximately 150 single-family homes.

<sup>(2)</sup> Projected

Source: *The District*.

**COVID-19 Outbreak and its Economic Impact**

In late 2019, an outbreak of COVID-19, a respiratory virus, initially occurred in China and subsequently spread globally. The global outbreak, together with measures undertaken to limit the spread of COVID-19 imposed by local and federal governments, caused volatility in financial markets as well as operating restrictions upon many businesses. The COVID-19 outbreak resulted in the imposition of restrictions on mass gatherings and widespread temporary closings of businesses, universities and schools through much of 2020 and portions of 2021, as well as supply chain issues and increases in inflation as these restrictions and closures have been lifted.

**Federal Response.** On March 13, 2020, in response to the COVID-19 outbreak, then President Trump declared a national emergency, making available more than \$50 billion in federal resources to combat the spread of COVID-19. Contemporaneously, Congress enacted and the President signed into law the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (the “CARES Act”) to provide relief and stimulus to American businesses and individuals impacted by COVID-19. The CARES Act also designated \$339.8 billion for state and local governments with \$274 billion for COVID-19 response efforts as well as an additional \$13 billion for K-12 schools. In order to provide extensions to certain benefits previously provided under the CARES Act, as well as address ongoing economic impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic, in December, 2020, the federal government enacted the Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act (“CRRSA”) which included \$900 billion of coronavirus emergency response and relief including \$54.3 billion for K-12 schools and \$22.7 billion for higher education. In March, 2021, President Biden signed a \$1.9 trillion stimulus package (the “American Rescue Package”) into law, authorizing a third round of one-time stimulus payments for qualifying Americans, extending additional unemployment benefits, and providing \$123 billion in new, flexible aid to school districts. On January 31, 2023, the Biden Administration announced that the United States would end its COVID-19 emergency declarations on May 11, 2023.

**State Response.** In response to the outbreak of COVID-19 in the State, on March 4, 2020, Governor Gavin Newsom declared a State of Emergency. On March 19, 2020, Governor Newsom issued an Executive Order requiring mandatory shelter-in-place for all non-essential services. In September, 2020, the Governor replaced the Executive Order with the “Blueprint for a Safer Economy” (“Blueprint”) which provided regulations for economic and social activity on a county by county basis related to

certain metrics of disease transmission. The Blueprint system was terminated on June 15, 2021 and Governor Newsom ended the State of Emergency relating to COVID-19 on February 28, 2023.

As a result of the various regulations imposed in order to slow the spread of COVID-19, economic activity within the State, the County and the community around and within the District suffered episodes of recession and/or depression. Generally, a majority of the State's general fund revenue is derived from personal income tax receipts. However, the 2021-22 State Budget (defined below) and 2022-23 State Budget (defined below) indicated increases in State revenues during the COVID-19 pandemic, although current projections for the 2023-24 State budget forecast revenues decreasing from recent years. See "See " – State Budget Measures – Proposed 2023-24 State Budget" for additional information regarding projected State revenues in fiscal year 2023-24.

### **Impact of COVID-19 on California School Districts**

To assist school districts respond to the spread of COVID-19, on March 13, 2020, Governor Newsom issued Executive Order N-26-20, providing that school districts that initiate a school closure would continue to receive state funding to support all of the following during the period of closure: (1) continued delivery of high-quality educational opportunities to students through, among other options, distance learning and/or independent study; (2) the provision of school meals in noncongregate settings; (3) arrangement for supervision for students during ordinary school hours; and (4) continued payment of school district employees. The Executive Order also provided that statutory mandated maintenance of schools for a minimum of 175 days was waived for school districts that initiated a school closure to address COVID-19.

To address the impacts of school closures and the COVID-19 response, the California legislature, in 2020, adopted legislation to appropriate \$500,000,000 from the State General Fund for any purpose related to the Governor's declared State of Emergency. Among other things, the legislation provided that, for all school districts that complied with Executive Order N-26-20, attendance during only full school months from July 1, 2019, to February 29, 2020, inclusive, would be reported for apportionment purposes and, further, held harmless school districts not meeting minimum instructional day and minute requirements, in order to prevent a loss of funding related to school closures due to the outbreak.

The District, in tandem with other school districts in the State, closed its school campuses for the remainder of the 2019-20 school year and implemented a distance learning program. The District began the 2020-21 school year with a distance learning program and began offering a hybrid model of instruction for all grades beginning in the second half of the 2020-21, when the County moved into a lower risk assessment tier under the Blueprint. The District began the 2021-22 school year offering full time in-person learning, for which the State provided grants to incentivize and assist school districts with re-opening and learning loss mitigation.

During the COVID-19 pandemic, the District received approximately \$11,742,371 million in aggregate relief, including funding from the CARES Act, CRRSA and the American Rescue Package. The District has used such funding for, among other things, staff training, sanitizing supplies, educational technology, mental health services, professional development, broadband connectivity, meal services for families, and learning loss staffing and plans to use additional COVID-19 funding to improve air quality and ventilation, outdoor learning spaces, curriculum and instructional materials, and English language development and math supports.

The District cannot predict the extent or duration of another COVID-19 outbreak or what impact it may have on the District's General Fund revenues. However, the Bonds are general obligations of the

District payable solely from *ad valorem* property taxes and are not payable from the General Fund of the District. See “SECURITY FOR THE BONDS” herein.

## **Budget Procedures**

***State Budgeting Requirements.*** The District is required by provisions of the Education Code to maintain a balanced budget each year, in which the sum of expenditures and the ending fund balance cannot exceed the sum of revenues and the carry-over fund balance from the previous year. The State Department of Education imposes a uniform budgeting and accounting format for school districts. The budget process for school districts was substantially amended by Assembly Bill 1200 (“AB 1200”), which became State law on October 14, 1991. Portions of AB 1200 are summarized below.

School districts must adopt a budget on or before July 1 of each year. The budget must be submitted to the county superintendent within five days of adoption or by July 1, whichever occurs first. In 2014, Assembly Bill 2585 was enacted, which repealed provisions authorizing schools districts to use a dual budget adoption cycle. Instead, all school districts must be on a single budget cycle. The single budget is only readopted if it is disapproved by the county office of education, or as needed. The District is on a single budget cycle and adopts its budget on or before July 1.

The county superintendent will examine the adopted budget for compliance with the standards and criteria adopted by the State Board of Education and identify technical corrections necessary to bring the budget into compliance, will determine if the budget allows the district to meet its current obligations and will determine if the budget is consistent with a financial plan that will enable the district to meet its multi-year financial commitments. On or before August 15, the county superintendent will approve, conditionally approve or disapprove the adopted budget for each school district. Budgets will be disapproved if they fail the above standards. The district board must be notified by August 15 of the county superintendent’s recommendations for revision and reasons for the recommendations. The county superintendent may assign a fiscal advisor or appoint a committee to examine and comment on the superintendent’s recommendations. The committee must report its findings no later than August 20. Any recommendations made by the county superintendent must be made available by the district for public inspection. No later than August 20, the county superintendent must notify the State Superintendent of all school districts whose budget has been disapproved.

For districts whose budgets have been disapproved, the district must revise and readopt its budget by September 8, reflecting changes in projected income and expense since July 1, including responding to the county superintendent’s recommendations. The county superintendent must determine if the budget conforms with the standards and criteria applicable to final district budgets and not later than October 8, will approve or disapprove the revised budgets. If the budget is disapproved, the county superintendent will call for the formation of a budget review committee pursuant to Education Code Section 42127.1. Until a district’s budget is approved, the district will operate on the lesser of its proposed budget for the current fiscal year or the last budget adopted and reviewed for the prior fiscal year.

***Interim Financial Reports.*** Under the provisions of AB 1200, each school district is required to file interim certifications with the county office of education as to its ability to meet its financial obligations for the remainder of the then-current fiscal year and, based on current forecasts, for the subsequent fiscal year. The county office of education reviews the certification and issues either a positive, negative or qualified certification. A positive certification is assigned to any school district that will meet its financial obligations for the current fiscal year and the subsequent two fiscal years. A negative certification is assigned to any school district that will be unable to meet its financial obligations for the remainder of the current fiscal year or the subsequent fiscal year. A qualified certification is



assigned to any school district that may not meet its financial obligations for the current fiscal year or the two subsequent fiscal years.

The District has filed positive certifications for each of the reporting periods in the last five fiscal years.

**General Fund Budget.** The District's General Fund adopted budgets for fiscal years 2018-19 through 2022-23, audited actuals for the fiscal years 2018-19 through 2021-22 and Second Interim Report for fiscal year 2022-23 are set forth on the following page.

**SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT  
GENERAL FUND BUDGETING**

	Adopted Budget 2018-19 <sup>1</sup>	Audited Actuals 2018-19 <sup>1,2,3</sup>	Adopted Budget 2019-20 <sup>1</sup>	Audited Actuals 2019-20 <sup>1,2,4</sup>	Adopted Budget 2020-21 <sup>1</sup>	Audited Actuals 2020-21 <sup>1,2,5</sup>	Adopted Budget 2021-22 <sup>1</sup>	Audited Actuals 2021-22 <sup>1,2,6</sup>	Adopted Budget 2022-23 <sup>7</sup>	Second Interim Report 2022-23 <sup>8</sup>
<b>REVENUES</b>										
LCFF Sources	\$23,391,889	\$23,642,127	\$23,974,402	\$23,889,171	\$21,658,897	\$23,615,200	\$24,622,678	\$25,269,787	\$24,894,229	\$28,135,447
Federal	1,006,700	1,122,008	1,037,826	1,080,056	985,070	3,623,674	2,398,828	1,746,310	1,301,755	5,496,902
Other State	1,744,236	3,905,708	977,297	2,821,071	968,094	3,499,425	2,171,552	5,897,781	2,164,007	9,729,398
Other Local	1,634,493	2,304,514	1,569,460	2,398,355	1,694,112	2,082,232	1,811,893	1,750,394	2,230,020	2,159,404
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<b>27,777,318</b>	<b>30,974,357</b>	<b>27,558,985</b>	<b>30,188,653</b>	<b>25,306,173</b>	<b>32,820,531</b>	<b>31,004,951</b>	<b>34,664,272</b>	<b>30,590,011</b>	<b>45,523,151</b>
<b>EXPENDITURES</b>										
Current										
Certificated Salaries	12,081,594	12,084,967	12,227,510	12,267,129	12,250,130	12,956,485	13,547,801	14,052,639	14,588,850	15,509,805
Classified Salaries	4,053,742	3,908,446	4,123,223	4,057,502	4,445,521	3,955,921	4,603,223	4,288,125	5,143,978	5,683,640
Employee Benefits	4,763,804	6,716,687	4,794,744	5,943,204	4,762,558	5,932,991	5,496,228	6,800,988	6,297,296	6,696,725
Books and Supplies	1,667,047	1,212,941	1,616,081	1,132,179	1,311,001	2,421,787	1,898,651	2,065,708	1,910,695	1,941,774
Services, Other										
Operating Expenses	5,413,625	4,986,547	5,715,637	4,903,847	4,976,293	5,363,341	6,311,185	6,240,483	6,042,692	6,098,108
Other Outgo	429,172	221,968	243,164	256,697	235,790	73,908	181,741	68,203	230,903	214,823
Capital outlay	307,000	390,331	--	21,722	45,000	151,712	23,960	8,838	1,663,450	2,585,146
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>28,715,984</b>	<b>29,521,887</b>	<b>28,720,359</b>	<b>28,582,280</b>	<b>28,026,293</b>	<b>30,856,145</b>	<b>32,062,789</b>	<b>33,524,984</b>	<b>35,877,865</b>	<b>38,730,021</b>
<b>Excess (Deficiency) Of Revenues Over (Under) Expenditures</b>	<b>(938,666)</b>	<b>1,452,470</b>	<b>(1,161,374)</b>	<b>1,606,373</b>	<b>(2,720,120)</b>	<b>1,964,386</b>	<b>(1,057,838)</b>	<b>1,139,288</b>	<b>(5,287,853)</b>	<b>6,793,130</b>
<b>OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)</b>										
Transfers in	--	135,000	--	--	--	--	14,500	-	--	--
Transfers out	(108,807)	(38,210)	(61,769)	(102,865)	(310,947)	(1,955,097)	(296,174)	(235,849)	(192,970)	192,970
<b>Total Financing Sources (Uses)</b>	<b>(108,807)</b>	<b>96,790</b>	<b>(61,769)</b>	<b>(102,865)</b>	<b>(310,947)</b>	<b>(1,955,097)</b>	<b>(281,674)</b>	<b>(235,849)</b>	<b>(192,970)</b>	<b>(192,970)</b>
<b>NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES</b>										
	<b>(1,047,473)</b>	<b>1,549,260</b>	<b>(1,223,143)</b>	<b>1,503,508</b>	<b>(3,031,067)</b>	<b>49,289</b>	<b>(1,339,512)</b>	<b>903,439</b>	<b>(5,480,823)</b>	<b>6,600,160</b>
Fund Balance, July 1	6,308,274	6,308,274	7,857,534	7,857,534	9,361,042	9,361,042	9,410,331	9,410,331	9,961,830	9,961,830
Fund Balance, June 30	\$ 5,260,801	\$ 7,857,534	\$ 6,634,391	\$ 9,361,042	\$ 6,329,975	\$ 9,410,331	\$ 8,070,819	\$ 10,313,770	\$ 4,481,007	\$ 16,561,990

<sup>1</sup> From the audited financial statements of the District for such fiscal year.

<sup>2</sup> Due to the consolidation of Fund 17, Special Reserve Fund for Other Than Capital Outlay Projects for reporting purposes into the General Fund, additional revenues and expenditures pertaining to this fund are included in the audited financial statements for fiscal years 2018-19 through 2021-22, but are not included in the adopted budgets for fiscal years 2018-19 through 2022-23 or Second Interim Report for fiscal year 2022-23.

<sup>3</sup> On behalf payments of \$1,138,965 are included in the actual revenues and expenditures for fiscal year 2018-19 but have not been included in the budgeted amounts.

<sup>4</sup> On behalf payments of \$1,519,679 are included in the actual revenues and expenditures for fiscal year 2019-20 but have not been included in the budgeted amounts.

<sup>5</sup> On behalf payments of \$1,348,994 are included in the actual revenues and expenditures for fiscal year 2020-21 but have not been included in the budgeted amounts.

<sup>6</sup> On behalf payments of \$1,536,745 are included in the actual revenues and expenditures for fiscal year 2021-22 but have not been included in the budgeted amounts.

<sup>7</sup> From fiscal year 2022-23 Adopted Budget.

<sup>8</sup> From fiscal year 2022-23 Second Interim Report.

Source: *The District*.

## **Comparative Financial Statements**

The District's General Fund finances the legally authorized activities of the District for which restricted funds are not provided. General Fund revenues are derived from such sources as State school fund apportionments, taxes, use of money and property, and aid from other governmental agencies. Audited financial statements for the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022 and prior fiscal years are on file with the District and available for public inspection at the Office of the Superintendent of the District, 4801 Sisk Road, Salida, California 95368. See APPENDIX B hereto for the 2021-22 Audited Financial Statements of the District.

The following table reflects the District's audited general fund revenues, expenditures and fund balances from fiscal year 2018-19 to fiscal year 2021-22.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

**SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT  
GENERAL FUND  
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures and Change in Fund Balances  
for Fiscal Years 2018-19 through 2021-22**

	2018-19 Audit	2019-20 Audit	2020-21 Audit	2021-22 Audit
<b>REVENUES</b>				
LCFF Sources	\$23,642,127	\$23,889,171	\$23,615,200	\$25,269,787
Federal Revenues	1,122,008	1,080,056	3,623,674	1,746,310
Other State Revenues	3,905,708	2,821,071	3,499,425	5,897,781
Other Local Revenues	2,304,514	2,398,355	2,082,232	1,750,394
<b>Total Revenues</b>	<b>30,974,357</b>	<b>30,188,653</b>	<b>32,820,531</b>	<b>34,664,272</b>
<b>EXPENDITURES</b>				
Instruction	18,771,810	17,514,803	19,590,664	21,617,853
Instruction related services:				
Supervision of instruction	455,911	443,416	515,969	618,515
Instructional library, media and technology	151,318	165,891	144,523	149,488
School site administration	1,393,925	1,474,693	1,329,081	1,435,877
Pupil services:				
Home-to-school transportation	432,288	341,246	358,952	384,731
Food services	23,993	15,484	(2,999)	(4,087)
All other pupil services	2,161,971	2,636,673	2,694,967	2,943,026
General administration:				
Data processing	598,768	638,983	690,228	609,061
All other administration	1,654,403	1,536,649	1,782,217	1,895,943
Plant services	2,711,922	2,275,086	2,371,820	2,394,546
Facility acquisition and construction	--	--	--	--
Ancillary services	612,606	590,594	556,642	603,140
Community services	552,378	507,859	529,892	603,394
Other outgo	594	435,696	269,189	273,497
Enterprise services	--	5,207	25,000	--
<b>Total Expenditures</b>	<b>29,521,887</b>	<b>28,582,280</b>	<b>30,856,145</b>	<b>33,524,984</b>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures	1,452,470	1,606,373	1,964,386	1,139,288
<b>OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES):</b>				
Transfers In	135,000	--	40,000	--
Transfers Out	(38,210)	(102,865)	(1,955,097)	(235,849)
<b>Net Financing Sources</b>	<b>96,790</b>	<b>(102,865)</b>	<b>(1,915,097)</b>	<b>(235,849)</b>
<b>NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCES</b>				
	1,549,260	1,503,508	49,289	903,439
Fund Balances at Beginning of Year	6,308,274	7,857,534	9,361,042	9,410,331
Fund Balances at End of Year	<b>\$ 7,857,534</b>	<b>\$ 9,361,042</b>	<b>\$ 9,410,331</b>	<b>\$ 10,313,770</b>

Source: *The District.*

**Accounting Practices**

The accounting policies of the District conform to generally accepted accounting principles in accordance with policies and procedures of the California School Accounting Manual. This manual, according to Section 41010 of the Education Code, is to be followed by all California school districts. Revenues are recognized in the period in which they become both measurable and available to finance

expenditures of the current fiscal period. Expenditures are recognized in the period in which the liability is incurred.

## State Budget Measures

*The following information concerning the State's budgets has been obtained from publicly available information which the District believes to be reliable; however, the District does not guaranty the accuracy or completeness of this information and has not independently verified such information.*

**2022-23 State Budget.** Governor Newsom signed legislation which enacted the budget for the State for fiscal year 2022-23 (the "2022-23 State Budget") on June 30, 2022. The 2022-23 State Budget projected approximately \$219.7 billion in general fund revenues with a prior year balance of \$22.5 billion for total resources of \$242.2 billion, and \$234.4 billion in expenditures for fiscal year 2022-23. For fiscal year 2021-22, the 2022-23 State Budget estimated \$265.4 billion in resources and \$242.9 billion in expenditures. The 2022-23 State Budget projected \$37.2 billion in reserves including \$23.3 billion in the Budget Stabilization Account (the "BSA") for fiscal emergencies, \$900 million in the Safety Net Reserve, \$9.5 billion in the Public School Stabilization Account (the "PSSSA"), and an estimated \$3.5 billion in the State's operating reserve. The BSA is at its constitutional maximum (10% of general fund revenues) requiring \$465 million to be dedicated for infrastructure investments in fiscal year 2022-23. Over the multi-year forecast period, the 2022-23 State Budget reflected \$8 billion in supplemental deposits split evenly between the BSA and the Safety Net Reserve. As a result of the deposits to the PSSSA, the 10% cap on school district reserves was projected to be applicable in fiscal year 2022-23. See "CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS AFFECTING DISTRICT REVENUES – Proposition 2" herein for more information regarding school district reserves.

The 2022-23 State Budget prioritized one-time spending over ongoing spending, allocating 93% of discretionary funds to one-time spending. The 2022-23 State Budget provided an over \$17 billion broad-based relief package, including a refund of up to \$1,050 to benefit millions of Californians based on income level and the size of household. The relief package also included increased grants for the State's lowest income families and individuals, and additional funding for food banks.

The 2022-23 State Budget also addressed environmental matters facing California. The 2022-23 State Budget included \$1.2 billion to advance wildfire prevention and forest resilience investments and funded an additional 1,265 new positions to expand the State's wildfire response capacity. \$1.2 billion was included for immediate drought support with an additional \$1.5 billion deferred for allocation for long-term water resilience. The 2022-23 State Budget also allocated \$4.3 billion to provide energy reliability insurance through the development of a strategic reserve, protection to ratepayers, and accelerated deployment of clean energy projects, with an additional \$3.8 billion deferred for allocation in the summer of 2022 to further reliability and affordability and accelerate the State's clean energy future.

With respect to K-12 education, the 2022-23 State Budget included total funding of \$128.6 billion (\$78.6 billion general fund and \$50 billion other funds) for all K-12 education programs. The 2022-23 State Budget estimated Proposition 98 funds of \$96.1 billion in fiscal year 2020-21, \$110.2 billion in fiscal year 2021-22, and \$110.4 billion in fiscal year 2022-23 for K-14 education programs. For K-12 schools, the results was Proposition 98 per pupil spending of \$16,993 in 2022-23, a \$3,017 increase over the fiscal year 2021-22 per pupil spending levels. Additionally, in the same period, per pupil spending from all sources increased to \$22,893 under the 2022-23 State Budget.

The 2022-23 State Budget included an LCFF COLA of 6.56%. Additionally, the 2022-23 State Budget included \$4.32 billion ongoing Proposition 98 funds to increase LCFF base funding by an additional

6.28%. The 2022-23 State Budget also included \$101.2 million ongoing Proposition 98 funds to augment LCFF funding for county offices of education.

To support school districts with a declining student population, the 2022-23 State Budget provided that school districts might use the greater of the current year or prior year ADA or an average of the three prior years' ADA to calculate LCFF funding. Further, to minimize reductions in LCFF funding that would otherwise occur due to increased absences in fiscal year 2021-22, the 2022-23 State Budget enabled school districts that can demonstrate they provided independent study offerings during fiscal year 2021-22 to be funded at the greater of their current year ADA or their current year enrollment adjusted for pre-COVID-19 absence rates in the 2021-22 fiscal year. The 2022-23 State Budget included \$2.8 billion of ongoing funding under Proposition 98 and \$413 million in one-time funding under Proposition 98 to implement these school fiscal stabilization policies.

Additional significant provisions of the 2022-23 State Budget relating to K-12 education included the following:

- *Learning Recovery Emergency Fund* – \$7.9 billion in one-time Proposition 98 funds to support learning recovery initiatives through the 2027–28 school year.
- *Arts, Music, and Instructional Materials Discretionary Block Grant* – \$3.6 billion one-time Proposition 98 funds for arts and music programs, standards-aligned professional development, instructional materials, developing diverse book collections, operational costs, and expenses related to the COVID-19 Pandemic.
- *Expanded Community School Model* – \$1.1 billion in Proposition 98 funds to expand the community school model and provide grants for high needs schools in communities with high levels of poverty.
- *Educator Workforce* – \$48.1 million for training and retention of well-prepared educators including waiving certain teacher examination fees, grants for integrated teacher preparation programs and operations support for the Commission on Teacher Credentialing.
- *Teacher and School Counsel Residencies* – \$250 million one-time Proposition 98 funds to expand residency slots for teachers and school counselors and eligibility for the Golden State Teacher Grant Program.
- *Educator Support for Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Instruction* – \$85 million one-time Proposition 98 funds for the Next Generation Science Standards, the California Math Framework, the California Computer Science Standards, and the math and science domains of the California Preschool Learning Foundations.
- *State Preschool* – \$312.7 million in Proposition 98 funds and \$172.3 million in other funds to increase State Preschool Program adjustment factors for students with disabilities, dual language learners, and childhood mental health, \$250 million one-time Proposition 98 funds to support the Inclusive Early Education Expansion Program, \$300 million one-time Proposition 98 funds for planning and implementation grants, \$166.2 million Proposition 98 funds to support the full-year costs of State preschool rate increases and \$148.7 million one-time funds to maintain reimbursement rate increases.
- *Transitional Kindergarten* – \$614 million in Proposition 98 funds for the first year of expanded eligibility for transitional kindergarten and \$383 million Proposition 98 funds to add one additional staff person to every transitional kindergarten class.

- *Expanded Learning Opportunities Program* – \$3 billion Proposition 98 funds to accelerate expanded-day, full-year instruction and enrichment focused on school districts with the highest concentrations of low-income students, English language learners, and youth in foster care.
- *Community Engagement Initiative* – \$100 million in Proposition 98 funds to further positive relationship building between school districts and local communities.
- *Special Education* – \$500 million in Proposition 98 funds for the special education funding formula, amending the special education funding formula to calculate special education base funding allocations at the local educational agency level, and consolidating the special education extraordinary cost pools into a single cost pool to simplify the current funding formula.
- *College and Career Pathways* – \$500 million in Proposition 98 funds to support the development of pathway programs focused on technology, health care, education, and climate-related fields and \$200 million in Proposition 98 funds to strengthen and expand student access and participation in dual enrollment opportunities.
- *Home-to-School Transportation* – \$637 million in Proposition 98 funds to reimburse school districts for up to 60% of their transportation costs in the prior year.
- *Zero Emission School Buses* – \$1.5 billion in Proposition 98 general funds for greening school bus fleets.
- *Nutrition* – \$596 million in Proposition 98 funds for universal subsidized school meals, \$611.8 million in Proposition 98 funds to augment the state meal reimbursement rate, \$600 million in Proposition 98 funds for school kitchen infrastructure upgrades and equipment, food service employee training, and compensation related to serving fresh, minimally processed California-grown foods, and \$100 million in Proposition 98 funds for procurement practices for plant-based, restricted diet meals, California-grown or California-produced, sustainably grown, or whole or minimally processed foods, or to prepare meals fresh onsite.
- *K-12 School Facilities* – Approximately \$1.4 billion in Proposition 51 funds for school construction projects as well as \$1.3 billion in fiscal year 2021-22, \$2.1 billion in fiscal year 2023-24 and \$875 million in fiscal year 2024-25 for new construction and modernization projects, and \$100 million in fiscal year 2021-22 and \$550 million in fiscal year 2023-24 for the California Preschool, Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program.

**Proposed 2023-24 State Budget.** Governor Newsom announced his proposed budget for the State for fiscal year 2023-24 (the “Proposed 2023-24 State Budget”) on January 10, 2023, citing a downturn in current State revenues of approximately \$29.5 billion from the 2022-23 State Budget resulting in a \$22.5 billion budget deficit in fiscal year 2023-24. The Proposed 2023-24 State Budget projects revenues and transfers in fiscal year 2023-24 of \$231 billion and expenditures of \$223 billion. A balanced budget is accomplished through funding delays, reductions and shifts from general funds to other funding sources. However, certain reductions in the areas of climate and transportation, housing, parks and workforce training are set to be restored if sufficient revenues are available in subsequent fiscal years. The Proposed 2023-24 State Budget reflects \$35.6 billion in total budgetary reserves including \$22.4 billion in the BSA, \$8.5 billion in the PSSSA, \$900 million in the Safety Net Reserve and \$3.8 billion in the State’s operating reserve.

The Proposed 2023-24 State Budget provides total K-12 education funding of \$128.5 billion (\$78.7 general fund and \$49.8 billion from other funds). K-12 per pupil funding totals \$17,519 from Proposition 98 sources, its highest level ever, and \$23,723 per pupil when accounting for all funding sources. The projected

decrease in State revenues under the Proposed 2023-24 State Budget also lowers the Proposition 98 guarantee to \$110.4 billion in fiscal year 2021-22, \$106.9 billion on fiscal year 2022-23 and \$108.8 billion in fiscal year 2023-24. The deposit to the PSSSA is also lowered under the Proposed 2023-24 State Budget to \$365 million in fiscal year 2023-24, however, the cap of 10% on school district reserves remains in effect because the \$8.1 billion balance in the PSSSA in fiscal year 2022-23 is greater than 3% of the total K-12 Proposition 98 guarantee.

LCFF funding under the Proposed 2023-24 State Budget receives a COLA of 8.13%. The Proposed 2023-24 State Budget also includes \$300 million ongoing Proposition 98 funds to establish an equity multiplier as an add-on to the LCFF to augment resources for the highest-need schools in the State.

The Proposed 2023-24 State Budget includes \$690 million to implement the second year of transitional kindergarten expansion and \$165 million to support an additional certificated or classified staff person in TK classrooms, however, delays the 2022-23 State Budget's \$550 million investment in the Transitional Kindergarten and Full-Day Kindergarten Facilities Grant Program planned for fiscal year 2023-24 until 2024-25.

Significant provisions of the Proposed 2023-24 State Budget pertaining to K-12 education are as follows:

- Proposition 28—\$941 million (equivalent to 1% of the Proposition 98 guarantee) to fund the Arts and Music in Schools-Funding Guarantee approved by voters in November, 2022 to increase art instruction and/or arts programs.
- State Preschool Program—\$64.5 million Proposition 98 funds and \$51.8 million general funds to continue the multi-year plan to ramp-up inclusivity in the State Preschool Program.
- Commercial Dishwasher Grants—\$15 million one-time Proposition 98 funds to support school kitchen infrastructure related investments to specifically support a local educational agency's acquisition and installation of a commercial dishwasher.
- Student Friendly Services—\$3.9 million ongoing Proposition 98 funds to support the California College Guidance Initiative.
- K-12 High Speed Network—\$3.8 million ongoing Proposition 98 funds to support the K-12 High Speed Network program.
- Reversing Opioid Overdoses—An increase of \$3.5 million ongoing Proposition 98 general funds for all middle and high school sites to maintain at least two doses of naloxone hydrochloride or another medication to reverse an opioid overdose on campus for emergency aid.
- Data Support—An increase of \$2.5 million non-Proposition 98 general funds and 15 positions for the California Department of Education to meet state and federal data and accountability reporting requirements, support data exchanges with other agencies, and to quickly respond to emergent needs for data both internally and externally.
- Fiscal Crisis and Management Assistance Team (FCMAT)—An increase of \$750,000 ongoing Proposition 98 general funds to support the professional development of local educational agencies' Chief Budget Officers through mentorship programming by FCMAT.



**Future Actions.** The State has in past years experienced budgetary difficulties and has balanced its budget by requiring local political subdivisions to fund certain costs theretofore borne by the State. No prediction can be made as to whether the State will, in the future, take further measures which would, in turn, adversely affect the District. Further State actions taken to address any budgetary difficulties could have the effect of reducing District support indirectly, and the District is unable to predict the nature, extent or effect of such reductions. See also “DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – COVID-19 Outbreak and its Economic Impact” for a discussion of COVID-19 and its impact on the State economy.

The District cannot predict the extent to which the State will encounter budgetary difficulties and what budget actions will be taken to resolve those difficulties in future fiscal years. The District also cannot predict the impact future State Budgets will have on District finances and operations or what actions the State Legislature and the Governor may take to respond to changing State revenues and expenditures. Current and future State Budgets will be affected by national and State economic conditions and other factors which the District cannot control.

Certain actions or results could produce a significant shortfall of revenue and cash, and could consequently impair the State’s ability to fund schools. The COVID-19 pandemic has already resulted in significant negative economic effects at State and federal levels, and additional negative economic effects are possible, each of which could negatively impact anticipated State revenue levels. In addition, the pandemic could also result in higher State expenditures, of both a direct nature (such as those related to managing the outbreak) and an indirect nature (such as higher public usage of need-based programs resulting from unemployment or disability). See “DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – COVID-19 Outbreak and its Economic Impact” and “– Impact of COVID-19 on California School Districts” herein. The District also cannot predict whether the federal government will provide additional funding in amounts sufficient to offset any of the fiscal impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic described above.

## **CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS AFFECTING DISTRICT REVENUES**

### **Article XIII A of the California Constitution**

Article XIII A of the State Constitution (“Article XIII A”) limits the amount of *ad valorem* taxes on real property to 1% of “full cash value” as determined by the County assessor. Article XIII A defines “full cash value” to mean “the county assessor’s valuation of real property as shown on the 1975-76 bill under ‘full cash value,’ or thereafter, the appraised value of real property when purchased, newly constructed or a change in ownership has occurred after the 1975 assessment,” subject to exemptions in certain circumstances of property transfer or reconstruction. Determined in this manner, the full cash value is also referred to as the “base year value.” The “full cash value” is subject to annual adjustment to reflect increases, not to exceed 2% for any year, or decreases in the consumer price index or comparable local data, or to reflect reductions in property value caused by damage, destruction or other factors.

Article XIII A has been amended to allow for temporary reductions of assessed value in instances where the fair market value of real property falls below the base year value. Proposition 8—approved by the voters in November of 1978—provides for the enrollment of the lesser of the base year value or the market value of real property, taking into account reductions in value due to damage, destruction, depreciation, obsolescence, removal of property, or other factors causing a similar decline. In these instances, the market value is required to be reviewed annually until the market value exceeds the base year value. Reductions in assessed value could result in a corresponding increase in the annual tax rate levied by the County to pay debt service on outstanding general obligation bonds of the District, including the Bonds. See “TAX BASE FOR REPAYMENT OF THE BONDS – Assessed Valuations” herein.

Article XIII A requires a vote of two-thirds of the qualified electorate of a city, county, special district or other public agency to impose special taxes, while totally precluding the imposition of any additional *ad valorem*, sales or transaction tax on real property. Article XIII A exempts from the 1% tax limitation any taxes above that level required to pay debt service (a) on any indebtedness approved by the voters prior to July 1, 1978, or (b) as the result of an amendment approved by State voters on June 3, 1986, on any bonded indebtedness approved by two-thirds or more of the votes cast by the voters for the acquisition or improvement of real property on or after July 1, 1978, or (c) on bonded indebtedness incurred by a school district or community college district for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation or replacement of school facilities or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities, approved by 55% or more of the votes cast on the proposition, but only if certain accountability measures are included in the proposition. The tax for payment of principal of and interest on the Bonds falls within the exception described in (c) of the immediately preceding sentence. In addition, Article XIII A requires the approval of two-thirds or more of all members of the State Legislature to change any State taxes for the purpose of increasing tax revenues.

***Property Tax Base Transfer Constitutional Amendment.*** On November 3, 2020, voters in the State approved a constitutional amendment entitled Property Tax Transfers, Exemptions and Revenue for Wildfire Agencies and Counties Amendment. Proposition 19: (i) expands special rules that give property tax savings to homeowners that are over the age of 55, severely disabled, or whose property has been impacted by a natural disaster or contamination, when they buy a different home; (ii) narrows existing special rules for inherited properties; and (iii) broadens the scope of legal entity ownership changes that trigger reassessment of properties. The District cannot make any assurance as to what effect the implementation of Proposition 19 will have on assessed valuation of real property in the District.

### **Legislation Implementing Article XIII A**

Legislation has been enacted and amended a number of times since 1978 to implement Article XIII A. Under current law, local agencies are no longer permitted to levy directly any property tax (except to pay voter-approved indebtedness). The 1% property tax is automatically levied by the County and distributed according to a formula among taxing agencies. The formula apportions the tax roughly in proportion to the relative shares of taxes levied prior to 1979.

Increases of assessed valuation resulting from reappraisals of property due to new construction, change in ownership or from the annual adjustment not to exceed 2% are allocated among the various jurisdictions in the “taxing area” based upon their respective “situs.” Any such allocation made to a local agency continues as part of its allocation in future years.

Beginning in fiscal year 1981-82, assessors in California no longer record property values on tax rolls at the assessed value of 25% of market value which was expressed as \$4 per \$100 of assessed value. All taxable property is now shown at 100% of assessed value on the tax rolls. Consequently, the tax rate is expressed as \$1 per \$100 of taxable value. All taxable property value included in this Official Statement is shown at 100% of taxable value (unless noted differently) and all tax rates reflect the \$1 per \$100 of taxable value.

Both the United States Supreme Court and the California State Supreme Court have upheld the general validity of Article XIII A.

### **Unitary Property**

Some amount of property tax revenue of the District is derived from utility property which is considered part of a utility system with components located in many taxing jurisdictions (“unitary property”). Under the State Constitution, such property is assessed by the SBE as part of a “going concern” rather than as

individual pieces of real or personal property. State-assessed unitary and certain other property is allocated to the County by SBE, taxed at special county-wide rates, and the tax revenues distributed to taxing jurisdictions (including the District) according to statutory formulae generally based on the distribution of taxes in the prior year.

The California electric utility industry has been undergoing significant changes in its structure and in the way in which components of the industry are regulated and owned. Sale of electric generation assets to largely unregulated, nonutility companies may affect how those assets are assessed, and which local agencies are to receive the property taxes. The District is unable to predict the impact of these changes on its utility property tax revenues, or whether legislation may be proposed or adopted in response to industry restructuring, or whether any future litigation may affect ownership of utility assets or the State's methods of assessing utility property and the allocation of assessed value to local taxing agencies, including the District. Because the District is not a basic aid district, taxes lost through any reduction of assessed valuation will be compensated by the State as equalization aid under the State's school financing formula. See "DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – State Funding of Education" herein.

### **Article XIII B of the California Constitution**

Article XIII B of the State Constitution ("Article XIII B"), as subsequently amended by Propositions 98 and 111, respectively, limits the annual appropriations of the State and of any city, county, school district, authority or other political subdivision of the State to the level of appropriations of the particular governmental entity for the prior fiscal year, as adjusted for changes in the cost of living and in population and for transfers in the financial responsibility for providing services and for certain declared emergencies. As amended, Article XIII B defines:

- (a) "change in the cost of living" with respect to school districts to mean the percentage change in California per capita income from the preceding year, and
- (b) "change in population" with respect to a school district to mean the percentage change in the average daily attendance of the school district from the preceding fiscal year.

For fiscal years beginning on or after July 1, 1990, the appropriations limit of each entity of government shall be the appropriations limit for the 1986-87 fiscal year adjusted for the changes made from that fiscal year pursuant to the provisions of Article XIII B, as amended.

The appropriations of an entity of local government subject to Article XIII B limitations include the proceeds of taxes levied by or for that entity and the proceeds of certain state subventions to that entity. "Proceeds of taxes" include, but are not limited to, all tax revenues and the proceeds to the entity from (a) regulatory licenses, user charges and user fees (but only to the extent that these proceeds exceed the reasonable costs in providing the regulation, product or service), and (b) the investment of tax revenues.

Appropriations subject to limitation do not include (a) refunds of taxes, (b) appropriations for certain debt service, (c) appropriations required to comply with certain mandates of the courts or the federal government, (d) appropriations of certain special districts, (e) appropriations for all qualified capital outlay projects as defined by the Legislature, (f) appropriations derived from certain fuel and vehicle taxes and (g) appropriations derived from certain taxes on tobacco products.

Article XIII B includes a requirement that all revenues received by an entity of government other than the State in a fiscal year and in the fiscal year immediately following it in excess of the amount permitted to be appropriated during that fiscal year and the fiscal year immediately following it shall be returned by a revision of tax rates or fee schedules within the next two subsequent fiscal years. However, if a school

district's revenues exceed its spending limit, such school district may in any fiscal year increase its appropriations limit to equal its spending by borrowing appropriations limit from the State.

Article XIII B also includes a requirement that 50% of all revenues received by the State in a fiscal year and in the fiscal year immediately following it in excess of the amount permitted to be appropriated during that fiscal year and the fiscal year immediately following it shall be transferred and allocated to the State School Fund pursuant to Section 8.5 of Article XVI of the State Constitution. See “–Proposition 98” and “–Proposition 111” below.

### **Article XIII C and Article XIII D of the California Constitution**

On November 5, 1996, the voters of the State of California approved Proposition 218, popularly known as the “Right to Vote on Taxes Act.” Proposition 218 added to the California Constitution Articles XIII C and XIII D (respectively, “Article XIII C” and “Article XIII D”), which contain a number of provisions affecting the ability of local agencies, including school districts, to levy and collect both existing and future taxes, assessments, fees and charges.

According to the “Title and Summary” of Proposition 218 prepared by the California Attorney General, Proposition 218 limits “the authority of local governments to impose taxes and property-related assessments, fees and charges.” Among other things, Article XIII C establishes that every tax is either a “general tax” (imposed for general governmental purposes) or a “special tax” (imposed for specific purposes), prohibits special purpose government agencies such as school districts and community college districts from levying general taxes, and prohibits any local agency from imposing, extending or increasing any special tax beyond its maximum authorized rate without a two-thirds vote; and also provides that the initiative power will not be limited in matters of reducing or repealing local taxes, assessments, fees and charges. Article XIII C further provides that no tax may be assessed on property other than *ad valorem* property taxes imposed in accordance with Articles XIII and XIII A of the California Constitution and special taxes approved by a two-thirds vote under Article XIII A, Section 4. Article XIII D deals with assessments and property-related fees and charges, and explicitly provides that nothing in Article XIII C or XIII D will be construed to affect existing laws relating to the imposition of fees or charges as a condition of property development.

The District does not impose any taxes, assessments, or property-related fees or charges which are subject to the provisions of Proposition 218. It does, however, receive a portion of the basic one percent *ad valorem* property tax levied and collected by the County pursuant to Article XIII A of the California Constitution. The provisions of Proposition 218 may have an indirect effect on the District, such as by limiting or reducing the revenues otherwise available to other local governments whose boundaries encompass property located within the District thereby causing such local governments to reduce service levels and possibly adversely affecting the value of property within the District.

### **Proposition 26**

On November 2, 2010, voters in the State approved Proposition 26. Proposition 26 amends Article XIII C of the State Constitution to expand the definition of “tax” to include “any levy, charge, or exaction of any kind imposed by a local government” except the following: (1) a charge imposed for a specific benefit conferred or privilege granted directly to the payor that is not provided to those not charged, and which does not exceed the reasonable costs to the local government of conferring the benefit or granting the privilege; (2) a charge imposed for a specific government service or product provided directly to the payor that is not provided to those not charged, and which does not exceed the reasonable costs to the local government of providing the service or product; (3) a charge imposed for the reasonable regulatory costs to a local government for issuing licenses and permits, performing investigations, inspections, and audits, enforcing agricultural marketing orders, and the administrative enforcement and adjudication thereof; (4) a

charge imposed for entrance to or use of local government property, or the purchase, rental, or lease of local government property; (5) a fine, penalty, or other monetary charge imposed by the judicial branch of government or a local government, as a result of a violation of law; (6) a charge imposed as a condition of property development; and (7) assessments and property-related fees imposed in accordance with the provisions of Article XIII D. Proposition 26 provides that the local government bears the burden of proving by a preponderance of the evidence that a levy, charge, or other exaction is not a tax, that the amount is no more than necessary to cover the reasonable costs of the governmental activity, and that the manner in which those costs are allocated to a payor bear a fair or reasonable relationship to the payor's burdens on, or benefits received from, the governmental activity.

### **Proposition 98**

On November 8, 1988, California voters approved Proposition 98, a combined initiative constitutional amendment and statute called the "Classroom Instructional Improvement and Accountability Act" (the "Accountability Act"). Certain provisions of the Accountability Act, have, however, been modified by Proposition 111, discussed below, the provisions of which became effective on July 1, 1990. The Accountability Act changes State funding of public education below the university level and the operation of the State's appropriations limit. The Accountability Act guarantees State funding for K-12 school districts and community college districts (hereinafter referred to collectively as "K-14 school districts") at a level equal to the greater of (a) the same percentage of the State general fund revenues as the percentage appropriated to such districts in 1986-87, or (b) the amount actually appropriated to such districts from the State general fund in the previous fiscal year, adjusted for increases in enrollment and changes in the cost of living. The Accountability Act permits the Legislature to suspend this formula for a one-year period.

The Accountability Act also changes how tax revenues in excess of the State appropriations limit are distributed. Any excess State tax revenues up to a specified amount would, instead of being returned to taxpayers, be transferred to K-14 school districts. Any such transfer to K-14 school districts would be excluded from the appropriations limit for K-14 school districts and the K-14 school district appropriations limit for the next year would automatically be increased by the amount of such transfer. These additional moneys would enter the base funding calculation for K-14 school districts for subsequent years, creating further pressure on other portions of the State budget, particularly if revenues decline in a year following an Article XIII B surplus. The maximum amount of excess tax revenues which could be transferred to K-14 school districts is 4% of the minimum State spending for education mandated by the Accountability Act.

Since the Accountability Act is unclear in some details, there can be no assurances that the Legislature or a court might not interpret the Accountability Act to require a different percentage of State general fund revenues to be allocated to K-14 school districts, or to apply the relevant percentage to the State's budgets in a different way than is proposed in the Governor's Budget.

### **Proposition 111**

On June 5, 1990, the voters of California approved the Traffic Congestion Relief and Spending Limitation Act of 1990 ("Proposition 111"), which modified the State Constitution to alter the Article XIII B spending limit and the education funding provisions of Proposition 98. Proposition 111 took effect on July 1, 1990.

The most significant provisions of Proposition 111 are summarized as follows:

- a. Annual Adjustments to Spending Limit. The annual adjustments to the Article XIII B spending limit were liberalized to be more closely linked to the rate of economic growth. Instead of being tied to the Consumer Price Index, the "change in the cost of living" is now

measured by the change in California per capita personal income. The definition of “change in population” specifies that a portion of the State’s spending limit is to be adjusted to reflect changes in school attendance.

- b. Treatment of Excess Tax Revenues. “Excess” tax revenues with respect to Article XIII B are now determined based on a two-year cycle, so that the State can avoid having to return to taxpayers excess tax revenues in one year if its appropriations in the next fiscal year are under its limit. In addition, the Proposition 98 provision regarding excess tax revenues was modified. After any two-year period, if there are excess State tax revenues, 50% of the excess is to be transferred to K-14 school districts with the balance returned to taxpayers; under prior law, 100% of excess State tax revenues went to K-14 school districts, but only up to a maximum of 4% of the schools’ minimum funding level. Also, reversing prior law, any excess State tax revenues transferred to K-14 school districts are not built into the school districts’ base expenditures for calculating their entitlement for State aid in the next year, and the State’s appropriations limit is not to be increased by this amount.
- c. Exclusions from Spending Limit. Two exceptions were added to the calculation of appropriations which are subject to the Article XIII B spending limit. First, there are excluded all appropriations for “qualified capital outlay projects” as defined by the Legislature. Second, there are excluded any increases in gasoline taxes above 1990 levels (then nine cents per gallon), sales and use taxes on such increment in gasoline taxes, and increases in receipts from vehicle weight fees above the levels in effect on January 1, 1990. These latter provisions were necessary to make effective the transportation funding package approved by the Legislature and the Governor, which expected to raise over \$15 billion in additional taxes from 1990 through 2000 to fund transportation programs.
- d. Recalculation of Appropriations Limit. The Article XIII B appropriations limit for each unit of government, including the State, is to be recalculated beginning in fiscal year 1990-91. It is based on the actual limit for fiscal year 1986-87, adjusted forward to 1990-91 as if Proposition 111 had been in effect.
- e. School Funding Guarantee. There is a complex adjustment in the formula enacted in Proposition 98 which guarantees K-14 school districts a certain amount of State general fund revenues. Under prior law, K-14 school districts were guaranteed the greater of (1) 40.9% of State general fund revenues (the “first test”) or (2) the amount appropriated in the prior year adjusted for changes in the cost of living (measured as in Article XIII B by reference to per capita personal income) and enrollment (the “second test”). Under Proposition 111, schools will receive the greater of (1) the first test, (2) the second test, or (3) a third test, which will replace the second test in any year when growth in per capita State general fund revenues from the prior year is less than the annual growth in California per capita personal income. Under the third test, schools will receive the amount appropriated in the prior year adjusted for change in enrollment and per capita State general fund revenues, plus an additional small adjustment factor. If the third test is used in any year, the difference between the third test and the second test will become a “credit” to schools which will be paid in future years when State general fund revenue growth exceeds personal income growth.

### **Proposition 39**

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved an amendment (commonly known as Proposition 39) to the California Constitution. This amendment (1) allows school facilities bond measures to be approved by 55% (rather than two-thirds) of the voters in local elections and permits property taxes to exceed the

current 1% limit in order to repay the bonds and (2) changes existing statutory law regarding charter school facilities. As adopted, the constitutional amendment may be changed only with another Statewide vote of the people. The statutory provisions could be changed by a majority vote of both houses of the Legislature and approval by the Governor, but only to further the purposes of the proposition. The local school jurisdictions affected by this proposition are K-12 school districts, including the District, community college districts, and county offices of education. As noted above, the California Constitution previously limited property taxes to 1% of the value of property, and property taxes could only exceed this limit to pay for (1) any local government debts approved by the voters prior to July 1, 1978 or (2) bonds to buy or improve real property that receive two-thirds voter approval after July 1, 1978.

The 55% vote requirement applies only if the local bond measure presented to the voters includes: (1) a requirement that the bond funds can be used only for construction, rehabilitation, equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of real property for school facilities; (2) a specific list of school projects to be funded and certification that the school board has evaluated safety, class size reduction, and information technology needs in developing the list; and (3) a requirement that the school board conduct annual, independent financial and performance audits until all bond funds have been spent to ensure that the bond funds have been used only for the projects listed in the measure. Legislation approved in June 2000 placed certain limitations on local school bonds to be approved by 55% of the voters. These provisions require that the tax rate per \$100,000 of taxable property value projected to be levied as the result of any single election be no more than \$60 (for a unified school district), \$30 (for a high school or elementary school district), or \$25 (for a community college district), when assessed valuation is projected to increase in accordance with Article XIII A of the Constitution. These requirements are not part of Proposition 39 and can be changed with a majority vote of both houses of the Legislature and approval by the Governor.

### **Jarvis v. Connell**

On May 29, 2002, the California Court of Appeal for the Second District decided the case of *Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association, et al. v. Kathleen Connell* (as Controller of the State of California (the “Controller”)). The Court of Appeal held that either a final budget bill, an emergency appropriation, a self-executing authorization pursuant to state statutes (such as continuing appropriations) or the California Constitution or a federal mandate is necessary for the Controller to disburse funds. The foregoing requirement could apply to amounts budgeted by the District as being received from the State. To the extent the holding in such case would apply to State payments reflected in the District’s budget, the requirement that there be either a final budget bill or an emergency appropriation may result in the delay of such payments to the District if such required legislative action is delayed, unless the payments are self-executing authorizations or are subject to a federal mandate. On May 1, 2003, the California Supreme Court upheld the holding of the Court of Appeal, stating that the Controller is not authorized under State law to disburse funds prior to the enactment of a budget or other proper appropriation, but under federal law, the Controller is required, notwithstanding a budget impasse and the limitations imposed by State law, to timely pay those State employees who are subject to the minimum wage and overtime compensation provisions of the federal Fair Labor Standards Act.

### **Proposition 1A and Proposition 22**

On November 2, 2004, California voters approved Proposition 1A, which amends the State constitution to significantly reduce the State’s authority over major local government revenue sources. Under Proposition 1A, the State cannot (i) reduce local sales tax rates or alter the method of allocating the revenue generated by such taxes, (ii) shift property taxes from local governments to schools or community colleges, (iii) change how property tax revenues are shared among local governments without two-third approval of both houses of the State Legislature or (iv) decrease Vehicle License Fee revenues without providing local governments with equal replacement funding. Proposition 1A does allow the State to approve voluntary

exchanges of local sales tax and property tax revenues among local governments within a county. Proposition 1A also amends the State Constitution to require the State to suspend certain State laws creating mandates in any year that the State does not fully reimburse local governments for their costs to comply with the mandates. This provision does not apply to mandates relating to schools or community colleges or to those mandates relating to employee rights.

Proposition 22, The Local Taxpayer, Public Safety, and Transportation Protection Act, approved by the voters of the State on November 2, 2010, prohibits the State from enacting new laws that require redevelopment agencies to shift funds to schools or other agencies and eliminates the State's authority to shift property taxes temporarily during a severe financial hardship of the State. In addition, Proposition 22 restricts the State's authority to use State fuel tax revenues to pay debt service on state transportation bonds, to borrow or change the distribution of state fuel tax revenues, and to use vehicle license fee revenues to reimburse local governments for state mandated costs. Proposition 22 impacts resources in the State's general fund and transportation funds, the State's main funding source for schools and community colleges, as well as universities, prisons and health and social services programs. According to an analysis of Proposition 22 submitted by the Legislative Analyst's Office (the "LAO") on July 15, 2010, the expected reduction in resources available for the State to spend on these other programs as a consequence of the passage of Proposition 22 was expected to be approximately \$1 billion in fiscal year 2010-11, with an estimated immediate fiscal effect equal to approximately 1% of the State's total general fund spending. The longer-term effect of Proposition 22, according to the LAO analysis, will be an increase in the State's general fund costs by approximately \$1 billion annually for several decades.

On December 30, 2011, the California Supreme Court issued its decision in the case of *California Redevelopment Association v. Matosantos*, finding ABx1 26, a trailer bill to the 2011-12 State budget, to be constitutional. As a result, all redevelopment agencies in California were dissolved as of February 1, 2012, and all net tax increment revenues, after payment of redevelopment bonds debt service and administrative costs, will be distributed to cities, counties, special districts and school districts. The Court also found that ABx1 27, a companion bill to ABx1 26, violated the California Constitution, as amended by Proposition 22. ABx1 27 would have permitted redevelopment agencies to continue operations provided their establishing cities or counties agreed to make specified payments to school districts and county offices of education, totaling \$1.7 billion statewide. ABx1 26 was modified by Assembly Bill No. 1484 (Chapter 26, Statutes of 2011-12), which, together with ABx1 26, is referred to herein as the "Dissolution Act." The Dissolution Act provides that all rights, powers, duties and obligations of a redevelopment agency that have not been repealed, restricted or revised pursuant to ABx1 26 will be vested in a successor agency, generally the county or city that authorized the creation of the redevelopment agency (each, a "Successor Agency"). All property tax revenues that would have been allocated to such redevelopment agency will be allocated to the Successor Agency, to be used for the payment of pass-through payments to local taxing entities and to any other "enforceable obligations" (as defined in the Dissolution Act), as well to pay certain administrative costs. The Dissolution Act defines "enforceable obligations" to include bonds, loans, legally requirement payments, judgments or settlements, legal binding and enforceable obligations, and certain other obligations. Tax revenues in excess of such amounts, if any, will be distributed to local taxing entities in the same proportions as other tax revenues.

The District can make no representations as to the extent to which its property tax apportionments may be offset by the future receipt of pass through tax increment revenues, or any other surplus property tax revenues pursuant to the Dissolution Act.

### **Proposition 30**

On November 6, 2012, voters approved the Temporary Taxes to Fund Education, Guaranteed Local Public Safety Funding, Initiative Constitutional Amendment (also known as "Proposition 30"), which



temporarily increased the State Sales and Use Tax and personal income tax rates on higher incomes. Proposition 30 temporarily imposed an additional tax on all retailers, at the rate of 0.25% of gross receipts from the sale of all tangible personal property sold in the State from January 1, 2013 to December 31, 2016. Proposition 30 also imposed an additional excise tax on the storage, use, or other consumption in the State of tangible personal property purchased from a retailer on and after January 1, 2013 and before January 1, 2017, for storage, use, or other consumption in the State. This excise tax was levied at a rate of 0.25% of the sales price of the property so purchased. For personal income taxes imposed beginning in the taxable year commencing January 1, 2012 and ending January 1, 2019, Proposition 30 increased the marginal personal income tax rate by: (i) 1% for taxable income over \$250,000 but less than \$300,000 for single filers (over \$500,000 but less than \$600,001 for joint filers and over \$340,000 but less than \$408,001 for head-of-household filers), (ii) 2% for taxable income over \$300,000 but less than \$500,001 for single filers (over \$600,000 but less than \$1,000,001 for joint filers and over \$408,000 but less than \$680,001 for head-of-household filers), and (iii) 3% for taxable income over \$500,000 for single filers (over \$1,000,000 for joint filers and over \$680,000 for head-of-household filers).

The revenues generated from the temporary tax increases were included in the calculation of the Proposition 98 minimum funding guarantee for school districts and community college districts. See “CONSTITUTIONAL AND STATUTORY PROVISIONS AFFECTING DISTRICT REVENUES – Proposition 98” and “—Proposition 111” herein. From an accounting perspective, the revenues generated from the temporary tax increases were deposited into the State account created pursuant to Proposition 30 called the Education Protection Account (the “EPA”). Pursuant to Proposition 30, funds in the EPA were and will be allocated quarterly, with 89% of such funds provided to schools districts and 11% provided to community college districts. The funds are distributed to school districts and community college districts in the same manner as existing unrestricted per-student funding, except that no school district will receive less than \$200 per unit of ADA and no community college district will receive less than \$100 per full time equivalent student. The governing board of each school district and community college district is granted sole authority to determine how the moneys received from the EPA are spent, provided that, the appropriate governing board is required to make these spending determinations in open session at a public meeting and such local governing boards are prohibited from using any funds from the EPA for salaries or benefits of administrators or any other administrative costs.

### **Proposition 55**

At the November 8, 2016 general election, the voters in the State approved the Tax Extension of Education and Healthcare Initiative (“Proposition 55”) which extends the increase in personal income tax on high-income taxpayers imposed under Proposition 30 until 2030. Proposition 55 did not extend the sales and use tax increases imposed under Proposition 30 which expired at the end of 2016.

### **Proposition 51**

The Kindergarten through Community College Public Education Facilities Bond Act of 2016 (“Proposition 51”) was a voter initiative that was approved by voters in the State on November 8, 2016. Proposition 51 authorizes the sale and issuance of \$9 billion in general obligation bonds by the State for the new construction and modernization of K-14 facilities.

***K-12 School Facilities.*** Proposition 51 includes \$3 billion for the new construction of K-12 facilities and an additional \$3 billion for the modernization of existing K-12 facilities. K-12 school districts will be required to pay for 50% of the new construction costs and 40% of the modernization costs with local revenues. If a school district lacks sufficient local funding, it may apply for additional state grant funding, up to 100% of the project costs. In addition, a total of \$1 billion will be available for the modernization and new construction of charter school (\$500 million) and technical education (\$500 million) facilities. Generally,

50% of modernization and new construction project costs for charter school and technical education facilities must come from local revenues. However, schools that cannot cover their local share for these two types of projects may apply for state loans. State loans must be repaid over a maximum of 30 years for charter school facilities and 15 years for career technical education facilities. For career technical education facilities, state grants are capped at \$3 million for a new facility and \$1.5 million for a modernized facility. Charter schools must be deemed financially sound before project approval.

***Community College Facilities.*** Proposition 51 includes \$2 billion for community college district facility projects, including buying land, constructing new buildings, modernizing existing buildings, and purchasing equipment. In order to receive funding, community college districts must submit project proposals to the Chancellor of the community college system, who then decides which projects to submit to the State legislature and Governor based on a scoring system that factors in the amount of local funds contributed to the project. The Governor and State legislature will select among eligible projects as part of the annual state budget process.

The District makes no representation that it will either pursue or qualify for Proposition 51 State facilities funding.

## **Proposition 2**

Proposition 2, a legislatively referred Constitutional amendment approved by the voters in November, 2014 (“Proposition 2”), changed the way in which the State pays off existing debts, funds its reserves and draws from those reserves in times of economic slowdowns, as well as requires that reserves be set aside for schools and community colleges under certain circumstances. In addition, as a result of the passage of Proposition 2, new rules for school district reserves were implemented.

Under Proposition 2, the State is required annually to deposit 1.5% of general fund revenues into the BSA. From fiscal year 2015-16 through 2029-30, under Proposition 2, one half of the amount required to be deposited to the BSA must be applied to the payment of debts for pension and retiree benefits and specified debts to local governments and certain other State accounts. In years when capital gains tax revenues exceed 8% of general fund revenues, a portion of such excess capital gains tax revenue is also required to be applied to the pay down of State debt. Deposits to the BSA are required until the amount on hand in the BSA reaches 10% of general fund revenues. Once the maximum has been reached, the required deposit amount may be applied to other expenditures.

In the event the Governor were to declare a budget emergency, Proposition 2 would permit a smaller deposit to the BSA. A budget emergency may be called if there is a natural disaster such as an earthquake or flood or general fund revenues reach a certain minimum level. Withdrawals from the BSA, under Proposition 2, are permitted upon a majority vote of the legislature only when the Governor has declared a budget emergency. If a budget emergency is called for two straight years in a row, in the second budget emergency year, the entire amount on hand might be withdrawn.

***Public School System Stabilization Account.*** In the event capital gains tax revenues collected by the State in any given fiscal year exceed 8% of general fund revenues, a portion of such excess is required to be deposited into the PSSSA which serves as a reserve account for school funding in years when the State budget is smaller. See “DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION - State Budget Measures – 2022-23 State Budget” herein for information regarding the deposit of funds to the PSSSA in fiscal years 2020-21, 2021-22 and 2022-23.

***SB 858 and SB 751.*** State regulations require school districts to budget a reserve for economic uncertainties. The recommended minimum amounts vary from 1% to 5% of total expenditures and other

financing uses, depending on the district's ADA. SB 858, adopted in June 2014, imposed limitations relating to ending fund balances for school districts. Beginning in fiscal year 2015–16, a school district that proposes to adopt or revise a budget that includes an ending fund balance that is two to three times higher than the state's minimum recommended reserve for economic uncertainties must substantiate the need for the higher balance. SB 751, which was adopted in October 2017 and amended Section 42127.01 of the Education Code, placed certain restrictions on the amount of a school district's ending fund balances if a certain amount of funds is available in the PSSSA. In a fiscal year in which the amount of moneys in the PSSSA is equal to or exceeds 3% of the combined total of general fund revenues appropriated for school districts for that fiscal year, (see “– Proposition 98”), a school district's adopted or revised budget may not contain an assigned or unassigned ending fund balance higher than 10% of expenditures and other financing uses. A county superintendent could waive the prohibition, pursuant to specified conditions, for up to two consecutive years within a three-year period. SB 751 does not apply to school districts with an ADA of less than 2,501 students and basic aid school districts.

If the cap is triggered, unless exempted, a school district would be required to increase expenditures in order to bring its ending fund balance down to the maximum level. The PSSSA appears to be intended to provide a substitute for local reserves in the event of a future economic downturn.

The District is required to maintain a reserve for economic uncertainties at least equal to 3% of general fund expenditures and other financing uses. On June 30, 2022, the District had total available reserves of \$5,784,746. The District is unable to predict what the effect on its budget will be following implementation of these new rules. It is anticipated that if the cap is triggered, it will materially change the District's current policies on reserves.

**Proposition 28.** On November 8, 2022, voters in the State approved The Arts and Music in Schools-Funding Guarantee and Accountability Act which provides additional funding for arts and music education in all K–12 public schools (including charter schools) by annually allocating from the State general fund an amount equal to 1% of total State and local revenues received by public schools in the preceding fiscal year under Proposition 98. Amounts provided under Proposition 28 are in addition to and not considered a part of the Proposition 98 guarantee. Funds appropriated under Proposition 28 are to be allocated 70% based on a school district's share of Statewide enrollment and 30% based on such school district's share of Statewide enrollment of economically disadvantaged students and must be distributed to school sites following such allocation. School districts must expend funds received pursuant to Proposition 28 within three years or such funds revert to CDE for reallocation under Proposition 28.

As a condition to receipt of funds under Proposition 28, school districts must certify that funds are to be used for arts education and that funds received in the prior fiscal year were, in fact, used for those purposes. Additionally, no more than 1% of Proposition 28 funds may be used for administrative purposes in implementing Proposition 28 programs. Schools with 500 or more students must certify that at least 80% of the funding is to be used to employ teachers and that the remainder will be spent on training, supplies, and education partnerships. Amounts appropriated under Proposition 28 in a given year may be reduced if the State legislature suspends the Proposition 98 guarantee but only in an amount equal to the percent reduction of the Proposition 98 guarantee. See ‘DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION- State Budget Measures-Proposed 2023-24 State Budget’ for information regarding Proposition 28 in the Proposed 2023-24 State Budget.

## **Future Initiatives**

Article XIII A, Article XIII B, Article XIII C and Article XIII D of the California Constitution and Propositions 26, 98, 111 and 28 were each adopted as measures that qualified for the ballot pursuant to the State's initiative process. From time to time other initiative measures could be adopted further affecting

District revenues or the District’s ability to expend revenues. The nature and impact of these measures cannot be anticipated by the District.

**SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT**

**Introduction**

The District was formed in 1885 and is comprised of approximately 31 square miles in Stanislaus County (the “County”) in California’s San Joaquin Valley. Most of the District encompasses unincorporated areas of the County, including the community of Salida, as well as a northern portion of the City of Modesto. The District provides education services in four elementary schools providing transitional kindergarten through fifth grade, one middle school providing sixth through eighth grade and one charter school providing transitional kindergarten through fifth grade. The District’s average daily attendance (“ADA”) for fiscal year 2022-23 is budgeted, as of the Second Interim Report, to be approximately 2,013 students and the District has a 2022-23 total assessed valuation of \$2,712,069,032. The District’s audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022 are attached hereto as APPENDIX B.

**Board of Trustees**

The District is governed by a Board of Trustees (the “Board”). The Board consists of five members who are elected to overlapping four-year terms at elections held under a trustee area election system in staggered years. If a vacancy arises during any term, the vacancy is filled by either an appointment by the majority vote of the remaining Board members or by a special election. The years in which the current terms for each member of the Board expire are set forth in the following table:

**SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT  
Board of Trustees**

Name	Office	Term Expires December	Trustee Area
Nanci E. Fox	President	2026	Area 5
Dr. Gary Dew	Clerk	2024	Area 4
Virginia L. Berry	Member	2026	Area 2
Linda Brughelli	Member	2026	Area 1
Maria Elena Magana	Member	2024	Area 3

Source: *The District.*

Unless otherwise indicated, the following financial, statistical and demographic data has been provided by the District. Additional information concerning the District and copies of the most recent and subsequent audited financial statements of the District may be obtained by contacting: Salida Union School District, 8501 Sisk Road, Salida, California 95368, Attention: Superintendent. The District may charge a small fee for copying, mailing and handling.

## Key Personnel

The following is a listing of the key administrative personnel of the District and brief biographies of certain District administrators follow.

Name	Title
Twila Tosh	Superintendent
Jaime Towe	Chief Business Officer
Agustin Mireles	Assistant Superintendent, Human Resources

***Twila Tosh – Superintendent.*** Superintendent Tosh has served as Superintendent of the District since 2010 and served as the Assistant Superintendent of the District from 2008 until 2010. She worked in various administration and program specialist roles at Turlock Unified School District from 2004 to 2008. Superintendent Tosh began her career in education in 1993 as a special education paraprofessional with the District as she completed her teaching credential and worked as a program specialist and learning coordinator for the District until 2004. Superintendent Tosh received a Bachelor of Science in Interdisciplinary Study/Professional Education and a Master of Science in Education/Special Education, both from National University.

## Employees and Labor Relations

The District employs approximately 126.0 full-time equivalent certificated academic professionals and approximately 137.18 full-time equivalent classified employees.

The certificated employees of the District have assigned the Salida Teachers’ Association (“STA”) as their exclusive bargaining agent. The contract between the District and STA expired on June 30, 2022. The parties are operating under the terms of the expired contract while negotiations are underway for a new contract.

The classified employees have assigned California School Employees Association and its Chapter #786 (“CSEA”) as their exclusive bargaining agent. The contract between the District and CSEA expired on June 30, 2022. The parties are operating under the terms of the expired contract while negotiations are underway for a new contract.

Certain teachers and service workers have appointed Service Employees International Union CTW-CLC Local 521 (“SEIU”) as their exclusive bargaining agent. The contract between the District and SEIU expires on June 30, 2023.

## District Retirement Systems

*The information set forth below regarding the District’s retirement programs, other than the information provided by the District regarding its annual contributions thereto, has been obtained from publicly available sources which are believed to be reliable but are not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness, and should not to be construed as a representation by either the District or the Underwriter.*

**STRS.** All full-time certificated employees, as well as certain classified employees, are members of the State Teachers’ Retirement System (“STRS”). STRS provides retirement, disability and survivor benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. Benefit provisions are established by State statutes, as legislatively amended, within the State Teachers’ Retirement Law. For fiscal year 2022-23, the District is currently required by such statutes to contribute 19.10% of eligible salary expenditures, while participants contribute either 10.25% or 10.205% of their respective salaries. The State also contributes to STRS, currently in an

amount equal to 10.328% of teacher payroll for fiscal year 2022-23. The State's contribution reflects a base contribution of 2.017% and a supplemental contribution that will vary from year-to-year based on statutory criteria.

As part of the 2014-15 State Budget, the Governor signed Assembly Bill 1469 ("AB 1469") which implemented a new funding strategy for STRS, increasing the employer contribution rate in fiscal year 2014-15 from 8.25% to 8.88% of covered payroll. Such rate increased by 1.85% in fiscal year 2015-16 and will continue to increase annually as further described below. Teacher contributions also increased from 8.00% to a total of 10.25% of pay, over the three year period from 2014-15 through 2017-18. The State's total contribution also increased from approximately 3% in fiscal year 2013-14 to 6.30% of payroll in fiscal year 2016-17, plus the continued payment of 2.5% of payroll annually for a supplemental inflation protection program for a total of 8.80%. In addition, AB 1469 provides the State Teachers Retirement Board with authority to modify the percentages paid by employers and employees for fiscal year 2021-22 and each fiscal year thereafter to eliminate the STRS unfunded actuarial obligation with respect to service credited to members of the STRS Defined Benefit Program before July 1, 2014 by June 30, 2046, which is premised upon an actuarially assumed earnings rate of 7.00%. The State Teachers Retirement Board would also have authority to reduce employer and State contributions if they are no longer necessary.

Pursuant to AB 1469, school districts' employer contribution rates increased over a seven-year phase-in period beginning in fiscal year 2014-15 through fiscal year 2019-20 when employer contribution rates reached 16.15% (including certain reductions in the contribution rate for supplemental payments made by the State in fiscal years 2019-20 and 2020-21.)

Subsequent to the increases to the school district's contribution rates to STRS, AB 1469 requires that for fiscal year 2021-22 and each fiscal year thereafter, STRS adjust the school districts' contribution rate to reflect the rate required to eliminate the unfunded liability by July 1, 2046. The 2020-21 State Budget applied certain funds in fiscal year 2020-21 intended under the 2019-20 State Budget to reduce future obligations to STRS to the school districts' then current obligations to STRS to reduce the school district's contribution rates to STRS in fiscal year 2020-21 from 18.41% to approximately 16.15% and in fiscal year 2021-22 from 17.9% to 16.02%.

The District contributed \$1,726,421 to STRS for fiscal year 2017-18, \$2,022,112 for fiscal year 2018-19, \$2,140,265 for fiscal year 2019-20, \$2,088,146 for fiscal year 2020-21 and \$2,395,895 for fiscal year 2021-22. Such contributions were equal to 100% of the required contributions for the respective years. The District has budgeted a contribution of \$2,931,558 for fiscal year 2022-23, as of its Second Interim Report. With the implementation of AB 1469, the District anticipates that its contributions to STRS will increase in future fiscal years as compared to prior fiscal years. The District, nonetheless, is unable to predict all factors or any changes in law that could affect its required contributions to STRS in future fiscal years.

**PERS.** Classified employees working four or more hours per day are members of the Public Employees' Retirement System ("PERS"). PERS provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. Benefit provisions are established by the State statutes, as legislatively amended, with the Public Employees' Retirement Laws. The District is currently required to contribute to PERS at an actuarially determined rate, which is 25.37% of eligible salary expenditures for fiscal year 2022-23, while participants enrolled in PERS (prior to January 1, 2013) contribute 7% of their respective salaries and those enrolled subsequent to January 1, 2013 contribute 8.00%. See – "California Public Employees' Pension Reform Act of 2013" below.

On April 19, 2017, the Board of Administration of PERS ("PERS Board") adopted new contribution rates for school districts. The revised contribution rates are, as were the previous contribution rates, based on certain demographic assumptions adopted by the PERS Board in February 2014 which took into account

longer life spans of public employees from previous assumptions. Such demographic assumptions generally increase costs for the State and public agency employers (including school districts), which costs will be amortized over 20 years and were phased in over three years beginning in fiscal year 2014-15 for the State and amortized over 20 years and phased in over five years beginning in fiscal year 2016-17 for the employers. PERS estimated that the new demographic assumptions would cost public agency employers up to 5% of payroll for miscellaneous employees at the end of the five-year phase in period. To the extent, however, that current and future experiences differ from PERS’ assumptions, the required employer contributions may vary. The 2017-18 contribution rate also took into account increased payroll over 2016-17, a lowered discount rate (which was approved in December 2016) as well as lower than predicted investment returns in prior years. As a result of payments to be made by the State as part of the 2019-20 State Budget, the estimated future employer contribution rates to PERS were again revised downward for fiscal years 2019-20 through 2025-26 but remain subject to annual adoption by the PERS Board. See “DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION- State Funding of Education” herein.

On April 18, 2022, the PERS Board set the fiscal year 2022-23 employer contribution rate at 25.37%. The PERS Board also approved an increase in the employee contribution rate for members subject to the Reform Act (defined below) from 7.00% of earnings to 8.00% of earnings for fiscal year 2022-23. On July 20, 2022, PERS reported a preliminary negative 6.1% net return on investments for fiscal year 2021-22, which is PERS’ first negative return on investments since fiscal year 2008-09. The negative 6.1% net return on investments is less than the assumed annual rate of return on investments of 6.80%.

The most recent PERS actuarial valuation report for the Schools Pool, dated as of June 30, 2021, estimates future employer contribution rates as follows:

Fiscal Year	Prior Projected Employer Contribution Rates	New Projected Employer Contribution Rates (PERS Actuarial Report)
2023-24	25.20%	27.00%
2024-25	24.60	28.10
2025-26	23.70	28.80
2027-28	22.60	29.20
2028-29	22.60	30.70

The projected rates reflect the preliminary investment loss for fiscal year 2021-22 described above. Projected rates also reflect the anticipated decrease in normal cost due to new hires entering lower cost benefit tiers. The PERS Board is expected to set the actual fiscal year 2023-24 employer contribution rate at its April 2023 meeting.

The District contributed \$973,499 to PERS for fiscal year 2018-19, \$1,108,669 for fiscal year 2019-20, \$2,088,146 for fiscal year 2020-21 and \$1,357,845 for fiscal year 2021-22, which amounts equaled 100% of required contributions to PERS. The District has budgeted a contribution to PERS of \$1,481,705 for fiscal year 2022-23, per its Second Interim Report.

**State Pension Trusts.** Each of STRS and PERS issues a separate comprehensive financial report that includes financial statements and required supplemental information. Copies of such financial reports may be obtained from each of STRS and PERS as follows: (i) STRS, P.O. Box 15275, Sacramento, California 95851-0275; (ii) PERS, P.O. Box 942703, Sacramento, California 94229-2703. Moreover, each of STRS and PERS maintains a website, as follows: (i) STRS: [www.calstrs.com](http://www.calstrs.com); (ii) PERS: [www.calpers.ca.gov](http://www.calpers.ca.gov). However, the

information presented in such financial reports or on such websites is not incorporated into this Official Statement by any reference.

Both STRS and PERS have substantial statewide unfunded liabilities. The amount of these unfunded liabilities will vary depending on actuarial assumptions, returns on investments, salary scales and participant contributions. The following table summarizes information regarding the actuarially-determined accrued liability for PERS and STRS as of July 1, 2021.

**FUNDED STATUS  
STRS (DEFINED BENEFIT PROGRAM) and PERS  
Actuarial Valuation  
(Dollar Amounts in Millions) <sup>(1)</sup>**

Plan	Accrued Liability	Market Value of Trust Assets	Unfunded Liability
Public Employees Retirement Fund (PERS)	\$ 110,507	\$ 86,519	\$ (23,988)
State Teachers' Retirement Fund Defined Benefit Program (STRS)	332,082	292,580	(60,136)

<sup>(1)</sup> Amounts may not add due to rounding.

Source: *PERS State & Schools Actuarial Valuation*; *STRS Defined Benefit Program Actuarial Valuation*.

Unlike PERS, STRS contribution rates for participant employers, employees hired prior to the Implementation Date (defined herein) and the State are set by statute and do not currently vary from year-to-year based on actuarial valuations. As a result of the Reform Act (defined below), the contribution rate for STRS participants hired after the Implementation Date will vary from year-to-year based on actuarial valuations. See “ – California Public Employees’ Pension Reform Act of 2013” below. In recent years, the combined employer, employee and State contributions to STRS have been significantly less than actuarially required amounts. As a result, and due in part to investment losses, the unfunded liability of STRS has increased significantly. AB 1469 is intended to address this unfunded liability. The District can make no representations regarding the future program liabilities of STRS, or whether the District will be required to make larger contributions to STRS in the future. The District can also provide no assurances that the District’s required contributions to PERS will not increase in the future.

***California Public Employees’ Pension Reform Act of 2013.*** On September 12, 2012, the Governor signed into law the California Public Employee’s Pension Reform Act of 2013 (the “Reform Act”), which makes changes to both STRS and PERS, most substantially affecting new employees hired after January 1, 2013 (the “Implementation Date”). For STRS participants hired after the Implementation Date, the Reform Act changes the normal retirement age by increasing the eligibility for the 2% age factor (the age factor is the percent of final compensation to which an employee is entitled to for each year of service) from age 60 to 62 and increasing the eligibility of the maximum age factor of 2.4% from age 63 to 65. Similarly, for non-safety PERS participants hired after the Implementation Date, the Reform Act changes the normal retirement age by increasing the eligibility for the 2% age factor from age 55 to 62 and increases the eligibility requirement for the maximum age factor of 2.5% to age 67. Among the other changes to PERS and STRS, the Reform Act also: (i) requires all new participants enrolled in PERS and STRS after the Implementation Date to contribute at least 50% of the total annual normal cost of their pension benefit each year as determined by an actuary, (ii) requires STRS and PERS to determine the final compensation amount for employees based upon the highest annual compensation earnable averaged over a consecutive 36-month period as the basis for calculating retirement benefits for new participants enrolled after the Implementation Date (currently 12 months for STRS members who retire with 25 years of service), and (iii) caps “pensionable compensation” for new participants enrolled after the Implementation Date at 100% of the federal Social Security contribution and benefit base for members participating in Social Security or 120% for members not participating in social



security, while excluding previously allowed forms of compensation under the formula such as payments for unused vacation, annual leave, personal leave, sick leave, or compensatory time off.

***GASB Statement Nos. 67 and 68.*** On June 25, 2012, GASB approved Statements Nos. 67 and 68 (“Statements”) with respect to pension accounting and financial reporting standards for state and local governments and pension plans. The new Statements, No. 67 and No. 68, replace GASB Statement No. 27 and most of Statements No. 25 and No. 50. The changes impact the accounting treatment of pension plans in which state and local governments participate. Major changes include: (1) the inclusion of unfunded pension liabilities on the government’s balance sheet (currently, such unfunded liabilities are typically included as notes to the government’s financial statements); (2) more components of full pension costs being shown as expenses regardless of actual contribution levels; (3) lower actuarial discount rates being required to be used for underfunded plans in certain cases for purposes of the financial statements; (4) closed amortization periods for unfunded liabilities being required to be used for certain purposes of the financial statements; and (5) the difference between expected and actual investment returns being recognized over a closed five-year smoothing period. In addition, according to GASB, Statement No. 68 means that, for pensions within the scope of the Statement, a cost-sharing employer that does not have a special funding situation is required to recognize a net pension liability, deferred outflows of resources, deferred inflows of resources related to pensions and pension expense based on its proportionate share of the net pension liability for benefits provided through the pension plan. Because the accounting standards do not require changes in funding policies, the full extent of the effect of the new standards on the District is not known at this time. The reporting requirements for pension plans took effect for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2013 and the reporting requirements for government employers, including the District, took effect for the fiscal year beginning July 1, 2014.

The District’s proportionate shares of the net pension liability of STRS and PERS, as of June 30, 2022, are as shown in the STRS following table.

<u>Pension Plan</u>	<u>Proportionate Share of Net Liability</u>
STRS	\$10,873,975
PERS	<u>7,769,702</u>
Total	\$18,643,677

*Source: The District.*

For further information about the District’s contributions to PERS and STRS, see Note 14 in the District’s audited financial statements for fiscal year ended June 30, 2022 attached hereto as APPENDIX B.

**Risk of Investment Value Declines**

School districts’ retirement contributions decrease when investment earnings rise and increase when investment earnings decline. As a result, declines in investment earnings may result in substantial increases in school district contributions. The District cannot determine whether current financial market losses and/or volatility might impact the value of investments held by either PERS or STRS to fund retirement benefits or whether the District’s contribution rates to PERS or STRS might increase in the future as a result of any declines in the value of investments in response to the outbreak of COVID-19. See also “DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – COVID-19 Outbreak and its Economic Impact” herein for information regarding the outbreak of COVID-19.

## **Other Post-Employment Benefits**

In June 2004, the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (“GASB”) pronounced Statement No. 45, *Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Post-Employment Benefits Other Than Pensions*. The pronouncement required public agency employers providing healthcare benefits to retirees to recognize and account for the costs for providing these benefits on an accrual basis and provide footnote disclosure on the progress toward funding the benefits. In June 2015, GASB replaced Statement No. 45 with Statement No. 75, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions* which the District implemented in fiscal year 2014-15.

The District does not provide retiree employment benefits other than pensions to current employees. The District participates in the Medicare Premium Payment Program (“MPP”), a cost-sharing multiple employer post-employment benefit plan administered by STRS. The MPP was available to eligible employees that retired on or before July 1, 2012 and is closed to new retirees.

For fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the District reported net liability under the MPP of \$143,262 and net expense of (\$32,932).

## **Risk Management**

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to tortious liability, theft, damage or destruction of assets, errors or omissions, employee injuries or natural disasters.

The District is a member of two joint powers authorities, Central Regions Schools Insurance Group (“CRSIG”) which arranges for and provides property, liability and worker’s compensation insurance for its member school districts and Self Insured Schools of California (“SISC”) which provides employee health benefits. The relationship between the District and CRSIG and SISC is such that the joint powers authorities are not a component unit of the District for financial reporting purposes.

The District maintains insurance or self-insurance in such amounts and with such retentions and other terms providing coverage for property damage, fire and theft, general public liability and worker’s compensation as are adequate, customary and comparable with such insurance maintained by similarly situated school districts. In addition, based upon prior claims experience, the District believes that the recorded liabilities for self-insured claims are adequate.

## **Cyber Security**

School districts, like other governmental and business entities, face significant risks relating to the use and application of computer software and hardware for educational and operational and management purposes. The District also collects, processes, and distributes an enormous amount of private, protected and personal information on students, staff, parents, visitors, and contractors. As the custodian of such information, the District may face cybersecurity threats from time to time. Given the importance of cybersecurity for school districts, federal lawmakers recently approved the K-12 Cybersecurity Act of 2021 to study cybersecurity risks that school districts face and develop recommended guidelines and an online training toolkit for school district officials to address such cybersecurity risks. The District is not aware of any major cybersecurity attack or breach of its systems during the last five years. To protect itself from cybersecurity attacks, the District utilizes firewalls, anti-virus and anti-malware software, and provides cybersecurity training to District employees. In addition, the District has an informal general technology use policy. As a result, the District expects that any such disruptions caused by a cyberattack would be temporary in nature. The District currently maintains a policy of cyber liability insurance. There can be no assurance that a future cyberattack or attempted cyberattack would not compromise the personal information that the District collects, processes and stores or cause a disruption in District operations, particularly given that

students, teachers, and staff are accessing District computer systems and platforms remotely which may increase the risks of intrusion by third parties.

### Charter Schools

The State Legislature enacted the Charter Schools Act of 1992 (State Education Code Sections 47600-47663) to permit teachers, parents, students, and community members to establish schools that would be free from most state and district regulations. Revised in 1998, the State’s charter school law states that local boards are the primary charter-approving agency and that county boards of education can approve a denied charter. State education standards apply, and charter schools are required to use the same student assessment instruments. Charter schools are exempt from state and local education rules and regulations, except as specified in the legislation.

The District has certain fiscal oversight and other responsibilities with respect to any independent and District-operated charter schools established within its boundaries. However, any independent charter schools would receive funding directly from the State, and such funding would not be reported in the District’s audited financial statements. Any District-operated charter schools would receive funding through the District, and such funding would be reflected in the District’s audited financial statements.

The Board has approved one charter school serving kindergarten through eighth grade to operate within the boundaries of the District. Great Valley Academy is an independent charter school with an enrollment for fiscal year 2022-23 of approximately 831 students. In fiscal year 2022-23, the District closed Independence Charter School, a dependent charter school that was previously District-operated.

The District can make no representations regarding how many District students will transfer to charter schools in the future or back to the District from such charter schools, and the corresponding financial impact on the District.

### District Debt Structure

**Long-Term Debt.** A schedule of the District’s changes in long-term debt for the year ended June 30, 2022 is shown below:

**SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT  
Long-Term Debt  
Fiscal year ended June 30, 2022**

	Balance July 1, 2021, as restated	Deductions	Balance June 30, 2022	Balance Due In One Year
General Obligation Bonds	\$ 9,165,000	\$ --	\$ 9,165,000	\$ 535,00
Qualified Zone Academy Bonds	3,385,000	(165,000)	3,220,000	170,000
Certificates of Participation	3,715,000	(145,000)	3,570,000	150,000
Unamortized debt Premium	1,014,893	(24,479)	990,414	--
Early Retirement Incentive	168,000	(42,000)	126,000	42,000
Compensated Absences	47,566	(4,615)	42,951	--
Energy Loan	1,643,576	(102,723)	1,540,852	102,724
Lease	<u>404,914</u>	<u>(198,487)</u>	<u>206,427</u>	<u>206,427</u>
Total	\$19,543,949	\$(682,304)	\$18,861,645	\$1,206,151

Source: *The District.*

### ***General Obligation Bonds***

On November 6, 2018, the District received authorization to issue \$2,500,000 aggregate principal amount of general obligation bonds (the “2018 Authorization”). On May 9, 2019, the District issued its \$2,500,000 General Obligation Bonds, 2018 Election, 2019 Series (the “2019 Series A Bonds”). The 2019 Series A Bonds were the only series of bonds issued pursuant to the 2018 Authorization. No further general obligation bonds remain for issuance under the 2018 Authorization.

On November 3, 2020, the District received authorization to issue \$9,240,000 aggregate principal amount of general obligation bonds (the “2020 Authorization”). On April 6, 2021, the District issued its \$6,660,000 General Obligation Bonds, 2020 Election, 2021, Series A. The Bonds are the second series of general obligation bonds issued under the 2020 Authorization, subsequent to which no\* principal amount of general obligation bonds will remain for issuance.

### ***Certificates of Participation***

In April, 2003, the District executed and delivered its \$1,880,000 aggregate principal amount of Certificates of Participation (2003 Financing Project) Series B (Taxable) (the “2003 Certificates”) in order to finance a portion of the acquisition and improvements to a District administration building. The 2003 Certificates matured on January 1, 2023 and are no longer outstanding.

In December, 2012, the District executed and delivered \$4,545,000 aggregate principal amount of Taxable Certificates of Participation (2012 Financing Project/QZABs) (the “2012 QZABs”) in order to finance certain energy conservation projects. The 2012 QZABs were designated as “Qualified Zone Academy Bonds” causing the District to be eligible for a subsidy payment from the United States Treasury which offsets a portion of the interest due on the 2012 QZABs. The 2012 QZABs mature through August 1, 2035 and as of June 30, 2022 were outstanding in the principle amount of \$3,145,000.

In February 2020, the District executed and delivered its \$3,995,000 aggregate principal amount of 2020 Refunding Certificates of Participation (the “2020 Refunding Certificates”) to prepay a portion of certain then outstanding certificates of participation of the District. The 2020 Refunding Certificates mature through May 1, 2035, and, as of June 30, 2022, were outstanding in the aggregate principal amount of \$3,570,000.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

\* Preliminary; subject to change.

The annual lease payments with respect to the District’s outstanding certificates of participation are as follows:

**SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT  
Annual Lease Payments on Outstanding Certificates of Participation**

Year Ending August 1	2003 Certificates <sup>(1)</sup>	2012 QZABs	2020 Refunding Certificates <sup>(2)</sup>	Total
2023	\$164,840.00	\$336,875.00	\$259,412.50	\$761,127.50
2024	--	334,000.00	259,912.50	593,912.50
2025	--	334,100.00	255,262.50	589,362.50
2026	--	333,650.00	260,612.50	594,262.50
2027	--	332,650.00	260,662.50	593,312.50
2028	--	326,100.00	260,562.50	586,662.50
2029	--	324,275.00	255,312.50	579,587.50
2030	--	321,900.00	260,062.50	581,962.50
2031	--	318,975.00	257,662.50	576,637.50
2032	--	320,500.00	260,062.50	580,562.50
2033	--	316,200.00	262,062.50	578,262.50
2034	--	311,350.00	258,662.50	570,012.50
2035	--	305,950.00	260,062.50	566,012.50
2036	--	--	255,000.00	255,000.00
2037	--	--	259,375.00	259,375.00
2038	--	--	258,500.00	258,500.00
2039	--	--	257,500.00	257,500.00
2040	--	--	<u>261,375.00</u>	<u>261,375.00</u>
Total	<u>\$164,840.00</u>	<u>\$4,216,525.00</u>	<u>\$4,662,062.50</u>	<u>\$9,043,427.50</u>

<sup>(1)</sup> Matured January 1, 2023.

<sup>(2)</sup> Matures May 1 of each year.

***Loans***

The District entered into an agreement with the California Energy Commission (the “CEC”) under which the CEC agreed to finance certain energy conservation projects for the District through an interest-free loan which is disbursed to the District as project costs are incurred. The District began the 20-year repayment of the loan on December 22, 2017. The remaining annual payments under the loan from CEC are as follows:

Fiscal Year	Principal
2023	\$102,724
2024	102,723
2025	102,724
2026	102,723
2027	102,724
2028-2032	513,617
2033-2037	<u>513,618</u>
Total	\$1,540,853

### ***Early Retirement Incentive***

During fiscal year 2019-20, the District offered an early retirement incentive to certain eligible employees pursuant to which the District will pay each retiree \$12,000 annually for a period of five years. The district entered into another early retirement incentive with certain eligible retirees during fiscal year 2021-22 pursuant to which the District will pay each retiree \$12,000 annually for five years. The balance on the District's early retirement incentives as of June 30, 2022 was \$126,000.

***Leases.*** The District entered into an agreement with Insight Investments to lease chromebooks for three years, beginning January 1, 2020, with annual lease payments of \$214,684. As of June 30, 2022, the outstanding amount under the lease with Insight Investments was \$206,427.

### ***Short-Term Debt***

As of June 30, 2022, the District did not have any short-term debt outstanding. The District does not expect to issue any tax and revenue anticipation notes in fiscal year 2022-23.

## **STANISLAUS COUNTY INVESTMENT FUND**

*The following information concerning the Stanislaus County Pooled Investment Fund has been provided by the Treasurer and has not been confirmed or verified by the District. No representation is made herein as to the accuracy or adequacy of such information or as to the absence of material adverse changes in such information subsequent to the date hereof or that the information contained or incorporated hereby by reference is correct as of any time subsequent to its date.*

The County Board of Supervisors approved the current County Treasury Pool Investment Policy (the "Investment Policy") effective July 1, 2022. See APPENDIX E – "STANISLAUS COUNTY INVESTMENT POLICY STATEMENT." The Investment Policy applies to all funds managed by the Treasurer as delegated by the County Board of Supervisors. The objective of the Investment Policy is to obtain the highest feasible return consistent with a high degree of safety of principal and the level of liquidity necessary to meet the needs of the County and the agencies participating in the Pooled Investment Fund. In that regard, safety and liquidity sufficient to meet cash flow needs are of primary concern. Under the Investment Policy, return is secondary and subordinate to safety and liquidity in making investment decisions.

Under California law, the District is required to pay all monies received from any source into the Stanislaus County Treasury to be held on behalf of the District. The Treasurer has authority to implement and oversee the investment of funds on deposit in commingled funds of the Treasury.

Decisions on the investment of funds in the Pooled Investment Fund are made by the Treasurer and his deputies in accordance with established policy guidelines. In the County, investment decisions are governed by Government Code Sections 53601 and 53635, *et seq.*, which govern legal investments by local agencies in the State of California, and a more restrictive Investment Policy proposed by the Treasurer and adopted by the County Board of Supervisors on an annual basis. The Investment Policy is reviewed and approved annually by the County Board of Supervisors. The Treasurer's compliance with the Investment Policy is also audited annually by an independent certified public accountant. See APPENDIX E hereto for the Stanislaus County Investment Policy.

**STANISLAUS COUNTY  
POOLED INVESTMENT FUND  
REPORT FOR THE MONTH ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2022**

Investments	Par Value	Market Value	Book Value	% of Portfolio	Term	Days to Maturity	YTM 360 Equiv.
Negotiable CDs	\$ 175,000,000.00	\$ 169,461,950.00	\$ 170,000,000.00	6.95%	323	179	3.776
Commercial Paper Disc. -Amortizing	280,000,000.00	274,590,300.00	274,565,124.99	11.22	195	143	4.441
Managed Pool Account - CAMP	337,500,000.00	337,500,000.00	337,500,000.00	13.80	1	1	4.338
Federal Agency Coupon Securities	1,003,649,000.00	926,171,906.24	1,004,172,987.09	41.04	1,506	805	0.783
Treasury Coupon Securities	170,000,000.00	159,466,100.00	170,125,787.08	6.95	1,130	633	0.557
Medium Term Notes	485,378,000.00	450,705,900.40	487,176,807.65	19.91	1,309	837	1.673
Municipal Bonds	3,000,000.00	2,825,210.00	3,000,000.00	0.12	1,426	548	0.492
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$2,449,527,000.00</b>	<b>\$2,320,721,366.64</b>	<b>\$2,446,540,706.81</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>1,003</b>	<b>570</b>	<b>2.067</b>

<b>Total Earnings</b>	<b>December 31 Month Ending</b>	<b>Fiscal Year To Date</b>
Current Year	\$3,723,551.92	\$6,805,784.18
<b>Average Daily Balance</b>	<b>\$2,309,563,957.63</b>	<b>\$2,029,099,299.57</b>
<b>Effective Rate of Return</b>	<b>1.90%</b>	<b>0.67%</b>

Source: Stanislaus County.

*Neither the District nor the Underwriter has made an independent investigation of the investments in the Pooled Investment Fund and has made no assessment of the current County Investment Policy. The value of the various investments in the Pooled Investment Fund will fluctuate on a daily basis as a result of a multitude of factors, including generally prevailing interest rates and other economic conditions. Additionally, the Treasurer, after a review by the Committee and approval by the County Board of Supervisors may change the County Investment Policy at any time. Therefore, there can be no assurance that the values of the various investments in the Pooled Investment Fund will not vary significantly from the values described therein.*

## **CONTINUING DISCLOSURE**

The District has covenanted for the benefit of the Owners of the Bonds to provide certain financial information and operating data relating to the District (the “Annual Report”) by not later than 9 months following the end of the District’s fiscal year (currently ending June 30), which date would be March 31, commencing with the report for the 2022-23 fiscal year, and to provide notices of the occurrence of certain enumerated events. The District will enter into a Continuing Disclosure Agreement (“Continuing Disclosure Agreement”) for the benefit of the owners of the Bonds. The Annual Report and each notice of enumerated events will be filed by the District with the Electronic Municipal Markets Access system (“EMMA”) of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (the “MSRB”), or any other repository then recognized by the Securities and Exchange Commission. The specific nature of the information to be contained in the Annual Report or the notices of enumerated events is set forth in APPENDIX D – “FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT” hereto. These covenants have been made in order to assist the Underwriter in complying with the Rule.

Within the last five years, the District has not failed to comply, in all material respects, with any previous undertakings it has entered into with respect to the Rule. In March 2018, certain duplicate filings were made on behalf of the District in order to present previously filed information in a more organized manner. The District has engaged Dale Scott & Company to act as Dissemination Agent with respect to the undertaking to be entered into with respect to the Bonds and to assist the District with compliance with its current and future continuing disclosure obligations.

## **LEGAL MATTERS**

The legal opinion of Dannis Woliver Kelley, Long Beach, California, Bond Counsel to the District (“Bond Counsel”), attesting to the validity of the Bonds, will be supplied to the Underwriter of the Bonds without charge, a form of which is attached hereto as APPENDIX A. Dannis Woliver Kelley is also acting as Disclosure Counsel to the District. Kutak Rock LLP, Irvine, California is acting as counsel to the Underwriter (“Underwriter’s Counsel”). Bond Counsel and Disclosure Counsel and Underwriter’s Counsel will receive compensation contingent upon the sale and delivery of the Bonds.

### **Limitation on Remedies; Amounts Held in the County Treasury Pool**

The opinion of Bond Counsel, the proposed form of which is attached hereto as APPENDIX A, is qualified by reference to bankruptcy, insolvency and other laws relating to or affecting creditor’s rights. The rights of the Owners of the Bonds are subject to certain limitations. Enforceability of the rights and remedies of the Owners of the Bonds, and the obligations incurred by the District, are limited by applicable bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, moratorium, and similar laws relating to or affecting the enforcement of creditors’ rights generally, now or hereafter in effect, equity principles that may limit the specific enforcement under State law of certain remedies, the exercise by the United States of America of the powers delegated to it by the Constitution, the reasonable and necessary exercise, in certain exceptional situations, of the police powers inherent in the sovereignty of the State and its governmental bodies in the interest of serving a significant and legitimate public purpose, and the limitations on remedies against school and community college districts in the State. Bankruptcy proceedings, if initiated, could subject the beneficial owners of the Bonds to judicial discretion and interpretation of their rights in bankruptcy or otherwise, and consequently may entail risks of delay, limitation, or modification of their rights.

Under Chapter 9 of the Federal Bankruptcy Code (Title 11, United States Code) (the “Bankruptcy Code”), which governs the bankruptcy proceedings for public agencies, no involuntary petitions for bankruptcy relief are permitted. While current State law precludes school districts from voluntarily seeking



bankruptcy relief under Chapter 9 of the Bankruptcy Code without the concurrence of the State, such concurrence could be granted or State law could be amended.

The Resolution and the Act require the County to annually levy *ad valorem* taxes upon all property subject to taxation by the District, without limitation as to rate or amount (except as to certain personal property which is taxable at limited rates), for the payment of the principal of, premium, if any, and interest on the Bonds. The County, on behalf of the District, is thus expected to be in possession of the annual *ad valorem* taxes and certain funds to repay the Bonds and may invest these funds in the County's Investment Pool, as described in APPENDIX E – "STANISLAUS COUNTY INVESTMENT POLICY STATEMENT" attached hereto. In the event the District or the County were to go into bankruptcy, a federal bankruptcy court might hold that the Owners of the Bonds are unsecured creditors with respect to any funds received by the District or the County prior to the bankruptcy, where such amounts are deposited into the County Treasury Pool, and such amounts may not be available for payment of the principal of and interest on the Bonds unless the Owners of the Bonds can "trace" those funds. There can be no assurance that the Owners could successfully so "trace" such taxes on deposit in the District's Interest and Sinking Fund where such amounts are invested in the County Investment Pool. Under any such circumstances, there could be delays or reductions in payments on the Bonds.

### **California Senate Bill 222**

Government Code Section 53515, added by SB 222, applicable to general obligations bonds issued after its effective date, removes the extra step between (a) the issuance of general obligation bonds by cities, counties, cities and counties, school districts, community college districts, authorities and special districts; and (b) the imposition of a lien on the future *ad valorem* property taxes that are the source of repayment of the general obligation bonds. By clarifying that the lien created with each general obligation bond issuance is a "statutory" lien (consistent with bankruptcy statutory law and case precedent), SB 222, while it does not prevent default, should reduce the ultimate bankruptcy risk of non-recovery on local general obligation bonds, and thus potentially improve ratings, interest rates and bond cost of issuance.

### **TAX MATTERS**

The delivery of the Bonds is subject to delivery of the opinion of Bond Counsel, to the effect that interest on the Bonds for federal income tax purposes under existing statutes, regulations, published rulings, and court decisions (1) will be excludable from the gross income, as defined in section 61 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended to the date of initial delivery of the Bonds (the "Code"), of the owners thereof pursuant to section 103 of the Code, (2) will not be included in computing alternative minimum taxable income for purposes of the federal alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals, and (3) will be taken into account in determining adjusted financial statement income for the alternative minimum tax imposed on certain corporations for tax years. The delivery of the Bonds is also subject to the delivery of the opinion of Bond Counsel, based upon existing provisions of the laws of the State of California, that interest on the Bonds is exempt from personal income taxes of the State of California. The form of Bond Counsel's anticipated opinion respecting the Bonds is included in APPENDIX A. The statutes, regulations, rulings, and court decisions on which such opinions will be based are subject to change.

In rendering the foregoing opinions, Bond Counsel will rely upon the representations and certifications of the District made in a certificate (the "Tax Certificate") of even date with the initial delivery of the Bonds pertaining to the use, expenditure, and investment of the proceeds of the Bonds and will assume continuing compliance with the provisions of the Resolution by the District subsequent to the issuance of the Bonds. The Tax Certificate contains covenants by the District with respect to, among other matters, the use of the proceeds of the Bonds and the facilities and equipment financed therewith by persons other than state or local governmental units, the manner in which the proceeds of the Bonds are to be invested, if required, the

calculation and payment to the United States Treasury of any “arbitrage profits” and the reporting of certain information to the United States Treasury. Failure to comply with any of these covenants could cause interest on the Bonds to be includable in the gross income of the owners thereof from the date of the issuance of the Bonds.

Except as described above, Bond Counsel will express no other opinion with respect to any other federal, State or local tax consequences under present law, or proposed legislation, resulting from the receipt or accrual of interest on, or the acquisition or disposition of, the Bonds. Prospective purchasers of the Bonds should be aware that the ownership of tax-exempt obligations such as the Bonds may result in collateral federal tax consequences to, among others, financial institutions, life insurance companies, property and casualty insurance companies, S corporations with subchapter C earnings and profits, certain foreign corporations doing business in the United States, individual recipients of Social Security or Railroad Retirement benefits, individuals otherwise qualifying for the earned income tax credit, owners of an interest in a financial asset securitization investment trust, and taxpayers who may be deemed to have incurred or continued indebtedness to purchase or carry, or who have paid or incurred certain expenses allocable to, tax-exempt obligations. Prospective purchasers should consult their own tax advisors as to the applicability of these consequences to their particular circumstances.

Bond Counsel’s opinion is not a guarantee of a result, but represents its legal judgment based upon its review of existing statutes, regulations, published rulings and court decisions and the representations and covenants of the District described above. No ruling has been sought from the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS” or the “Service”) or the State of California with respect to the matters addressed in the opinion of Bond Counsel, and Bond Counsel’s opinion is not binding on the Service or the State of California. The Service has an ongoing program of auditing the tax status of the interest on municipal obligations. If an audit of the Bonds is commenced, under current procedures, the Service is likely to treat the District as the “taxpayer,” and the owners of the Bonds would have no right to participate in the audit process. In responding to or defending an audit of the tax-exempt status of the interest on the Bonds, the District may have different or conflicting interests from the owners of the respective Bonds. Public awareness of any future audit of the Bonds could adversely affect the value and liquidity of the Bonds during the pendency of the audit, regardless of its ultimate outcome.

### ***Tax Accounting Treatment of Discount and Premium on Certain of the Bonds***

The initial public offering price of certain of the Bonds (the “Discount Bonds”) may be less than the amount payable on such Bonds at maturity. An amount equal to the difference between the initial public offering price of a Discount Bond (assuming that a substantial amount of the Bonds of that maturity are sold to the public at such price) and the amount payable at maturity constitutes original issue discount to the initial purchaser of such Discount Bond. The tax rules requiring inclusion in income annually by the holder of a debt instrument having original issue discount of the daily portion of original issue discount for each day during a taxable year in which such holder held such debt instrument is inapplicable to the Bonds. A portion of such original issue discount, allocable to the holding period of such Discount Bond by the initial purchaser, will, upon the disposition of such Discount Bond (including by reason of its payment at maturity), be treated as interest excludable from gross income, rather than as taxable gain, and will be added to the holder’s basis in the Discount Bond, for federal income tax purposes, on the same terms and conditions as those for other interest on the bonds described above under “TAX MATTERS.” Such interest is considered to be accrued in accordance with the constant-yield-to-maturity method over the life of a Discount Bond taking into account the semiannual compounding of accrued interest at the yield to maturity on such Discount Bond, and generally will be allocated to an original purchaser in a different amount from the amount of the payment denominated as interest actually received by the original purchaser during the tax year.

However, such interest may be required to be taken into account in determining the amount of the branch profits tax applicable to certain foreign corporations doing business in the United States, even though there will not be a corresponding cash payment. In addition, the accrual of such interest may result in certain other collateral federal income tax consequences to, among others, financial institutions, life insurance companies, property and casualty insurance companies, S corporations with subchapter C earnings and profits, individual recipients of Social Security or Railroad Retirement benefits, individuals otherwise qualifying for the earned income tax credit, and taxpayers who may be deemed to have incurred or continued indebtedness to purchase or carry, or who have paid or incurred certain expenses allocable to, tax-exempt obligations. Moreover, in the event of the redemption, sale or other taxable disposition of a Discount Bond by the initial Owner prior to maturity, the amount realized by such Owner in excess of the basis of such Discount Bond in the hands of such Owner (adjusted upward by the portion of the original issue discount allocable to the period for which such Discount Bond was held) is includable in gross income.

Owners of Discount Bonds should consult with their own tax advisors with respect to the determination for federal income tax purposes of accrued interest upon disposition of Discount Bonds and with respect to the state and local tax consequences of owning Discount Bonds. It is possible that, under applicable provisions governing determination of state and local income taxes, accrued interest on Discount Bonds may be deemed to be received in the year of accrual even though there will not be a corresponding cash payment.

The initial offering price of certain Bonds (the “Premium Bonds”), may be greater than the amount payable on such bonds at maturity. An amount equal to the difference between the initial public offering price of a Premium Bond (assuming that a substantial amount of the Bonds of that maturity are sold to the public at such price) and the amount payable at maturity constitutes premium to the initial purchaser of such Premium Bonds. The basis for federal income tax purposes of a Premium Bond in the hands of such initial purchaser must be reduced each year by the amortizable bond premium, although no federal income tax deduction is allowed as a result of such reduction in basis for amortizable bond premium. Such reduction in basis will increase the amount of any gain (or decrease the amount of any loss) to be recognized for federal income tax purposes upon a sale or other taxable disposition of a Premium Bond. The amount of premium which is amortizable each year by an initial purchaser is determined by using such purchaser’s yield to maturity. Purchasers of the Premium Bonds should consult with their own tax advisors with respect to the determination of amortizable bond premium with respect to the Premium Bonds for federal income purposes and with respect to the state and local tax consequences of owning Premium Bonds.

***Form of Bond Counsel Opinion.*** The form of the proposed opinion of Bond Counsel relating to the Bonds is attached to this Official Statement as Appendix A.

## **LEGALITY FOR INVESTMENT**

Under provisions of the State Financial Code, the Bonds are legal investments for commercial banks in California to the extent that the Bonds, in the informed opinion of the investing bank, are prudent for the investment of funds of depositors. Under provisions of the State Government Code, the Bonds are eligible to secure deposits of public moneys in California.

## **BANK QUALIFICATION**

The District has designated the Bonds as “qualified tax-exempt obligations,” thereby allowing certain financial institutions that are holders of such qualified tax-exempt obligations to deduct a portion of such institution’s interest expense allocable to such qualified tax-exempt obligations, all as determined in accordance with Section 265(b)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Prospective purchasers of the Bonds should consult their tax advisors regarding the ability to deduct any portion of their

interest expense allocable to tax-exempt interest, including interest on the Bonds. See, also, "TAX MATTERS" herein.

## **RATINGS**

S&P Global Ratings, a business unit of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC ("S&P") is expected to assign its municipal bond insured rating of "AA" (stable outlook) to the Bonds with the expectation that the Insurer will issue and deliver the Policy at Closing. S&P has also assigned its underlying municipal bond rating of "A+" to the Bonds without regards to the Policy. Such rating reflects only the view of S&P and an explanation of the significance of such rating may be obtained as follows: S&P at Municipal Finance Department, 55 Water Street, New York, New York 10041, tel. (212) 208-8000. There is no assurance that such rating will continue for any given period of time or that it will not be revised downward or withdrawn entirely if, in the judgment of the rating agency, circumstances so warrant. Any such downward revision or withdrawal of such rating may have an adverse effect on the market price of the Bonds.

Generally, rating agencies base their ratings on information and materials furnished to them (which may include information and material from the District which is not included in this Official Statement) and on investigations, studies and assumptions by the rating agencies.

## **UNDERWRITING**

Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. (the "Underwriter") has agreed to purchase the Bonds pursuant to the terms of a bond purchase agreement by and between the District and the Underwriter ("Purchase Agreement") at the purchase price of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ (reflecting the principal amount of the Bonds plus an original issue premium in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ less an Underwriter's discount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_), at the rates and yields shown on the inside cover hereof.

The Purchase Agreement provides that the Underwriter will purchase all of the Bonds, subject to certain terms and conditions set forth in the Purchase Agreement, including the approval of certain legal matters by counsel. The Underwriter may offer and sell the Bonds to certain dealers and others at yields other than the yields stated on the inside cover page. The offering prices may be changed from time to time by the Underwriter.

## **NO LITIGATION**

No litigation is pending concerning the validity of the Bonds, and the District's certificate to that effect will be furnished to purchasers at the time of the original delivery of the Bonds. The District is not aware of any litigation pending or threatened questioning the political existence of the District or contesting the District's ability to receive *ad valorem* taxes or to collect other revenues or contesting the District's ability to issue the Bonds.

**OTHER INFORMATION**

References are made herein to certain documents and reports which are brief summaries thereof which do not purport to be complete or definitive and reference is made to such documents and reports for full and complete statements of the contents thereof. Copies of the Resolution are available upon request from the Salida Union School District, 8501 Sisk Road, Salida, California 95368.

Any statements in this Official Statement involving matters of opinion, whether or not expressly so stated, are intended as such and not as representations of fact. This Official Statement is not to be construed as a contract or agreement between the District and the purchasers or Owners of any of the Bonds.

The execution and delivery of this Official Statement has been duly authorized by the District.

SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Superintendent

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]



paragraph hereof. Furthermore, we have assumed compliance with all covenants and agreements contained in the Resolution. We call attention to the fact that the rights and obligations under the Bonds and the Resolution may be subject to bankruptcy, insolvency, reorganization, arrangement, fraudulent conveyance, moratorium and other laws relating to or affecting creditors, rights, to the application of equitable principles, to the exercise of judicial discretion in appropriate cases and to the limitations on legal remedies against public entities in the State of California. We express no opinion with respect to any indemnification, contribution, choice of law, choice of forum or waiver provisions contained in the foregoing documents. We express no opinion and make no comment with respect to the sufficiency of the security for the marketability of the Bonds. Finally, we undertake no responsibility for the accuracy, completeness or fairness of the Official Statement or other offering material relating to the Bonds and express no opinion herein with respect thereto.

Based on and subject to the foregoing and in reliance thereon, as of the date hereof, we are of the following opinions:

1. The Bonds constitute valid and binding general obligations of the District.
2. The Bonds are payable as to both principal and interest from the proceeds of a levy of *ad valorem* taxes on all property subject to such taxes in the District, which taxes are unlimited as to rate or amount, except for certain personal property that is taxable at limited rates.
3. The Resolution has been duly adopted and constitutes a valid and binding obligation of the District enforceable against the District in accordance with its terms.
4. Interest on the Bonds is excluded from the gross income of the owners thereof for federal income tax purposes under Section 103 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended.
5. Interest on the Bonds is exempt from personal income taxes of the State of California.

Bondholders should note that interest on the Bonds is not a preference item for purposes of the alternative minimum tax imposed on individuals but is taken into account in determining the annual adjusted financial statement income for the purpose of computing the alternative minimum tax imposed on certain corporations. Ownership of tax-exempt obligations such as the Bonds may result in collateral tax consequences. The nature and extent of these other tax consequences will depend upon the particular tax status of the owner of the Bonds or such owner's other items of income or deduction. We express no opinion with respect to any federal, state, or local tax consequences, under present law or any proposed legislation, resulting from the receipt or accrual of interest on, or the acquisition or disposition of, the Bonds.

Our opinions are based on existing law, which is subject to change. Such opinions are further based on our knowledge of facts as of the date hereof. We assume no duty to update or supplement our opinions to reflect any facts or circumstances that may thereafter come to our attention or to reflect any changes in any law that may thereafter occur or become effective. Our opinions represent our legal judgment based upon our review of existing law that we deem relevant to such opinions and in reliance upon the representations and covenants referenced above.

The foregoing opinions represent our legal judgment based upon a review of existing legal authorities that we deem relevant to render such opinions and are not a guarantee of results.

Dannis Woliver Kelley



**APPENDIX B**

**SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT  
AUDITED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
FOR FISCAL YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2022**

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]



Financial Statements  
June 30, 2022

# Salida Union School District

Independent Auditor’s Report .....	1
Management’s Discussion and Analysis .....	4
Government-Wide Financial Statements	
Statement of Net Position .....	13
Statement of Activities.....	14
Government Fund Financial Statements	
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds .....	15
Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position .....	16
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Governmental Funds .....	17
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities .....	18
Notes to Financial Statements .....	20
Required Supplementary Information	
Budgetary Comparison Schedule – General Fund .....	59
Schedule of the District’s Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability – MPP Program.....	60
Schedule of the District’s Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability .....	61
Schedule of the District’s Contributions .....	63
Notes to Required Supplementary Information .....	65
Supplementary Information	
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards .....	67
Local Education Agency Organization Structure.....	69
Schedule of Average Daily Attendance.....	70
Schedule of Instructional Time .....	71
Reconciliation of Annual Financial and Budget Report with Audited Financial Statements .....	72
Schedule of Financial Trends and Analysis .....	73
Schedule of Charter Schools .....	74
Combining Balance Sheet – Non-Major Governmental Funds .....	75
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Non-Major Governmental Funds.....	77
Notes to Supplementary Information.....	79
Independent Auditor’s Reports	
Independent Auditor’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with <i>Government Auditing Standards</i> .....	81
Independent Auditor’s Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance .....	83
Independent Auditor’s Report on State Compliance.....	86
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	
Summary of Auditor’s Results.....	91

Financial Statement Findings ..... 92  
Federal Awards Findings and Questioned Costs..... 93  
State Compliance Findings and Questioned Costs..... 94  
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings..... 96



## Independent Auditor's Report

To the Governing Board  
Salida Union School District  
Salida, California

### Report on the Audit of the Financial Statements

#### *Opinions*

We have audited the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Salida Union School District as of and for the year ended June 30, 2022, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the District's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Salida Union School District, as of June 30, 2022, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### *Basis for Opinions*

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS) and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*). Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements section of our report. We are required to be independent of the Salida Union School District, and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

#### *Adoption of New Accounting Standard*

As discussed in Notes 1 and 17 to the financial statements, the Salida Union School District has adopted the provisions of Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 87, *Leases*, for the year ended June 30, 2022. Accordingly, a restatement has been made to the governmental activities net position as of July 1, 2021, to restate beginning net position. Our opinions are not modified with respect to this matter.

### ***Responsibilities of Management for the Financial Statements***

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is required to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the Salida Union School District's ability to continue as a going concern for twelve months beyond the financial statement date, including any currently known information that may raise substantial doubt shortly thereafter.

### ***Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements***

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinions. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS and *Government Auditing Standards* will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Misstatements are considered material if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, they would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user based on the financial statements.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS and *Government Auditing Standards*, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements.
- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Salida Union School District's internal control. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the financial statements.
- Conclude whether, in our judgment, there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the Salida Union School District's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit, significant audit findings, and certain internal control-related matters that we identified during the audit.

### ***Required Supplementary Information***

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis, budgetary comparison information, schedule of the District's proportionate share of

the net OPEB liability – MPP program, schedule of the District’s proportionate share of the net pension liability, and the schedule of the District’s contributions, be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historical context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management’s responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

### ***Supplementary Information***

Our audit was conducted for the purpose of forming opinions on the financial statements that collectively comprise the Salida Union School District’s basic financial statements. The schedule of expenditures of federal awards, as required by Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards*, combining non-major governmental fund financial statements, and other supplementary information listed in the table of contents are presented for purposes of additional analysis and are not a required part of the basic financial statements. Such information is the responsibility of management and was derived from and relates directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements. The information has been subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the basic financial statements and certain additional procedures, including comparing and reconciling such information directly to the underlying accounting and other records used to prepare the basic financial statements or to the basic financial statements themselves, and other additional procedures in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. In our opinion, the schedule of expenditures of federal awards, combining non-major governmental fund financial statements, and other supplementary information listed in the table of contents are fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the basic financial statements as a whole.

### ***Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards***

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated February 2, 2023 on our consideration of Salida Union School District’s internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of Salida Union School District’s internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering Salida Union School District’s internal control over financial reporting and compliance.



Fresno, California  
February 2, 2023





# Salida Union School District

**District Superintendent**  
Twila Tosh

**Board of Trustees**  
Linda Brughelli  
Nanci E. Fox  
Dr. Gary Dew  
Virginia Lee Berry  
Maria Elena Magana

## **MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS** **Fiscal Year Ended June 30, 2022**

This management's discussion and analysis of Salida Union School District's financial performance provides an overview of the District's financial activities for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, with comparative information for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2021. This is the District management's view of the District's financial condition. It should be read in conjunction with the Independent Auditor's Report, the basic financial statements and the accompanying notes to those financial statements.

Salida is located in California's San Joaquin Valley in the northwest portion of Stanislaus County and covers an area of approximately 31 square miles. Most of the District encompasses unincorporated territory, including the community of Salida, a portion of the District includes territory in the northern portion of the City of Modesto.

Enrollment in the 2021-2022 fiscal year totaled 2,224, of which includes 2,194 public school students and 30 charter school students, per CalPads 117 LCFF student counts. There are currently six K-8 schools within the District consisting of four elementary schools, one middle school and one charter school. Students graduating from the Salida Union School District attend high school in the Modesto High School District.

The Management's Discussion and Analysis consists of five sections:

- **Overview of the Financial Statements** - serves as a guide to reading the financial statements provided in the sections following the Management's Discussion and Analysis.
- **Financial Analysis of the District as a Whole**
- **Financial Analysis of the District's Funds** - including a sub-section on the District's General Fund.
- **Capital Asset and Debt Administration** - a look at the District's investment in capital assets and its level of debt.
- **Factors bearing on the District's Financial Future** - a discussion of issues management see as relevant to the future financial health of the District.

## OVERVIEW OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The basic financial statements include two kinds of statements that present different views of the District:

- Government-Wide. The first two statements are Government-wide financial statements that provide both short- and long-term information about the District's overall financial status. Because they include all district funds, certain inter-fund and other types of transactions that net to zero have been eliminated so that Government-wide revenues and expenditures are not artificially inflated.
- Fund-Level. The remaining statements are fund-level financial statements that focus on individual parts of the District, reporting the District's operations in more detail than the District-wide statements.

These two statements report the District's net position and changes in them. Net position is the difference between assets and deferred outflows of resources, and liabilities and deferred inflows of resources, which is one way to measure the District's financial health. Over time, increases or decreases in the District's net position is one indicator of whether its financial health is improving or deteriorating.

The financial statements also include notes that explain some of the information in the statements. These notes are considered to be an integral part of the financial statements and should be considered with them when looking at the District's financial picture.

The statements are followed by a section of required supplementary information that further explains and supports the financial statements with a budgetary comparison of the District's budget for the year.

In the Government-wide financial statements, activities are shown as Governmental activities. The fund-level financial statements provide more detailed information about the District's most significant funds—not the District as a whole. The District has:

- Governmental Funds. Most of the District's basic services are included in governmental funds, the General Fund being the largest fund in this category. Funds included in the grouping of Governmental funds are those activities that are unique to the special mission of a governmental organization, such as a public school district.

When using these financial statements to assess the overall health of the District you also need to consider additional non-financial factors, such as the condition of school buildings and other facilities, and enrollment trends.

## FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE DISTRICT AS A WHOLE

Net Position: A second view in the analysis of the District is to look at the change in net position as shown on Table 1. Net position represents the portion of total assets actually owned free and clear by the District. The District's total net position for the year ending June 30, 2022, was \$4.2 million.

It should be noted that land is accounted for at purchase value, not market value, and is not depreciated. Therefore, much of the land owned by the District is valued on the District's books at what is potentially below current market value because much of it was acquired decades ago. This valuation is consistent with accounting rules set forth by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board.

Buildings are recorded at cost of original construction and then depreciated. They are not recorded at present market value.

Net position is a frequent indicator of the financial health of an organization, as assets could be sold and net proceeds used to fund operations. While the land and buildings owned by the District contribute to its net position, because of the nature of its operations the District will be utilizing the vast majority of these assets for the foreseeable future. With limited exceptions, they are not available as assets that could be liquidated; however, they are still a partial indication of the overall financial health of the District.

**Table 1 - Statement of Net Position**

	Governmental Activities	
	2022	2021 as Restated
<b>Assets</b>		
Current and other assets	\$ 29,656,289	\$ 26,824,508
Capital assets	29,782,137	28,007,303
Right-to-use assets	206,532	413,065
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>59,644,958</b>	<b>55,244,876</b>
Deferred outflows of resources	7,049,088	8,889,076
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Current liabilities	10,574,140	4,596,365
Long-term liabilities	37,648,584	54,830,276
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>48,222,724</b>	<b>59,426,641</b>
Deferred inflows of resources	14,310,216	2,194,365
<b>Net Position</b>		
Net investment in capital assets	13,994,190	15,740,528
Restricted	4,749,367	3,047,569
Unrestricted (deficit)	(14,582,451)	(16,275,151)
<b>Total net position</b>	<b>\$ 4,161,106</b>	<b>\$ 2,512,946</b>

Table 2 is another view of Net Position and how operations of the District impact Net Position. Revenue minus expenses will equal the change in Net Positions from year to year. This table looks at revenue and expenses as they are broken out by the various functions performed by the District.

**Table 2 - Statement of Net Activities**

	Governmental Activities	
	2022	2021*
<b>Revenues</b>		
Program revenues		
Charges for services	\$ 243,573	\$ 651,561
Operating grants and contributions	11,898,617	12,819,494
Capital grants and contributions	(1,433)	601
General revenues		
Federal and State aid not restricted	20,319,581	19,231,297
Property taxes	6,969,343	5,891,101
Other general revenues	884,576	1,087,404
Total revenues	40,314,257	39,681,458
<b>Expenses</b>		
Instruction-related	22,716,296	25,696,043
Pupil services	5,053,908	5,289,123
Administration	2,713,449	2,834,761
Plant services	3,583,747	3,002,178
Other	4,598,697	4,876,523
Total expenses	38,666,097	41,698,628
Change in net position	1,648,160	(2,017,170)

\* The revenues and expenses for fiscal year 2021 were not restated to show the effects of GASB Statement No. 87 for comparative purposes.

In Table 3, we have presented the cost and net cost of each of the District's largest functions: instruction, pupil services, administration, plant services, and all other services. As discussed above, net cost shows the financial burden that was placed on the District's taxpayers by each of these functions. Providing this information allows our citizens to consider the cost of each function in comparison to the benefits they believe are provided by that function.

**Table 3 – Total and Net Cost of Services**

	Total Cost of Services		Net Cost of Services	
	2022	2021*	2022	2021*
Instruction-related	\$ 22,716,296	\$ 25,696,043	\$ (17,131,515)	\$ (19,201,112)
Pupil services	5,053,908	5,289,123	(2,623,604)	(2,407,639)
Administration	2,713,449	2,834,761	(2,286,473)	(2,285,270)
Plant services	3,583,747	3,002,178	(3,523,703)	(2,822,500)
All other services	4,598,697	4,876,523	(960,045)	(1,510,451)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 38,666,097</b>	<b>\$ 41,698,628</b>	<b>\$ (26,525,340)</b>	<b>\$ (28,226,972)</b>

\* The total and net cost of services for fiscal year 2021 were not restated to show the effects of GASB Statement No. 87 for comparative purposes.

**FINANCIAL ANALYSIS OF THE DISTRICT'S FUNDS**

**Fund Balance:** The first view in the analysis of the District as a whole is fund balance and the changes that occurred during the fiscal year. The combined total of all fund balances decreased during 2021-2022 as in Table 4 of Ending Fund Balances below.

**Table 4 - Ending Fund Balances**

Governmental Fund	Balances and Activity			
	July 1, 2021	Revenues and Other Financing Sources	Expenditures and Other Financing Uses	June 30, 2022
General	\$ 9,410,331	\$ 34,664,272	\$ 33,760,833	\$ 10,313,770
Student Activities	83,367	219,784	193,033	110,118
Charter School	44,073	459,757	455,352	48,478
Child Development	96,882	2,716,375	2,680,658	132,599
Cafeteria	858,884	2,472,461	2,063,519	1,267,826
Deferred Maintenance	381	(16)	-	365
Building	6,575,564	(163,513)	3,790,696	2,621,355
Capital Facilities	884,126	14,539	265,165	633,500
County School Facilities	35,232	(1,432)	-	33,800
Special Reserve Fund for Capital Outlay Projects	3,079,487	756,757	1,275,779	2,560,465
Bond Interest and Redemption	291,315	760,671	307,311	744,675
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 21,359,642</b>	<b>\$ 41,899,655</b>	<b>\$ 44,792,346</b>	<b>\$ 18,466,951</b>

**Core Operating Funds:** The core operating funds of the District consist of the General, Charter School, Child Development, and Cafeteria Funds.

The General Fund balance increased \$903 thousand due to the District additional Federal and State money, such as COVID-19 Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief funds (various ESSER II, ESSER III grants), and Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELOP), respectively, to help mitigate the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic.

The Charter School Fund balance remained stable reporting only a slight increase of \$4 thousand.

The Child Development Fund is a self-supporting program and the fund balance increased almost \$36 thousand due primarily to an increase in Head Start revenues.

The Cafeteria Fund experienced an increase of \$409 thousand primarily due to increased federal revenues from commodities and the National School Lunch program operated by the District.

The District's capital outlay funds are: Building, Capital Facilities, County Schools Facilities, and Special Reserve Fund for Capital Outlay Projects. The combined balances of these funds have decreased by \$4.7 million mainly due to the General Obligation Bonds proceeds being spent on voter approved construction projects.

### **General Fund Budgetary Highlights**

Over the course of the year, the District revises its budget as it attempts to deal with unexpected changes in revenues and expenditures. A schedule showing the District's original and final budget amounts compared with amounts actually paid and received is provided in our annual report.

The District originally budgeted for a \$1.3 million reduction in the General Fund. However, revenues and other sources ended the year \$3.7 million above what was originally budgeted and even though expenditures and other outgo ended the year \$1.4 million more than was originally budgeted, leaving the fund with an actual surplus of \$903 thousand.

**CAPITAL ASSET AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION**

**Capital Assets:** At June 30, 2022, the District had \$30.0 million in a broad range of capital assets, including land, buildings, furniture and equipment, which is a net increase of \$1.6 million over the prior year.

**Table 5 - Capital Assets**

	Governmental Activities	
	2022	2021 as Restated
Land and construction in progress	\$ 11,307,375	\$ 7,640,282
Buildings and improvements	17,797,995	19,656,401
Equipment	676,767	710,620
Leased assets	206,532	413,065
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 29,988,669</b>	<b>\$ 28,420,368</b>

This year's additions included on-going construction projects, boiler at the Central Kitchen, server at Salida Elementary, shed at Sisk Elementary and a tractor. Depreciation and amortization of \$2.3 million was recognized during the year.

**Long-Term Liabilities:** At year-end, the District had \$37.6 million in long-term liabilities outstanding versus \$54.8 million in the prior fiscal year. This is a decrease of \$17.2 million. Below is a list of these obligations.

**Table 6 - Long-Term Liabilities**

	Governmental Activities	
	2022	2021 as Restated
Long-Term Liabilities		
General obligation bonds	\$ 9,165,000	\$ 9,165,000
Qualified Zone Academy Bonds	3,220,000	3,385,000
Certificates of participation	3,570,000	3,715,000
Unamortized premiums	990,414	1,014,893
Leases	206,427	404,914
Early retirement liabilities	126,000	168,000
Compensated absences	42,951	47,566
Net OPEB liability	143,262	176,194
Energy loan	1,540,853	1,643,576
Aggregate net pension liability	18,643,677	35,110,133
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 37,648,584</b>	<b>\$ 54,830,276</b>

At year-end, the District has a net pension liability of \$18.6 million versus \$35.1 million last year, a decrease of \$16.5 million, or 31%. In addition, the District reported deferred outflows of resources from pension activities of \$7.0 million, and deferred inflows of resources from pension activities of \$13.7 million. The aggregate net pension liability had a negative impact on the District's net position of \$25.4 million. We present more detailed information regarding our long-term liabilities in the Notes to Financial Statements.

## **FACTORS BEARING ON THE DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL FUTURE**

The State's economy is a major contributing factor that affects the District's financial well-being. The primary revenue source for the District is the Local Control Funding Formula from the State of California. The District relies on the increases provided to education as its main source of funding.

- **Challenges:** At the time these financial statements were prepared and audited, the District was aware of the following circumstances that could significantly affect its financial health in the future:
  - COVID-19 Pandemic. The COVID-19 Pandemic has brought many uncertainties to enrollment and attendance factors. The State of California has implemented various methods of ADA Loss Mitigation efforts, such as "Hold Harmless", "COVID-19 ADA Relief", and a "Three-Year-Average ADA".
  - Decline in the District enrollment. Declining enrollment has been a prevailing factor since 2007. In 2021-2022 our public and dependent schools combined experienced a decline of 8 students. We will continue to project our revenues based on our experiences with declines.
  - Attendance Rate due to COVID-19. Due to COVID-19 we have seen drastic changes in our rate of attendance. In 2021-2022 our public school attendance rate was 92.11% and our dependent charter school was 91.57%. When compared to 2019-2020, prior to COVID-19, this is a decline of 3.77% to our public schools and 4.34% to our dependent charter.
  - Rising pension costs of both CalSTRS and CalPERS are a great concern to the District as these costs continue to increase at a rapid rate. Budget adjustments have been made accordingly to project future cost implications for the rising rates of these programs.
  - Increasing costs to Special Education services and its considerable encroachment required of the General Fund. In 2021-2022 our Special Education encroachment equated to 11.69% of our total General Fund Expenses. All efforts are made to minimize these costs.
  
- **Strengths:** There are also factors that work in the District's favor when looking at the long-term financial future of Salida Union School District:
  - Health insurance cost containment. It should be noted that two significant challenges facing many districts are rising health costs and escalating retiree benefit obligations, both of which are not factors for the District as these were capped many years ago.
  - Experienced Leadership and Staff. The District enjoys stability in its Board of Education and has the benefit of both an experienced and highly capable certificated and classified staff.
  - Employee relations. The District has an excellent relationship with its employee organizations.



**CONTACTING THE DISTRICT'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT**

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, investors and creditors with a general overview of the District's finances and to demonstrate the District's accountability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the office of Jaime Towe, Chief Business Officer, Salida Union School District, 4801 Sisk Road, Salida, CA 95368 or (209) 545-0339.

Salida Union School District  
Statement of Net Position  
June 30, 2022

	Governmental Activities
<b>Assets</b>	
Deposits and investments	\$ 22,559,104
Receivables	6,418,403
Prepaid expense	42,140
Stores inventories	21,444
Lease receivables	615,198
Capital assets not depreciated	11,307,375
Capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation	18,474,762
Right-to-use leased assets, net of accumulated amortization	206,532
Total assets	59,644,958
<b>Deferred Outflows of Resources</b>	
Deferred charge on refunding	76,860
Deferred outflows of resources related to pensions	6,972,228
Total deferred outflows of resources	7,049,088
<b>Liabilities</b>	
Accounts payable	5,936,607
Unearned revenue	4,637,533
Long-term liabilities	
Long-term liabilities other than OPEB and pensions due within one year	1,206,151
Long-term liabilities other than OPEB and pensions due in more than one year	17,655,494
Net other postemployment benefits liability (OPEB)	143,262
Aggregate net pension liabilities	18,643,677
Total liabilities	48,222,724
<b>Deferred Inflows of Resources</b>	
Deferred inflows of resources related to pensions	13,695,018
Deferred inflows of resources related to leases	615,198
Total deferred inflows of resources	14,310,216
<b>Net Position</b>	
Net investment in capital assets	13,994,190
Restricted for	
Debt service	744,675
Capital projects	667,300
Educational programs	1,980,892
Child nutrition	1,246,382
Student activities	110,118
Unrestricted (deficit)	(14,582,451)
Total net position	\$ 4,161,106

Salida Union School District  
Statement of Activities  
Year Ended June 30, 2022

Functions/Programs	Expenses	Program Revenues			Net (Expenses) Revenues and Changes in Net Position Governmental Activities
		Charges for Services and Sales	Operating Grants and Contributions	Capital Grants and Contributions	
Governmental Activities					
Instruction	\$ 20,491,526	\$ 154,191	\$ 5,240,058	\$ (1,433)	\$ (15,098,710)
Instruction-related activities					
Supervision of instruction	606,048	5,559	210,554	-	(389,935)
Instructional library, media, and technology	141,792	-	5,458	-	(136,334)
School site administration	1,476,930	-	(29,606)	-	(1,506,536)
Pupil services					
Home-to-school transportation	490,064	-	6,147	-	(483,917)
Food services	1,845,479	846	1,646,397	-	(198,236)
All other pupil services	2,718,365	32,860	744,054	-	(1,941,451)
Administration					
Data processing	588,851	-	38,339	-	(550,512)
All other administration	2,124,598	1,915	386,722	-	(1,735,961)
Plant services	3,583,747	195	59,849	-	(3,523,703)
Ancillary services	745,993	-	200,282	-	(545,711)
Community services	3,099,642	34,857	3,054,692	-	(10,093)
Interest on long-term liabilities	479,565	-	-	-	(479,565)
Other outgo	273,497	13,150	335,671	-	75,324
Total governmental activities	<u>\$ 38,666,097</u>	<u>\$ 243,573</u>	<u>\$ 11,898,617</u>	<u>\$ (1,433)</u>	<u>(26,525,340)</u>
General Revenues and Subventions					
Property taxes, levied for general purposes					5,743,949
Property taxes, levied for debt service					795,670
Taxes levied for other specific purposes					429,724
Federal and State aid not restricted to specific purposes					20,319,581
Interest and investment earnings					(886,923)
Miscellaneous and unspent state entitlements revenues					<u>1,771,499</u>
Subtotal, general revenues and subventions					<u>28,173,500</u>
Change in Net Position					1,648,160
Net Position - Beginning, as Restated					<u>2,512,946</u>
Net Position - Ending					<u>\$ 4,161,106</u>

Salida Union School District  
Balance Sheet – Governmental Funds  
June 30, 2022

	General Fund	Building Fund	Non-Major Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds
<b>Assets</b>				
Deposits and investments	\$ 13,372,661	\$ 3,978,154	\$ 5,208,289	\$ 22,559,104
Receivables	5,604,682	1	813,720	6,418,403
Due from other funds	166,241	57,487	140,849	364,577
Prepaid expenditures	42,140	-	-	42,140
Stores inventories	-	-	21,444	21,444
Lease receivables	-	-	615,198	615,198
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 19,185,724</b>	<b>\$ 4,035,642</b>	<b>\$ 6,799,500</b>	<b>\$ 30,020,866</b>
<b>Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances</b>				
<b>Liabilities</b>				
Accounts payable	\$ 4,119,064	\$ 1,413,857	\$ 403,686	\$ 5,936,607
Due to other funds	140,419	430	223,728	364,577
Unearned revenue	4,612,471	-	25,062	4,637,533
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>8,871,954</b>	<b>1,414,287</b>	<b>652,476</b>	<b>10,938,717</b>
<b>Deferred Inflows of Resources</b>				
Deferred inflows of resources related to leases	-	-	615,198	615,198
<b>Fund Balances</b>				
Nonspendable	52,140	-	21,444	73,584
Restricted	1,799,944	2,621,355	2,949,423	7,370,722
Committed	-	-	365	365
Assigned	2,676,940	-	2,560,594	5,237,534
Unassigned	5,784,746	-	-	5,784,746
<b>Total fund balances</b>	<b>10,313,770</b>	<b>2,621,355</b>	<b>5,531,826</b>	<b>18,466,951</b>
<b>Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and fund balances</b>	<b>\$ 19,185,724</b>	<b>\$ 4,035,642</b>	<b>\$ 6,799,500</b>	<b>\$ 30,020,866</b>

Salida Union School District

Reconciliation of the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet to the Statement of Net Position

June 30, 2022

Total Fund Balance - Governmental Funds		\$ 18,466,951
Amounts Reported for Governmental Activities in the Statement of Net Position are Different Because		
Capital assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as assets in governmental funds.		
The cost of capital assets is	\$ 78,856,506	
Accumulated depreciation is	<u>(49,074,369)</u>	
Net capital assets		29,782,137
Right-to-use leased assets used in governmental activities are not financial resources and, therefore, are not reported as assets in governmental funds.		
The cost of right-to-use leased assets is	619,598	
Accumulated amortization is	<u>(413,066)</u>	
Net right-to-use leased assets		206,532
Deferred outflows of resources represent a consumption of net position in a future period and is not reported in the governmental funds. Deferred outflows of resources amounted to and related to		
Debt refundings (deferred charge on refunding)	76,860	
Net pension liability	<u>6,972,228</u>	
Total deferred outflows of resources		7,049,088
Deferred inflows of resources represent an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period and is not reported in the governmental funds. Deferred inflows of resources amount to and related to		
Net pension liability		(13,695,018)
Net pension liability is not due and payable in the current period, and is not reported as a liability in the funds.		
		(18,643,677)
The District's OPEB liability is not due and payable in the current period, and is not reported as a liability in the funds.		
		(143,262)
Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in the current period and, therefore, are not reported as liabilities in the funds.		
Long-term liabilities at year-end consist of		
General obligation bonds	(10,155,414)	
Certificates of participation	(3,570,000)	
Qualified zone academy bond	(3,220,000)	
Energy loan	(1,540,853)	
Leases	(206,427)	
Compensated absences (vacations)	(42,951)	
Early retirement	<u>(126,000)</u>	
Total long-term liabilities		<u>(18,861,645)</u>
Total net position - governmental activities		<u>\$ 4,161,106</u>

Salida Union School District  
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Governmental Funds  
Year Ended June 30, 2022

	General Fund	Building Fund	Non-Major Governmental Funds	Total Governmental Funds
<b>Revenues</b>				
Local control funding formula	\$ 25,269,787	\$ -	\$ 311,414	\$ 25,581,201
Federal sources	1,746,310	-	4,474,310	6,220,620
Other State sources	5,897,781	-	620,624	6,518,405
Other local sources	1,750,394	(163,513)	1,756,699	3,343,580
Total revenues	<u>34,664,272</u>	<u>(163,513)</u>	<u>7,163,047</u>	<u>41,663,806</u>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
<b>Current</b>				
Instruction	21,617,853	-	316,995	21,934,848
Instruction-related activities				
Supervision of instruction	618,515	-	34,115	652,630
Instructional library, media, and technology	149,488	-	2,079	151,567
School site administration	1,435,877	-	24,778	1,460,655
Pupil services				
Home-to-school transportation	384,731	-	-	384,731
Food services	(4,087)	-	1,941,425	1,937,338
All other pupil services	2,943,026	-	47,608	2,990,634
Administration				
Data processing	609,061	-	3,592	612,653
All other administration	1,895,943	-	211,539	2,107,482
Plant services	2,394,546	318,761	809,781	3,523,088
Ancillary services	603,140	-	197,423	800,563
Community services	603,394	-	2,534,989	3,138,383
Other outgo	273,497	-	-	273,497
Facility acquisition and construction	-	3,471,935	203,996	3,675,931
Debt service				
Principal	-	-	412,723	412,723
Interest and other	-	-	499,774	499,774
Total expenditures	<u>33,524,984</u>	<u>3,790,696</u>	<u>7,240,817</u>	<u>44,556,497</u>
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures	<u>1,139,288</u>	<u>(3,954,209)</u>	<u>(77,770)</u>	<u>(2,892,691)</u>
<b>Other Financing Sources (Uses)</b>				
Transfers in	-	-	235,849	235,849
Transfers out	(235,849)	-	-	(235,849)
Net Financing Sources (Uses)	<u>(235,849)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>235,849</u>	<u>-</u>
Net Change in Fund Balances	903,439	(3,954,209)	158,079	(2,892,691)
Fund Balance - Beginning	9,410,331	6,575,564	5,373,747	21,359,642
Fund Balance - Ending	<u>\$ 10,313,770</u>	<u>\$ 2,621,355</u>	<u>\$ 5,531,826</u>	<u>\$ 18,466,951</u>

Salida Union School District

Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental Funds to the Statement of Activities  
Year Ended June 30, 2022

Total Net Change in Fund Balances - Governmental Funds \$ (2,892,691)

Amounts Reported for Governmental Activities in the Statement of Activities are Different Because

Capital outlays to purchase or build capital assets are reported in governmental funds as expenditures; however, for governmental activities, those costs are shown in the Statement of Net Position and allocated over their estimated useful lives as annual depreciation and amortization expenses in the Statement of Activities.

This is the amount by which capital outlays exceeds depreciation and amortization in the period.

Depreciation and amortization expense	\$ (2,287,546)
Capital outlays	<u>3,883,358</u>

Net expense adjustment	1,595,812
------------------------	-----------

Loss on disposal of capital assets is reported in the government-wide Statement of Net Position, but is not recorded in the governmental funds. (27,511)

In the Statement of Activities, certain operating expenses, such as compensated absences (vacations) are measured by the amounts earned during the year. In the governmental funds, however, expenditures for these items are measured by the amount of financial resources used (essentially, the amounts actually paid). This amount is the difference between vacation earned and used. 46,615

In the governmental funds, pension costs are based on employer contributions made to pension plans during the year. However, in the Statement of Activities, pension expense is the net effect of all changes in the deferred outflows, deferred inflows and net pension liability during the year. 2,261,584

In the governmental funds, OPEB costs are based on employer contributions made to OPEB plans during the year. However, in the Statement of Activities, OPEB expense is the net effect of all changes in the deferred outflows, deferred inflows, and net OPEB liability during the year. 32,932

Governmental funds report the effect of premiums, discounts, and the deferred charge on refunding when the debt is first issued, whereas the amounts are deferred and amortized in the Statement of Activities.

Deferred charge on refunding recognized	(4,270)
Premium amortization	24,479

Salida Union School District  
Reconciliation of the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances of Governmental  
Funds to the Statement of Activities  
Year Ended June 30, 2022

---

Payment of principal on long-term liabilities is an expenditure in the governmental funds, but it reduces long-term liabilities in the Statement of Net Position and does not affect the Statement of Activities.

Qualified zone academy bonds	165,000
Certificates of participation	145,000
Energy loan	102,723
Leases	<u>198,487</u>
Change in net position of governmental activities	<u>\$ 1,648,160</u>



## **Note 1 - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

### **Financial Reporting Entity**

The Salida Union School District (the District) was established in 1949 under the laws of the State of California. The District operates under a locally elected five-member Board form of government and provides educational services to grades K - 8 as mandated by the State and/or Federal agencies. The District is currently operating four elementary schools, one middle school, and one charter school.

A reporting entity is comprised of the primary government and other organizations that are included to ensure the financial statements are not misleading. The primary government of the District consists of all funds, departments, boards, and agencies that are not legally separate from the District. For Salida Union School District, this includes general operations, food service, and student related activities of the District.

### **Other Organizations**

The Independence Charter School's financial activity is presented in the financial statements within the Charter School Fund. Individually-prepared financial statements are not prepared for the Independence Charter School.

### **Basis of Presentation - Fund Accounting**

The accounting system is organized and operated on a fund basis. A fund is defined as a fiscal and accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts, which are segregated for the purpose of carrying on specific activities or attaining certain objectives in accordance with special regulations, restrictions, or limitations.

**Governmental Funds** Governmental funds are those through which most governmental functions typically are financed. Governmental fund reporting focuses on the sources, uses, and balances of current financial resources. Expendable assets are assigned to the various governmental funds according to the purposes for which they may or must be used. Current liabilities are assigned to the fund from which they will be paid. The difference between governmental fund assets and liabilities is reported as fund balance. The following are the District's major and non-major governmental funds:

### **Major Governmental Funds**

**General Fund** The General Fund is the chief operating fund for all districts. It is used to account for the ordinary operations of the District. All transactions except those accounted for in another fund are accounted for in this fund.

One fund currently defined as special revenue fund in the California State Accounting Manual (CSAM) does not meet the GASB Statement No. 54 special revenue fund definition. Specifically, Fund 17, Special Reserve Fund for Other Than Capital Outlay Projects, is not substantially composed of restricted or committed revenue sources. While this fund is authorized by statute and will remain open for internal reporting purposes, this fund functions effectively as an extension of the General Fund, and accordingly has been combined with the General Fund for presentation in these audited financial statements. As a result, the General Fund reflects an increase in fund balance of \$366,859.

**Building Fund** The Building Fund exists primarily to account separately for proceeds from the sale of bonds (*Education Code* Section 15146) and may not be used for any purposes other than those for which the bonds were issued.

### **Non-Major Governmental Funds**

**Special Revenue Funds** The Special Revenue funds are used to account for the proceeds from specific revenue sources (other than trusts, major capital projects, or debt service) that are restricted or committed to the financing of particular activities, that compose a substantial portion of the inflows of the fund, and that are reasonably expected to continue. Additional resources that are restricted, committed, or assigned to the purpose of the fund may also be reported in the fund.

- **Student Activities Fund** The Student Activities Fund is used to account separately for the operating activities of the associated student body accounts that are not fiduciary in nature, including student clubs, general operations, athletics, and other student body activities.
- **Charter School Fund** The Charter School Fund may be used by authorizing districts to account separately for the operating activities of the district-operated charter school that would otherwise be reported in the authorizing District's General Fund.
- **Child Development Fund** The Child Development Fund is used to account separately for Federal, State, and local revenues to operate child development programs and is to be used only for expenditures for the operation of child development programs.
- **Cafeteria Fund** The Cafeteria Fund is used to account separately for Federal, State, and local resources to operate the food service program (*Education Code* Sections 38090-38093) and is used only for those expenditures authorized by the governing board as necessary for the operation of the District's food service program (*Education Code* Sections 38091 and 38100).
- **Deferred Maintenance Fund** The Deferred Maintenance Fund is used to account separately for revenues that are restricted or committed for deferred maintenance purposes (*Education Code* Section 17582).

**Capital Project Funds** The Capital Project funds are used to account for financial resources to be used for the acquisition or construction of major capital facilities and other capital assets (other than those financed by proprietary funds and trust funds).

- **Capital Facilities Fund** The Capital Facilities Fund is used primarily to account separately for monies received from fees levied on developers or other agencies as a condition of approval (*Education Code* Sections 17620-17626 and *Government Code* Section 65995 et seq.). Expenditures are restricted to the purposes specified in *Government Code* Sections 65970-65981 or to the items specified in agreements with the developer (*Government Code* Section 66006).

- **County School Facilities Fund** The County School Facilities Fund is established pursuant to *Education Code* Section 17070.43 to receive apportionments from the 1998 State School Facilities Fund (Proposition 1A), the 2002 State School Facilities Fund (Proposition 47), the 2004 State School Facilities Fund (Proposition 55), the 2006 State School Facilities Fund (Proposition 1D), or the 2016 State School Facilities Fund (Proposition 51) authorized by the State Allocation Board for new school facility construction, modernization projects, and facility hardship grants, as provided in the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998 (*Education Code* Section 17070 et seq.).
- **Special Reserve Fund for Capital Outlay Projects** The Special Reserve Fund for Capital Outlay Projects exists primarily to provide for the accumulation of General Fund monies for capital outlay purposes (*Education Code* Section 42840).

**Debt Service Funds** The Debt Service funds are used to account for the accumulation of resources for, and the payment of, principal and interest on general long-term liabilities.

- **Bond Interest and Redemption Fund** The Bond Interest and Redemption Fund is used for the repayment of bonds issued for a district (*Education Code* Sections 15125-15262).

#### **Basis of Accounting - Measurement Focus**

**Government-Wide Financial Statements** The government-wide financial statements are prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting.

The government-wide statement of activities presents a comparison between expenses, both direct and indirect, and program revenues for each governmental function. Direct expenses are those that are specifically associated with a service, program, or department and are therefore, clearly identifiable to a particular function. The District does not allocate indirect expenses to functions in the *Statement of Activities*, except for depreciation and amortization of leased assets. Program revenues include charges paid by the recipients of the goods or services offered by the programs and grants and contributions that are restricted to meeting the operational or capital requirements of a particular program. Revenues that are not classified as program revenues are presented as general revenues. The comparison of program revenues and expenses identifies the extent to which each program is self-financing or draws from the general revenues of the District. Eliminations have been made to minimize the double counting of internal activities.

Net position should be reported as restricted when constraints placed on net position are either externally imposed by creditors (such as through debt covenants), grantors, contributors, or laws or regulations of other governments or imposed by law through constitutional provisions or enabling legislation. The net position restricted for other activities result from special revenue funds and the restrictions on their use.

**Fund Financial Statements** Fund financial statements report detailed information about the District. The focus of governmental fund financial statements is on major funds rather than reporting funds by type. Each major fund is presented in a separate column. Non-major governmental funds are aggregated and presented in a single column.

- **Governmental Funds** All governmental funds are accounted for using the flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting. With this measurement focus, only current assets and current liabilities generally are included on the balance sheet. The statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund balances reports on the sources (revenues and other financing sources) and uses (expenditures and other financing uses) of current financial resources. This approach differs from the manner in which the governmental activities of the government-wide financial statements are prepared. Governmental fund financial statements, therefore, include reconciliations with brief explanations to better identify the relationship between the government-wide financial statements, prepared using the economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting, and the governmental fund financial statements, prepared using the flow of current financial resources measurement focus and the modified accrual basis of accounting.

**Revenues – Exchange and Non-Exchange Transactions** Revenue resulting from exchange transactions, in which each party gives and receives essentially equal value, is recorded on the accrual basis when the exchange takes place. On a modified accrual basis, revenue is recorded in the fiscal year in which the resources are measurable and become available. Available means that the resources will be collected within the current fiscal year or are expected to be collected soon enough thereafter, to be used to pay liabilities of the current fiscal year. The District considers revenues to be available if they are collected within one year after year-end, except for property taxes, which are considered available if collected within 60 days. The following revenue sources are considered to be both measurable and available at fiscal year-end: State apportionments, interest, certain grants, and other local sources.

Non-exchange transactions, in which the District receives value without directly giving equal value in return, include property taxes, certain grants, entitlements, and donations. Revenue from property taxes is recognized in the fiscal year in which the taxes are received. Revenue from certain grants, entitlements, and donations is recognized in the fiscal year in which all eligibility requirements have been satisfied. Eligibility requirements include time and purpose restrictions. On a modified accrual basis, revenue from non-exchange transactions must also be available before it can be recognized.

**Unearned Revenue** Unearned revenues arise when resources are received by the District before it has a legal claim to them, such as when certain grants are received prior to the occurrence of qualifying expenditures. In the subsequent periods, when the District has a legal claim to the resources, the liability for unearned revenue is removed from the balance sheet and the revenue is recognized.

**Expenses/Expenditures** On the accrual basis of accounting, expenses are recognized at the time they are incurred. The measurement focus of governmental fund accounting is on decreases in net financial resources (expenditures) rather than expenses. Expenditures are generally recognized in the accounting period in which the related fund liability is incurred. Principal and interest on long-term liabilities, which has not matured, are recognized when paid in the governmental funds as expenditures. Allocations of costs, such as depreciation and amortization, are not recognized in the governmental funds but are recognized in the entity-wide statements.

### **Investments**

Investments with original maturities greater than one year are stated at fair value. Fair value is estimated based on quoted market prices at year-end. All investments not required to be reported at fair value are stated at cost or amortized cost. Fair values of investments in county and State investment pools are determined by the program sponsor.

### **Prepaid Expenditures (Expenses)**

Certain payments to vendors reflect costs applicable to future accounting periods and are recorded as prepaid items in both the government-wide and fund financial statements. The cost of prepaid items is recorded as expenditures/expenses when consumed rather than when purchased.

### **Capital Assets and Depreciation**

The accounting and reporting treatment applied to the capital assets associated with a fund are determined by its measurement focus. Capital assets are long-lived assets of the District. The District maintains a capitalization threshold of \$5,000. The District does not possess any infrastructure. Improvements are capitalized; the costs of normal maintenance and repairs that do not add to the value of the asset or materially extend an asset's life are not capitalized, but are expensed as incurred.

When purchased, such assets are recorded as expenditures in the governmental funds and capitalized in the government-wide statement of net position. The valuation basis for capital assets is historical cost, or where historical cost is not available, estimated historical cost based on replacement cost. Donated capital assets are capitalized at acquisition value on the date donated.

Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method. Estimated useful lives of the various classes of depreciable capital assets are as follows: buildings, 20 to 50 years; improvements/infrastructure, 5 to 50 years; equipment, 2 to 15 years.

The District records impairments of capital assets when it becomes probable that the carrying value of the assets will not be fully recovered over their estimated useful life. Impairments are recorded to reduce the carrying value of the assets to their net realizable value based on facts and circumstances in existence at the time of the determination. No impairments were recorded during the year ended June 30, 2022.

The District records the value of intangible right-to-use assets based on the underlying leased asset in accordance with GASB Statement No. 87, *Leases*. The right-to-use intangible asset is amortized each year for the term of the contract.

### **Interfund Balances**

On fund financial statements, receivables and payables resulting from short-term interfund loans are classified as "interfund receivables/payables". These amounts are eliminated in the governmental activities column of the statement of net position.

### **Compensated Absences**

Compensated absences are accrued as a liability as the benefits are earned. The entire compensated absence liability is reported on the government-wide statement of net position.

Sick leave is accumulated without limit for each employee at the rate of one day for each month worked. Leave with pay is provided when employees are absent for health reasons; however, the employees do not gain a vested right to accumulated sick leave. Employees are never paid for any sick leave balance at termination of employment or any other time. Therefore, the value of accumulated sick leave is not recognized as a liability in the District's financial statements. However, credit for unused sick leave is applicable to all classified school members who retire after January 1, 1999. At retirement, each member will receive .004 year of service credit for each day of unused sick leave. Credit for unused sick leave is applicable to all certificated employees and is determined by dividing the number of unused sick days by the number of base service days required to complete the last school year, if employed full-time.

### **Accrued Liabilities and Long-Term Liabilities**

All payables, accrued liabilities, and long-term liabilities are reported in the government-wide financial statements. In general, governmental fund payables and accrued liabilities that, once incurred, are paid in a timely manner and in full, from current financial resources are reported as liabilities of the governmental funds.

However, compensated absences, special termination benefits, and contractually required pension contributions that will be paid from governmental funds are reported as a liability in the governmental fund financial statements only to the extent that they are due for payment during the current year. Bonds, leases, and other long-term liabilities are recognized as liabilities in the governmental fund financial statements when due.

### **Deferred Outflows of Resources and Deferred Inflows of Resources**

In addition to assets, the Statement of Net Position also reports deferred outflows of resources. This separate financial statement element represents a consumption of net position that applies to a future period and so will not be recognized as an expense or expenditure until then. The District reports deferred outflows of resources for deferred charges on refunding of debt, and for pension related items.

In addition to liabilities, the Statement of Net Position reports a separate section for deferred inflows of resources. This separate financial statement element represents an acquisition of net position that applies to a future period and so will not be recognized as revenue until then. The District reports deferred inflows of resources related to leases and for pension related items.

### **Pensions**

For purposes of measuring the net pension liability and deferred outflows/inflows of resources related to pensions, and pension expense, information about the fiduciary net position of the California State Teachers Retirement System (CalSTRS) and the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS) plan for schools (Plans) and additions to/deductions from the Plans' fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by CalSTRS and CalPERS. For this purpose, benefit payments (including refunds of

employee contributions) are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Member contributions are recognized in the period in which they are earned. Investments are reported at fair value. The net pension liability attributable to the governmental activities will be paid by the fund in which the employee worked.

### **Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions (OPEB)**

For purposes of measuring the net OPEB liability, the CalSTRS Medicare Premium Payment (MPP) Program and additions to/deductions from the MPP's fiduciary net position have been determined on the same basis as they are reported by the MPP. For this purpose, the MPP recognizes benefit payments when due and payable in accordance with the benefit terms. Investments are reported at fair value, except for money market investments and participating interest-earning investment contracts that have a maturity at the time of purchase of one year or less, which are reported at cost. The total OPEB liability attributable to the governmental activities will be paid primarily by the General Fund.

### **Leases**

The District recognizes a lease liability and an intangible right-to-use lease asset (lease asset) in the government-wide financial statements. The District measures the lease liability at the present value of payments expected to be made during the lease term. Subsequently, the lease liability is reduced by the principal portion of lease payments made. The lease asset is initially measured as the initial amount of the lease liability, plus certain initial direct costs. Subsequently, the lease asset is amortized on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

The District recognizes a lease receivable and a deferred inflow of resources in the government-wide and governmental fund financial statements. At the commencement of a lease, the District initially measures the lease receivable at the present value of payments expected to be received during the lease term. Subsequently, the lease receivable is reduced by the principal portion of lease payments received. The deferred inflow of resources is initially measured as the initial amount of the lease receivable, adjusted for lease payments received at or before the lease commencement date. Subsequently, the deferred inflow of resources is recognized as revenue over the life of the lease term.

### **Fund Balances - Governmental Funds**

As of June 30, 2022, fund balances of the governmental funds are classified as follows:

**Nonspendable** - amounts that cannot be spent either because they are in nonspendable form or because they are legally or contractually required to be maintained intact.

**Restricted** - amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

**Committed** - amounts that can be used only for specific purposes determined by a formal action of the governing board. The governing board is the highest level of decision-making authority for the District. Commitments may be established, modified, or rescinded only through resolutions or other action as approved by the governing board.

**Assigned** - amounts that do not meet the criteria to be classified as restricted or committed but that are intended to be used for specific purposes. Under the District's adopted policy, only the Superintendent or designee may assign amounts for specific purposes.

**Unassigned** - all other spendable amounts.

### **Spending Order Policy**

When an expenditure is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted fund balance is available, the District considers restricted funds to have been spent first. When an expenditure is incurred for which committed, assigned, or unassigned fund balances are available, the District considers amounts to have been spent first out of committed funds, then assigned funds, and finally unassigned funds, as needed, unless the governing board has provided otherwise in its commitment or assignment actions.

### **Minimum Fund Balance Policy**

While GASB Code Section 1300 and 1800 do not require districts to establish a minimum fund balance policy or a stabilization agreement, GASB Code Section 1300 and 1800 do require the disclosure of a minimum fund balance policy and stabilization arrangements if they have been adopted by the Board of Trustees. At June 30, 2022, the District has not established a minimum fund balance policy nor has it established a stabilization arrangement.

### **Net Position**

Net position represents the difference between assets and deferred outflows of resources, and liabilities and deferred inflows of resources. Net position net of investment in capital assets consists of capital assets, net of accumulated depreciation, reduced by the outstanding balances of any borrowings used for the acquisition, construction, or improvement of those assets. Net position is reported as restricted when there are limitations imposed on their use either through the enabling legislation adopted by the District or through external restrictions imposed by creditors, grantors, or laws or regulations of other governments. The District first applies restricted resources when an expense is incurred for purposes for which both restricted and unrestricted net position is available. The government-wide financial statements report \$4,749,367 of restricted net position.

### **Interfund Activity**

Transfers between governmental activities in the government-wide financial statements are reported in the same manner as general revenues.

Exchange transactions between funds are reported as revenues in the seller funds and as expenditures in the purchaser funds. Flows of cash or goods from one fund to another without a requirement for repayment are reported as interfund transfers. Interfund transfers are reported as other financing sources/uses in governmental funds. Repayments from funds responsible for particular expenditures to the funds that initially paid for them are not presented in the financial statements. Interfund transfers are eliminated in the governmental activities column of the Statement of Activities.



### Estimates

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results may differ from those estimates.

### Property Tax

Secured property taxes attach as an enforceable lien on property as of January 1. Taxes are payable in two installments on November 1 and February 1 and become delinquent on December 10 and April 10, respectively. Unsecured property taxes are payable in one installment on or before August 31. The County of Stanislaus bills and collects the taxes on behalf of the District. Local property tax revenues are recorded when received.

### Change in Accounting Principles

#### Implementation of GASB Statement No. 87

As of July 1, 2021, the District adopted GASB Statement No. 87, *Leases*. The implementation of this standard establishes a single model for lease accounting based on the foundational principle that leases are financings of the right-to-use an underlying asset. The standard requires recognition of certain lease assets and liabilities for leases that previously were classified as operating leases and recognized as inflows of resources or outflows of resources based on the payment provisions of the contract. The effect of the implementation of this standard on beginning net position is disclosed in Note 16 and the additional disclosures required by this standard is included in Notes 4, 5 and 9.

#### Implementation of GASB Statement No. 92

In January 2020, the GASB issued Statement No. 92, *Omnibus 2020*. The objectives of this statement are to enhance comparability in accounting and financial reporting and to improve the consistency of authoritative literature by addressing practice issues that have been identified during implementation and application of certain GASB Statements. This Statement addresses a variety of topics and includes specific provisions about the following:

- The effective date of Statement No. 87, *Leases*, and Implementation Guide No. 2019-3, *Leases*, for interim financial reporting
- Reporting of intra-entity transfers of assets between a primary government employer and a component unit defined benefit pension plan or defined benefit other postemployment benefit (OPEB) plan
- The applicability of Statement No. 73, *Accounting and Financial Reporting for Pensions and Related Assets That Are Not within the Scope of GASB Statement No. 68, and Amendments to Certain Provisions of GASB Statements 67 and 68*, as amended, and No. 74, *Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans*, as amended, to reporting assets accumulated for postemployment benefits
- The applicability of certain requirements of Statement No. 84, *Fiduciary Activities*, to postemployment benefit arrangements
- Measurement of liabilities (and assets, if any) related to asset retirement obligations (AROs) in a government acquisition

- Reporting by public entity risk pools for amounts that are recoverable from reinsurers or excess insurers
- Reference to nonrecurring fair value measurements of assets or liabilities in authoritative literature
- Terminology used to refer to derivative instruments

The requirements of this Statement are effective as follows:

- The requirements related to the effective date of Statement 87 and Implementation Guide 2019-3, reinsurance recoveries, and terminology used to refer to derivative instruments are effective upon issuance.
- The requirements related to intra-entity transfers of assets and those related to the applicability of Statements 73 and 74 are effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2021.
- The requirements related to application of Statement 84 to postemployment benefit arrangements and those related to nonrecurring fair value measurements of assets or liabilities are effective for reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2021.
- The requirements related to the measurement of liabilities (and assets, if any) associated with AROs in a government acquisition are effective for government acquisitions occurring in reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2021.

The provisions of this Statement have been implemented as of June 30, 2022.

### **Implementation of GASB Statement No. 93**

In March 2020, the GASB issued Statement No. 93, *Replacement of Interbank Offered Rates*. The objective of this Statement is to address those and other accounting and financial reporting implications that result from the replacement of an IBOR (Interbank Offered Rate). This Statement achieves that objective by:

- Providing exceptions for certain hedging derivative instruments to the hedge accounting termination provisions when an IBOR is replaced as the reference rate of the hedging derivative instrument's variable payment
- Clarifying the hedge accounting termination provisions when a hedged item is amended to replace the reference rate
- Clarifying that the uncertainty related to the continued availability of IBORs does not, by itself, affect the assessment of whether the occurrence of a hedged expected transaction is probable
- Removing LIBOR as an appropriate benchmark interest rate for the qualitative evaluation of the effectiveness of an interest rate swap
- Identifying a Secured Overnight Financing Rate and the Effective Federal Funds Rate as appropriate benchmark interest rates for the qualitative evaluation of the effectiveness of an interest rate swap
- Clarifying the definition of reference rate, as it is used in Statement 53, as amended
- Providing an exception to the lease modifications guidance in Statement 87, as amended, for certain lease contracts that are amended solely to replace an IBOR as the rate upon which variable payments depend.

The provisions of this Statement have been implemented as of June 30, 2022.

**Note 2 - Deposits and Investments**

**Summary of Deposits and Investments**

Deposits and investments as of June 30, 2022, are classified in the accompanying financial statements as follows:

Governmental funds	<u>\$ 22,559,104</u>
--------------------	----------------------

Deposits and investments as of June 30, 2022, consist of the following:

Cash on hand and in banks	\$ 110,118
Cash in revolving	10,000
Investments	<u>22,438,986</u>
Total deposits and investments	<u>\$ 22,559,104</u>

**Policies and Practices**

The District is authorized under California *Government Code* to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State; U.S. Treasury instruments; registered State warrants or treasury notes; securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security; and collateralized mortgage obligations.

**Investment in County Treasury** - The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (*Education Code* Section 41001). The fair value of the District’s investment in the pool is reported in the accounting financial statements at amounts based upon the District’s pro-rata share of the fair value provided by the County Treasurer for the entire portfolio (in relation to the amortized cost of that portfolio). The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

### General Authorizations

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

Authorized Investment Type	Maximum Remaining Maturity	Maximum Percentage of Portfolio	Maximum Investment in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

### Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The District has a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by investing in the County Pool which purchases a combination of shorter term and longer-term investments and times cash flows from maturities so that a portion of the portfolio is maturing or coming close to maturity evenly over time as necessary to provide the cash flow and liquidity needed for operations. The District maintains an investment of \$22,083,277 in the Stanislaus County Treasury investment Pool that has an average weighted maturity of 784 days.

### Credit Rate Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligations to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The District's investment with the Stanislaus County Investment Pool is currently not rated, nor is it required to be rated.

**Segmented Time Distribution**

Information about the sensitivity of the fair values of the District’s investments to market interest rate fluctuations is provided by the following schedule that shows the distribution of the District’s investments by maturity:

<u>Investment Type</u>	<u>Reported Amount</u>	<u>12 Months or Less</u>	<u>13 - 24 Months</u>	<u>25 - 60 Months</u>	<u>More Than 60 Months</u>
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 355,709	\$ 355,709	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
County Pool	<u>22,083,277</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>22,083,277</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>
Total	<u>\$ 22,438,986</u>	<u>\$ 355,709</u>	<u>\$ 22,083,277</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>

**Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits**

This is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the District’s deposits may not be returned to it. The District does not have a policy for custodial credit risk for deposits. However, the California Government Code requires that a financial institution secure deposits made by State or local governmental units by pledging securities in an undivided collateral pool held by a depository regulated under state law (unless so waived by the governmental unit). The market value of the pledged securities in the collateral pool must equal at least 110% of the total amount deposited by the public agency. California law also allows financial institutions to secure public deposits by pledging first trust deed mortgage notes having a value of 150% of the secured public deposits and letters of credit issued by the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco having a value of 105% of the secured deposits. As of June 30, 2022, none of the District’s bank balance was exposed to custodial credit risk because it was uninsured and collateralized with securities held by the pledging financial institution’s trust department or agent, but not in the name of the District.

**Custodial Credit Risk - Investments**

This is the risk that, in the event of the failure of the counterparty, the District will not be able to recover the value of its investments or collateral securities that are in possession of an outside party. Of the investment in U.S. Treasury Obligations of \$355,709, the District has no apparent custodial credit risk exposure because the related securities are backed by the full credit of the United States government. The District does not have a policy limiting the amount of securities that can be held by counterparties.

**Note 3 - Receivables**

Receivables at June 30, 2022, consisted of intergovernmental grants, entitlements, and other local sources. All receivables are considered collectible in full.

	General Fund	Building Fund	Non-Major Governmental Funds	Total
Federal Government				
Categorical aid	\$ 4,580,544	\$ -	\$ 316,296	\$ 4,896,840
State Government				
State grants and other entitlements	730,909	-	181,919	912,828
Local Sources	293,229	1	315,505	608,735
	<u>\$ 5,604,682</u>	<u>\$ 1</u>	<u>\$ 813,720</u>	<u>\$ 6,418,403</u>

**Note 4 - Capital Assets**

Capital asset activity for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, was as follows:

	Balance July 1, 2021 as Restated	Additions	Deductions	Balance June 30, 2022
<b>Governmental Activities</b>				
Capital assets not being depreciated				
Land	\$ 7,578,569	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 7,578,569
Construction in progress	61,713	3,667,093	-	3,728,806
<b>Total capital assets not being depreciated</b>	<b>7,640,282</b>	<b>3,667,093</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>11,307,375</b>
Capital assets being depreciated				
Land improvements	259,296	-	-	259,296
Buildings and improvements	64,660,221	80,398	(508,800)	64,231,819
Furniture and equipment	3,508,144	135,867	(585,995)	3,058,016
<b>Total capital assets being depreciated</b>	<b>68,427,661</b>	<b>216,265</b>	<b>(1,094,795)</b>	<b>67,549,131</b>
<b>Total capital assets</b>	<b>76,067,943</b>	<b>3,883,358</b>	<b>(1,094,795)</b>	<b>78,856,506</b>
Accumulated depreciation				
Land improvements	(176,286)	(12,452)	-	(188,738)
Buildings and improvements	(45,086,830)	(1,920,208)	502,656	(46,504,382)
Furniture and equipment	(2,797,524)	(148,353)	564,628	(2,381,249)
<b>Total accumulated depreciation</b>	<b>(48,060,640)</b>	<b>(2,081,013)</b>	<b>1,067,284</b>	<b>(49,074,369)</b>
<b>Net depreciable capital assets</b>	<b>20,367,021</b>	<b>(1,864,748)</b>	<b>(27,511)</b>	<b>18,474,762</b>
Right-to-use leased assets being amortized				
Furniture and equipment	619,598	-	-	619,598
Accumulated amortization				
Furniture and equipment	(206,533)	(206,533)	-	(413,066)
<b>Net right-to-use leased assets</b>	<b>413,065</b>	<b>(206,533)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>206,532</b>
<b>Governmental activities capital assets and right- to-use leased assets, net</b>	<b>\$ 28,420,368</b>	<b>\$ 1,595,812</b>	<b>\$ (27,511)</b>	<b>\$ 29,988,669</b>

Depreciation and amortization expenses were charged as a direct expense to governmental functions as follows:

Governmental Activities	
Instruction	\$ 1,496,761
School site administration	145,671
Home-to-school transportation	124,861
Food services	62,430
Community services	166,481
All other administration	104,051
Plant services	<u>187,291</u>
Total depreciation and amortization expenses - governmental activities	<u><u>\$ 2,287,546</u></u>

**Note 5 - Lease Receivables**

The District has entered into lease agreements with two lessees. The lease receivables are summarized below:

Lease Receivable	Outstanding July 1, 2021	Deletion	Outstanding June 30, 2022
Genske, Mulder and Co., LLC	\$ 784,354	\$ (175,764)	\$ 608,590
UC Construction	<u>84,147</u>	<u>(77,539)</u>	<u>6,608</u>
Total	<u><u>\$ 868,501</u></u>	<u><u>\$ (253,303)</u></u>	<u><u>\$ 615,198</u></u>

**Genske, Mulder and Co., LLC (GMC)**

The District is leasing 11,116 square feet of office space, in their District office building, to Genske, Mulder and Co., LLC (GMC). The agreement includes 11 covered parking spaces, however; GMC is responsible for the utilities. The current lease is in place until the year 2025. The agreement indicates there will be a 3% increase applied to the monthly rent July 1st each year. Using an annual interest rate of 4.0%, during the year the District recognized \$175,764 in lease revenue and \$28,175 in interest revenue related to this agreement. At June 30, 2022, the District recorded \$608,590 in lease receivables and deferred inflows of resources for these arrangements.

**UC Construction (UC)**

The District is leasing 4,764 square feet of office space, in their District office building, to UC Construction. The agreement includes 6 covered parking spaces, however; UC is responsible for the utilities. The current lease is in place until July 2022. The agreement indicates the current rental amount of \$6,630 will be in effect until the end of the agreement. Using an annual interest rate of 4.0%, during the year the District recognized \$77,539 in lease revenue and \$1,956 in interest revenue related to this agreement. At June 30, 2022, the District recorded \$6,608 in lease receivables and deferred inflows of resources for these arrangements.



**Note 6 - Interfund Transactions**

**Interfund Receivables/Payables (Due To/Due From)**

Interfund receivable and payable balances arise from interfund transactions and are recorded by all funds affected in the period in which transactions are executed. Interfund receivable and payable balances at June 30, 2022, between major and non-major governmental funds are as follows:

Funds	Due from Other Funds	Due to Other Funds
Major Governmental Funds		
General	\$ 166,241	\$ 140,419
Building	57,487	430
Non-Major Governmental Funds		
Charter School	38,338	65,744
Child Development	912	21,299
Cafeteria	1,169	79,198
Special Reserve Fund for Capital Outlay Projects	100,430	57,487
Total	\$ 364,577	\$ 364,577

Salida Union School District

Notes to Financial Statements

June 30, 2022

---

The General Fund owes the Child Development Non-Major Governmental Fund to cover a portion of the negative Fair Market Value Adjustment.	\$ 682
The General Fund owes the Charter School Non-Major Governmental Fund to cover negative unrestricted Ending Fund Balance.	18,000
The General Fund owes the Child Development Non-Major Governmental Fund for the revised indirect cost allocation.	230
The General Fund owes the Cafeteria Non-Major Governmental Fund for the revised indirect cost allocation.	1,169
The General Fund owes the Special Reserve Fund for Capital Outlay Projects Non-Major Governmental for future project costs.	100,000
The General Fund owes the Charter School Non-Major Governmental Fund for Title I site allocations.	6,132
The General Fund owes the Charter School Non-Major Governmental Fund for ESSER allocations.	14,206
The Charter School Non-Major Governmental Fund owes the General Fund for Master Agreement costs.	54,310
The Charter School Non-Major Governmental Fund owes the General Fund for charter expenses paid out of the General Fund.	9,724
The Charter School Non-Major Governmental Fund owes the General Fund for indirect costs.	1,710
The Child Development Non-Major Governmental Fund owes the General Fund for indirect costs.	21,299
The Cafeteria Non-Major Governmental Fund owes the General Fund for indirect costs.	79,198
The Building Fund owes the Special Reserve Fund for Capital Outlay Projects for project costs initially paid out of the fund.	430
The Special Reserve Fund for Capital Outlay Projects Non-Major Governmental owes the Building Fund for project costs.	<u>57,487</u>
Total	<u><u>\$ 364,577</u></u>

**Operating Transfers**

Interfund transfers are used to (1) move revenues from the fund that statute or budget requires to collect them to the fund that statute or budget requires to expend them, (2) move receipts restricted to debt service from the funds collecting the receipts to the debt service fund as debt service payments become due, and (3) use unrestricted revenues collected in the General Fund to finance various programs accounted for in other funds in accordance with budgetary authorizations. Interfund transfers for the year ended June 30, 2022, consisted of the following:

The General Fund transferred to the Charter School Non-Major Governmental Fund to cover negative unrestricted ending fund balance.	\$ 18,000
The General Fund transferred to the Charter School Non-Major Governmental Fund its Title I allocation.	6,132
The General Fund transferred to the Cafeteria Non-Major Governmental Fund for audit finding corrective action.	196,829
The General Fund transferred to the Charter School Non-Major Governmental Fund for ESSER allocations.	14,206
The General Fund transferred to the Child Development Non-Major Governmental Fund to cover a portion of the negative Fair Market Value Adjustment.	<u>682</u>
Total	<u><u>\$ 235,849</u></u>

**Note 7 - Prepaid Expenditures (Expenses)**

Prepaid expenditures (expenses) at June 30, 2022, consist of the following:

	General Fund
K-2 Benchmark phonics student classroom packages	\$ 42,140

**Note 8 - Accounts Payable**

Accounts payable at June 30, 2022, consisted of the following:

	General Fund	Building Fund	Non-Major Governmental Funds	Total
Vendor payables	\$ 370,943	\$ 1,413,857	\$ 191,755	\$ 1,976,555
LCFF apportionment	2,655,817	-	72,957	2,728,774
Salaries and benefits	1,092,304	-	138,974	1,231,278
Total	<u>\$ 4,119,064</u>	<u>\$ 1,413,857</u>	<u>\$ 403,686</u>	<u>\$ 5,936,607</u>

**Note 9 - Unearned Revenue**

Unearned revenue at June 30, 2022, consisted of the following:

	General Fund	Non-Major Governmental Funds	Total
Federal financial assistance	\$ 4,468,347	\$ -	\$ 4,468,347
State categorical aid	144,124	25,062	169,186
Total	<u>\$ 4,612,471</u>	<u>\$ 25,062</u>	<u>\$ 4,637,533</u>

**Note 10 - Long-Term Liabilities Other than OPEB and Pensions**

**Summary**

The changes in the District's long-term liabilities other than OPEB and pensions during the year consisted of the following:

	Balance July 1, 2021 as Restated	Deductions	Balance June 30, 2022	Due in One Year
Long-Term Liabilities				
General obligation bonds	\$ 9,165,000	\$ -	\$ 9,165,000	\$ 535,000
Qualified zone academy bonds	3,385,000	(165,000)	3,220,000	170,000
Certificates of participation	3,715,000	(145,000)	3,570,000	150,000
Unamortized debt premiums	1,014,893	(24,479)	990,414	-
Early retirement liabilities	168,000	(42,000)	126,000	42,000
Compensated absences	47,566	(4,615)	42,951	-
Energy loan	1,643,576	(102,723)	1,540,853	102,724
Lease	404,914	(198,487)	206,427	206,427
Total	<u>\$ 19,543,949</u>	<u>\$ (682,304)</u>	<u>\$ 18,861,645</u>	<u>\$ 1,206,151</u>

Payments on the general obligation bonds are made by the Bond Interest and Redemption Fund with local revenues. The Special Reserve Fund for Capital Outlay Projects makes payments for the QZAB, certificates of participation, and energy loan. The premiums will be amortized over the life of the related debt. The lease and early retirement liabilities will be paid by the General Fund. The compensated absences will be paid by the fund for which the employee worked.

**General Obligation Bond**

The outstanding general obligation bonded debt is as follows:

Issuance Date	Final Maturity Date	Interest Rate	Original Issue	Bonds Outstanding July 1, 2021	Issued	Redeemed	Bonds Outstanding June 30, 2022
2019	2046	3.0%-8.0%	\$ 2,500,000	\$ 2,500,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,500,000
2021	2041	2.0%-6.0%	6,665,000	6,665,000	-	-	6,665,000
Total				<u>\$ 9,165,000</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 9,165,000</u>

**Debt Service Requirements to Maturity**

The current interest bonds mature as follows:

Fiscal Year	Principal	Interest to Maturity	Total
2023	\$ 535,000	\$ 332,738	\$ 867,738
2024	460,000	302,887	762,887
2025	535,000	272,888	807,888
2026	275,000	248,237	523,237
2027	225,000	232,787	457,787
2028-2032	1,015,000	980,112	1,995,112
2033-2037	2,260,000	717,039	2,977,039
2038-2042	2,890,000	326,184	3,216,184
2043-2046	970,000	66,495	1,036,495
Total	<u>\$ 9,165,000</u>	<u>\$ 3,479,367</u>	<u>\$ 12,644,367</u>

**Qualified Zone Academy Bonds**

2012 Qualified Zone Academy Bonds

On December 1, 2012, the District issued \$4,545,000 in Qualified Zone Academy Bond. The Bond was issued to finance the acquisition, constructions, installation, modernization and equipping of energy conservation projects through the geographic boundaries of the District. The 2012 Qualified Zone Academy Bond has interest rates ranging from 3.25 to 6.25%. Interest is payable on the Certifications each August 1, commencing August 1, 2013. Principal amounts on the Qualified Zone Academy Bond will be due on August 1, commencing August 1, 2013.

The 2012 Qualified Zone Academy Bond matures through 2036 as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2023	\$ 170,000	\$ 169,525	\$ 339,525
2024	175,000	161,875	336,875
2025	180,000	154,000	334,000
2026	190,000	144,100	334,100
2027	200,000	133,650	333,650
2028-2032	1,130,000	493,900	1,623,900
2033-2036	1,175,000	154,000	1,329,000
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,220,000</b>	<b>\$ 1,411,050</b>	<b>\$ 4,631,050</b>

### Certificates of Participation

#### 2020 Refunding Certificates of Participation Issuance

In February 2020, the District issued certificates of participation in the amount of \$3,995,000 with interest rates ranging from 2.25 to 4.00% to refund the District's 2010 Refunding Certificates of Participation. As of June 30, 2022, the principal balance outstanding was \$3,570,000.

The Certificates of Participation have payment requirements as follows

Year Ending June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2023	\$ 150,000	\$ 109,412	\$ 259,412
2024	155,000	104,913	259,913
2025	155,000	100,262	255,262
2026	165,000	95,613	260,613
2027	170,000	90,662	260,662
2028-2032	925,000	368,664	1,293,664
2033-2037	1,110,000	185,162	1,295,162
2038-2040	740,000	37,375	777,375
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,570,000</b>	<b>\$ 1,092,063</b>	<b>\$ 4,662,063</b>

### Early Retirement Incentive

The District entered into internal early retirement incentive agreements with eligible employees during previous fiscal years totaling \$132,000 to be paid out over five years from the year of retirement. The remaining balance of this obligation at June 30, 2022 was \$126,000.

### Compensated Absences

Compensated absences (unpaid employee vacation) for the District at June 30, 2022, amounted to \$42,951.

### California Energy Commission Loan

In June of 2015, the District entered into an agreement with the California Energy Commission (CEC) to obtain financing on energy conservation projects. The total approved funding amount and projection of total project costs is \$2,054,469 to be paid to the District as project costs are submitted to the CEC for reimbursement. The loan is interest free and is to be paid back semiannually over a 20-year term commencing December 22, 2017. The payment schedule is reflected based on a 20-year payment period for the amount received by the District.

The remaining payments are as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	Lease Payment
2023	\$ 102,724
2024	102,723
2025	102,724
2026	102,723
2027	102,724
2028-2032	513,617
2033-2037	513,618
Total	\$ 1,540,853

### Leases

#### Insight Investments

The District entered into an agreement to lease chromebooks for three years, beginning January 1, 2020. Under the terms of the lease, the District will pay \$214,684 annually. The annual interest rate charged on the lease is 4%. At June 30, 2022, the District has recognized a right-to-use asset of \$619,598 and a lease liability of \$206,427. During the fiscal year, the District recorded \$206,533 in amortization expense and \$198,487 and \$16,167 in principal and interest, respectively, for the right-to-use of the chromebooks. The District's liability on the lease agreement is summarized below:

Lease	Outstanding July 1, 2021 as Restated	Payments	Leases Outstanding June 30, 2022
Insight Investments Chromebooks	\$ 404,914	\$ (198,487)	\$ 206,427

The remaining principal and interest payment requirements for the lease obligation debt as of June 30, 2022, is as follows:

Year Ending June 30,	Principal	Interest	Total
2023	\$ 206,427	\$ 8,257	\$ 214,684

**Note 11 - Other Postemployment Benefit (OPEB) Liability**

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the District reported net OPEB liability and OPEB expense for the following plan:

OPEB Plan	Net OPEB Liability	OPEB Expense
Medicare Premium Payment (MPP) Program	\$ 143,262	\$ (32,932)

**Medicare Premium Payment (MPP) Program**

**Plan Description**

The Medicare Premium Payment (MPP) Program is administered by the California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS). The MPP Program is a cost-sharing multiple-employer other postemployment benefit plan (OPEB) established pursuant to Chapter 1032, Statutes 2000 (SB 1435). CalSTRS administers the MPP Program through the Teachers' Health Benefits Fund (THBF).

A full description of the MPP Program regarding benefit provisions, assumptions (for funding, but not accounting purposes), and membership information is listed in the June 30, 2020, annual actuarial valuation report, Medicare Premium Payment Program Actuarial Valuation. This report and CalSTRS audited financial information are publicly available reports that can be found on the CalSTRS website under Publications at: <http://www.calstrs.com/member-publications>.

**Benefits Provided**

The MPP Program pays Medicare Part A premiums and Medicare Parts A and B late enrollment surcharges for eligible members of the State Teachers Retirement Plan (STRP) Defined Benefit (DB) Program who were retired or began receiving a disability allowance prior to July 1, 2012, and were not eligible for premium free Medicare Part A. The payments are made directly to the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) on a monthly basis.

The MPP Program is closed to new entrants as members who retire after July 1, 2012, are not eligible for coverage under the MPP Program.



The MPP Program is funded on a pay-as-you go basis from a portion of monthly District benefit payments. In accordance with California *Education Code* Section 25930, contributions that would otherwise be credited to the DB Program each month are instead credited to the MPP Program to fund monthly program and administrative costs. Total redirections to the MPP Program are monitored to ensure that total incurred costs do not exceed the amount initially identified as the cost of the program.

### Net OPEB Liability and OPEB Expense

At June 30, 2022, the District reported a liability of \$143,262 for its proportionate share of the net OPEB liability for the MPP Program. The net OPEB liability was measured as of June 30, 2021, and the total OPEB liability used to calculate the net OPEB liability was determined by an actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2020. The District's proportion of the net OPEB liability was based on a projection of the District's long-term share of contributions to the OPEB Plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating school districts, actuarially determined. The District's proportionate share for the measurement period June 30, 2021 and June 30, 2020, respectively, was 0.0359% and 0.0416%, resulting in a net decrease in the proportionate share of 0.0057%.

For the year ended June 30, 2022, the District recognized OPEB expense of \$(32,932).

### Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

The June 30, 2021 total OPEB liability was determined by applying update procedures to the financial reporting actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2020, and rolling forward the total OPEB liability to June 30, 2021, using the assumptions listed in the following table:

Measurement Date	June 30, 2021	June 30, 2020
Valuation Date	June 30, 2020	June 30, 2019
Experience Study	June 30, 2015 through June 30, 2018	June 30, 2014 through June 30, 2018
Actuarial Cost Method	Entry age normal	Entry age normal
Investment Rate of Return	2.16%	2.21%
Medicare Part A Premium Cost Trend Rate	4.50%	4.50%
Medicare Part B Premium Cost Trend Rate	5.40%	5.40%

For the valuation as of June 30, 2020, CalSTRS uses a generational mortality assumption, which involves the use of a base mortality table and projection scales to reflect expected annual reductions in mortality rates at each age, resulting in increases in life expectancies each year into the future. The base mortality tables are CalSTRS custom tables derived to best fit the patterns of mortality among our members. The projection scale was set equal to 110% of the ultimate improvement factor from the Mortality Improvement Scale (MP-2019) table, issued by the Society of Actuaries.

Assumptions were made about future participation (enrollment) into the MPP Program because CalSTRS is unable to determine which members not currently participating meet all eligibility criteria for enrollment in the future. Assumed enrollment rates were derived based on past experience and are stratified by age with the probability of enrollment diminishing as the members' age increases. This estimated enrollment rate was then applied to the population of members who may meet criteria necessary for eligibility and are not currently enrolled in the MPP Program. Based on this, the estimated number of future enrollments used in the financial reporting valuation was 245 or an average of 0.16% of the potentially eligible population (152,062).

The MPP Program is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis with contributions generally being made at the same time and in the same amount as benefit payments and expenses coming due. Any funds within the MPP Program as of June 30, 2021, were to manage differences between estimated and actual amounts to be paid and were invested in the Surplus Money Investment Fund, which is a pooled investment program administered by the State Treasurer.

**Discount Rate**

The discount rate used to measure the total OPEB liability as of June 30, 2021, is 2.16%. As the MPP Program is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis as previously noted, the OPEB Plan’s fiduciary net position was not projected to be sufficient to make projected future benefit payments. Therefore, a discount rate of 2.16%, which is the Bond Buyer 20-Bond GO Index from Bondbuyer.com as of June 30, 2021, was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to measure the total OPEB liability. The discount rate decreased 0.05% from 2.21% as of June 30, 2020.

**Sensitivity of the District’s Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Discount Rate**

The following presents the District’s proportionate share of the net OPEB liability calculated using the current discount rate, as well as what the net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percent lower or higher than the current rate:

Discount Rate	Net OPEB Liability
1% decrease (1.16%)	\$ 157,914
Current discount rate (2.16%)	143,262
1% increase (3.16%)	130,743

**Sensitivity of the District’s Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability to Changes in the Medicare Costs Trend Rates**

The following presents the District’s proportionate share of the net OPEB liability calculated using the current Medicare costs trend rates, as well as what the net OPEB liability would be if it were calculated using Medicare costs trend rates that are one percent lower or higher than the current rates:

Medicare Costs Trend Rates	Net OPEB Liability
1% decrease (3.50% Part A and 4.40% Part B)	\$ 130,280
Current Medicare costs trend rates (4.50% Part A and 5.40% Part B)	143,262
1% increase (5.50% Part A and 6.40% Part B)	158,145

**Note 12 - Fund Balances**

Fund balances are composed of the following elements:

	General Fund	Building Fund	Non-Major Governmental Funds	Total
<b>Nonspendable</b>				
Revolving cash	\$ 10,000	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 10,000
Stores inventories	-	-	21,444	21,444
Prepaid expenditures	42,140	-	-	42,140
Total nonspendable	<u>52,140</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>21,444</u>	<u>73,584</u>
<b>Restricted</b>				
Legally restricted programs	1,799,944	-	48,447	1,848,391
Student activities	-	-	110,118	110,118
Child development program	-	-	132,501	132,501
Child nutrition	-	-	1,246,382	1,246,382
Capital projects	-	2,621,355	667,300	3,288,655
Debt services	-	-	744,675	744,675
Total restricted	<u>1,799,944</u>	<u>2,621,355</u>	<u>2,949,423</u>	<u>7,370,722</u>
<b>Committed</b>				
Deferred maintenance program	-	-	365	365
<b>Assigned</b>				
Special projects	351,940	-	-	351,940
Curriculum contingency	750,000	-	-	750,000
Information technology contingency	750,000	-	-	750,000
Maintenance contingency	750,000	-	-	750,000
Parent fees	75,000	-	-	75,000
Capital projects	-	-	2,560,465	2,560,465
Other	-	-	129	129
Total assigned	<u>2,676,940</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2,560,594</u>	<u>5,237,534</u>
<b>Unassigned</b>				
Reserve for economic uncertainties	3,376,083	-	-	3,376,083
Remaining unassigned	2,408,663	-	-	2,408,663
Total unassigned	<u>5,784,746</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>5,784,746</u>
Total	<u>\$ 10,313,770</u>	<u>\$ 2,621,355</u>	<u>\$ 5,531,826</u>	<u>\$ 18,466,951</u>

## **Note 13 - Risk Management**

### **Property and Liability**

The District is exposed to various risks of loss related to torts; theft, damage, and destruction of assets; errors and omissions; injuries to employees and natural disasters. During fiscal year ending June 30, 2022, the District contracted with Central Region Schools' Insurance Group (CRSIG) for property and liability insurance coverage. Settled claims have not exceeded this commercial coverage in any of the past three years. There has not been a significant reduction in coverage from the prior year.

### **Workers' Compensation**

For fiscal year 2022, the District participated in the CRSIG, an insurance purchasing pool. The intent of CRSIG is to achieve the benefit of a reduced premium for the District by virtue of its grouping and representation with other participants. The workers' compensation experience of the participating districts is calculated as one experience and a common premium rate is applied to all districts in CRSIG. Each participant pays its workers' compensation premium based on its individual rate. Total savings are then calculated and each participant's individual performance is compared to the overall savings percentage. A participant will then either receive money from or be required to contribute to the "equity-pooling fund". This "equity pooling" arrangement insures that each participant shares equally in the overall performance of CRSIG. Participation in CRSIG is limited to districts that can meet CRSIG selection criteria.

### **Employee Medical Benefits**

The District has contracted with Self Insured Schools of California (SISC) to provide employee health benefits. SISC is a joint powers authority administered by Kern County Superintendent of Schools. SISC pools resources to provide schools with a more stable long-term insurance solution rather than purchasing from commercial carriers. SISC provides a very cost effective environment which reflects its commitment to preventing losses and controlling costs. SISC strives to provide the best coverage and service to their members while keeping costs affordable and stable.

## **Note 14 - Employee Retirement Systems**

Qualified employees are covered under multiple-employer defined benefit pension plans maintained by agencies of the State of California. Academic employees are members of the California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS) and classified employees are members of the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS).

For the fiscal year ended June 30, 2022, the District reported its proportionate share of net pension liabilities, deferred outflows of resources, deferred inflows of resources, and pension expense for each of the above plans as follows:

Pension Plan	Net Pension Liability	Deferred Outflows of Resources	Deferred Inflows of Resources	Pension Expense
CalSTRS	\$ 10,873,975	\$ 5,346,598	\$ 10,357,480	\$ 759,824
CalPERS	7,769,702	1,625,630	3,337,538	732,332
Total	<u>\$ 18,643,677</u>	<u>\$ 6,972,228</u>	<u>\$ 13,695,018</u>	<u>\$ 1,492,156</u>

The details of each plan are as follows:

### California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS)

#### Plan Description

The District contributes to the State Teachers Retirement Plan (STRP) administered by the California State Teachers' Retirement System (CalSTRS). STRP is a cost-sharing multiple-employer public employee retirement system defined benefit pension plan. Benefit provisions are established by State statutes, as legislatively amended, within the State Teachers' Retirement Law.

A full description of the pension plan regarding benefit provisions, assumptions (for funding, but not accounting purposes), and membership information is listed in the June 30, 2020, annual actuarial valuation report, Defined Benefit Program Actuarial Valuation. This report and CalSTRS audited financial information are publicly available reports that can be found on the CalSTRS website under Publications at: <http://www.calstrs.com/member-publications>.

#### Benefits Provided

The STRP provides retirement, disability and survivor benefits to beneficiaries. Benefits are based on members' final compensation, age, and years of service credit. Members hired on or before December 31, 2012, with five years of credited service are eligible for the normal retirement benefit at age 60. Members hired on or after January 1, 2013, with five years of credited service are eligible for the normal retirement benefit at age 62. The normal retirement benefit is equal to 2.0% of final compensation for each year of credited service.

The STRP is comprised of four programs: Defined Benefit Program, Defined Benefit Supplement Program, Cash Balance Benefit Program, and Replacement Benefits Program. The STRP holds assets for the exclusive purpose of providing benefits to members and beneficiaries of these programs. CalSTRS also uses plan assets to defray reasonable expenses of administering the STRP. Although CalSTRS is the administrator of the STRP, the state is the sponsor of the STRP and obligor of the trust. In addition, the state is both an employer and nonemployer contributing entity to the STRP.

The District contributes exclusively to the STRP Defined Benefit Program, thus disclosures are not included for the other plans.

The STRP provisions and benefits in effect at June 30, 2022, are summarized as follows:

	STRP Defined Benefit Program	
	On or before December 31, 2012	On or after January 1, 2013
Hire date	2% at 60	2% at 62
Benefit formula	5 years of service	5 years of service
Benefit vesting schedule	Monthly for life	Monthly for life
Benefit payments	60	62
Retirement age	2.0% - 2.4%	2.0% - 2.4%
Monthly benefits as a percentage of eligible compensation	10.25%	10.205%
Required employee contribution rate	16.92%	16.92%
Required employer contribution rate	10.828%	10.828%
Required state contribution rate		

### Contributions

Required member, District and State of California contributions rates are set by the California Legislature and Governor and detailed in Teachers' Retirement Law. The contributions rates are expressed as a level percentage of payroll using the entry age normal actuarial method. In accordance with AB 1469, employer contributions into the CalSTRS will be increasing to a total of 19.1% of applicable member earnings phased over a seven-year period. The contribution rates for each plan for the year ended June 30, 2022, are presented above and the District's total contributions were \$2,395,895.

### Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, Deferred Outflows of Resources, and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions

At June 30, 2022, the District reported a liability for its proportionate share of the net pension liability that reflected a reduction for State pension support provided to the District. The amount recognized by the District as its proportionate share of the net pension liability, the related state support and the total portion of the net pension liability that was associated with the District were as follows:

Total net pension liability, including State share	
Proportionate share of net pension liability	\$ 10,873,975
State's proportionate share of the net pension liability	5,471,362
Total	\$ 16,345,337

The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2021. The District's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the District's long-term share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating school districts and the State, actuarially determined. The District's proportionate share for the measurement period June 30, 2021 and June 30, 2020, respectively, was 0.0239% and 0.0239%, resulting in no change in the proportionate share.

For the year ended June 30, 2022, the District recognized pension expense of \$759,824. In addition, the District recognized pension expense and revenue of \$187,196 for support provided by the State. At June 30, 2022, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources	Deferred Inflows of Resources
Pension contributions subsequent to measurement date	\$ 2,395,895	\$ -
Change in proportion and differences between contributions made and District's proportionate share of contributions	1,382,736	598,670
Differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments	-	8,601,593
Differences between expected and actual experience in the measurement of the total pension liability	27,240	1,157,217
Changes of assumptions	1,540,727	-
Total	\$ 5,346,598	\$ 10,357,480

The deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from District contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the subsequent fiscal year.

The deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to the difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments will be amortized over a closed five-year period and will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year Ended June 30,	Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) of Resources
2023	\$ (2,184,288)
2024	(1,997,911)
2025	(2,047,493)
2026	(2,371,901)
Total	\$ (8,601,593)

The deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to the change in proportion and differences between contributions made and District's proportionate share of contributions, differences between expected and actual experience in the measurement of the total pension liability, and changes of assumptions will be amortized over the Expected Average Remaining Service Life (EARSL) of all members that are provided benefits (active, inactive, and retirees) as of the beginning of the measurement period. The EARSL for the measurement period is seven years and will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year Ended June 30,	Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) of Resources
2023	\$635,669
2024	680,446
2025	84,490
2026	(66,659)
2027	23,506
Thereafter	(162,636)
Total	<u>\$ 1,194,816</u>

#### Actuarial Methods and Assumptions

Total pension liability for STRP was determined by applying update procedures to the financial reporting actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2020, and rolling forward the total pension liability to June 30, 2021. The financial reporting actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2020, used the following methods and assumptions, applied to all prior periods included in the measurement:

Valuation date	June 30, 2020
Measurement date	June 30, 2021
Experience study	July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2018
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Discount rate	7.10%
Investment rate of return	7.10%
Consumer price inflation	2.75%
Wage growth	3.50%

CalSTRS uses a generational mortality assumption, which involves the use of a base mortality table and projection scales to reflect expected annual reductions in mortality rates at each age, resulting in increases in life expectancies each year into the future. The base mortality tables are CalSTRS custom tables derived to best fit the patterns of mortality among its members. The projection scale was set equal to 110% of the ultimate improvement factor from the Mortality Improvement Scale (MP-2019) table, issued by the Society of Actuaries.

The long-term expected rate of return on pension plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which best estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of pension plan investment expense and inflation) are developed for each major asset class. The best estimate ranges were developed using capital market assumptions from CalSTRS general investment consultant as an input to the process. The actuarial investment rate of return assumption was adopted by the board in January 2020 in conjunction with the most recent experience study. For each current and future valuation, CalSTRS' independent



consulting actuary (Milliman) reviews the return assumption for reasonableness based on the most current capital market assumptions. Best estimates of 20-year geometrically linked real rates of return and the assumed asset allocation for each major asset class for the year ended June 30, 2021, are summarized in the following table:

Asset Class	Assumed Asset Allocation	Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return
Public equity	42%	4.8%
Real estate	15%	3.6%
Private equity	13%	6.3%
Fixed income	12%	1.3%
Risk mitigating strategies	10%	1.8%
Inflation sensitive	6%	3.3%
Cash/liquidity	2%	(0.4%)

#### Discount Rate

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.10%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed the contributions from plan members and employers will be made at statutory contribution rates. Projected inflows from investment earnings were calculated using the long-term assumed investment rate of return of 7.10% and assume that contributions, benefit payments and administrative expense occurred midyear. Based on these assumptions, the STRP's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments to current plan members. Therefore, the long-term assumed investment rate of return was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine total pension liability.

The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the current discount rate as well as what the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percent lower or higher than the current rate:

Discount Rate	Net Pension Liability
1% decrease (6.10%)	\$ 22,135,521
Current discount rate (7.10%)	10,873,975
1% increase (8.10%)	1,527,107

#### California Public Employees Retirement System (CalPERS)

##### Plan Description

Qualified employees are eligible to participate in the School Employer Pool (SEP) under the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS), a cost-sharing multiple-employer public employee retirement system defined benefit pension plan administered by CalPERS. Benefit provisions are established by State statutes, as legislatively amended, within the Public Employees' Retirement Law.

A full description of the pension plan regarding benefit provisions, assumptions (for funding, but not accounting purposes), and membership information is listed in the June 30, 2020, annual actuarial valuation report, Schools Pool Actuarial Valuation. This report and CalPERS audited financial information are publicly available reports that can be found on the CalPERS website under Forms and Publications at: <https://www.calpers.ca.gov/page/forms-publications>.

**Benefits Provided**

CalPERS provides service retirement and disability benefits, annual cost of living adjustments and death benefits to plan members, who must be public employees and beneficiaries. Benefits are based on years of service credit, a benefit factor and the member’s final compensation. Members hired on or before December 31, 2012, with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 50 with statutorily reduced benefits. Members hired on or after January 1, 2013, with five years of total service are eligible to retire at age 52 with statutorily reduced benefits. All members are eligible for non-duty disability benefits after five years of service. The Basic Death Benefit is paid to any member’s beneficiary if the member dies while actively employed. An employee’s eligible survivor may receive the 1957 Survivor Benefit if the member dies while actively employed, is at least age 50 (or 52 for members hired on or after January 1, 2013), and has at least five years of credited service. The cost of living adjustments for each plan are applied as specified by the Public Employees’ Retirement Law.

The CalPERS provisions and benefits in effect at June 30, 2022, are summarized as follows:

	School Employer Pool (CalPERS)	
	On or before December 31, 2012	On or after January 1, 2013
Hire date		
Benefit formula	2% at 55	2% at 62
Benefit vesting schedule	5 years of service	5 years of service
Benefit payments	Monthly for life	Monthly for life
Retirement age	55	62
Monthly benefits as a percentage of eligible compensation	1.1% - 2.5%	1.0% - 2.5%
Required employee contribution rate	7.00%	7.00%
Required employer contribution rate	22.91%	22.91%

**Contributions**

Section 20814(c) of the California Public Employees’ Retirement Law requires that the employer contribution rates for all public employers be determined on an annual basis by the actuary and shall be effective on July 1 following notice of a change in the rate. Total plan contributions are calculated through the CalPERS annual actuarial valuation process. The actuarially determined rate is the estimated amount necessary to finance the costs of benefits earned by employees during the year, with an additional amount to finance any unfunded accrued liability. The District is required to contribute the difference between the actuarially determined rate and the contribution rate of employees. The contributions rates are expressed as percentage of annual payroll. The contribution rates for each plan for the year ended June 30, 2022, are presented above and the total District contributions were \$1,357,845.

**Pension Liabilities, Pension Expense, Deferred Outflows of Resources, and Deferred Inflows of Resources Related to Pensions**

As of June 30, 2022, the District reported net pension liabilities for its proportionate share of the CalPERS net pension liability totaling \$7,769,702. The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2021. The District's proportion of the net pension liability was based on a projection of the District's long-term share of contributions to the pension plan relative to the projected contributions of all participating school districts, actuarially determined. The District's proportionate share for the measurement period June 30, 2021 and June 30, 2020, respectively, was 0.0382% and 0.0391%, resulting in a net decrease in the proportionate share of 0.0009%.

For the year ended June 30, 2022, the District recognized pension expense of \$732,332. At June 30, 2022, the District reported deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to pensions from the following sources:

	Deferred Outflows of Resources	Deferred Inflows of Resources
Pension contributions subsequent to measurement date	\$ 1,357,845	\$ -
Change in proportion and differences between contributions made and District's proportionate share of contributions	35,839	337,442
Differences between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments	-	2,981,780
Differences between expected and actual experience in the measurement of the total pension liability	231,946	18,316
Changes of assumptions	-	-
	<u>\$ 1,625,630</u>	<u>\$ 3,337,538</u>
Total	<u>\$ 1,625,630</u>	<u>\$ 3,337,538</u>

The deferred outflows of resources related to pensions resulting from District contributions subsequent to the measurement date will be recognized as a reduction of the net pension liability in the subsequent fiscal year.

The deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to the difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments will be amortized over a closed five-year period and will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year Ended June 30,	Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) of Resources
2023	\$ (747,828)
2024	(687,695)
2025	(716,966)
2026	(829,291)
Total	<u>\$ (2,981,780)</u>

The deferred outflows of resources and deferred inflows of resources related to the change in proportion and differences between contributions made and District’s proportionate share of contributions, differences between expected and actual experience in the measurement of the total pension liability, and changes of assumptions will be amortized over the Expected Average Remaining Service Life (EARSL) of all members that are provided benefits (active, inactive, and retirees) as of the beginning of the measurement period. The EARSL for the measurement period is 4.1 years and will be recognized in pension expense as follows:

Year Ended June 30,	Deferred Outflows/(Inflows) of Resources
2023	\$ 66,458
2024	(80,187)
2025	(67,785)
2026	(6,459)
Total	\$ (87,973)

**Actuarial Methods and Assumptions**

Total pension liability for the SEP was determined by applying update procedures to the financial reporting actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2020, and rolling forward the total pension liability to June 30, 2021. The financial reporting actuarial valuation as of June 30, 2020, used the following methods and assumptions, applied to all prior periods included in the measurement:

Valuation date	June 30, 2020
Measurement date	June 30, 2021
Experience study	July 1, 1997 through June 30, 2015
Actuarial cost method	Entry age normal
Discount rate	7.15%
Investment rate of return	7.15%
Consumer price inflation	2.50%
Wage growth	Varies by entry age and service

The mortality table used was developed based on CalPERS-specific data. The table includes 15 years of mortality improvements using Society of Actuaries 90% of scale MP-2016.

In determining the long-term expected rate of return, CalPERS took into account both short-term and long-term market return expectations as well as the expected pension fund cash flows. Using historical returns of all the funds' asset classes, expected compound returns were calculated over the short-term (first ten years) and the long-term (11+ years) using a building-block approach. Using the expected nominal returns for both short-term and long-term, the present value of benefits was calculated for each fund. The expected rate of return was set by calculating the rounded single equivalent expected return that arrived at the same present value of benefits for cash flows as the one calculated using both short-term and long-term returns. The expected rate of return was then set equal to the single equivalent rate calculated above and adjusted to account for assumed administrative expenses. The target asset allocation and best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return for each major asset class are summarized in the following table:

Asset Class	Assumed Asset Allocation	Long-Term Expected Real Rate of Return
Global equity	50%	5.98%
Fixed income	28%	2.62%
Inflation assets	0%	1.81%
Private equity	8%	7.23%
Real assets	13%	4.93%
Liquidity	1%	(0.92%)

**Discount Rate**

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 7.15%. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed the contributions from plan members and employers will be made at statutory contribution rates. Based on these assumptions, the School Employer Pool fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments to current plan members. Therefore, the long-term assumed investment rate of return was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine total pension liability.

The following presents the District's proportionate share of the net pension liability calculated using the current discount rate as well as what the net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is one percent lower or higher than the current rate:

Discount Rate	Net Pension Liability
1% decrease (6.15%)	\$ 13,100,808
Current discount rate (7.15%)	7,769,702
1% increase (8.15%)	3,343,740

**On Behalf Payments**

The State of California makes contributions to CalSTRS on behalf of the District. These payments consist of State General Fund contributions to CalSTRS in the amount of \$1,536,745 (10.828% of annual payroll). Contributions are no longer appropriated in the annual *Budget Act* for the legislatively mandated benefits to CalPERS. Therefore, there is no on behalf contribution rate for CalPERS. Under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, these amounts are to be reported as revenues and expenditures. Accordingly, these amounts have been recorded in these financial statements. On behalf payments have not been included in the budgeted amounts reported in the *General Fund - Budgetary Comparison Schedule*.

**Note 15 - Commitments and Contingencies**

**Grants**

The District received financial assistance from Federal and State agencies in the form of grants. The disbursement of funds received under these programs generally requires compliance with terms and conditions specified in the grant agreements and are subject to audit by the grantor agencies. Any disallowed claims resulting from such audits could become a liability of the General Fund or other applicable funds. However, in the opinion of management, any such disallowed claims will not have a material adverse effect on the overall financial position of the District at June 30, 2022.

**Litigation**

The District is not currently a party to any legal proceedings.

**Construction Commitments**

As of June 30, 2022, the District had the following commitments with respect to the unfinished capital projects:

Capital Project	Remaining Construction Commitment	Expected Date of Completion
Sisk Elementary - modular replacement and sitework (phase 2)	\$ 265,027	December 1, 2022
Sisk Elementary - skylight replacement	201,960	June 30, 2023
Dena Boer - modernization	4,163,123	June 30, 2023
Mildred Perkins Elementary - Room 34 fire damage repairs	54,842	September 1, 2022
Total	<u>\$ 4,684,952</u>	

**Note 16 - Participation in Joint Powers Authorities**

The District is a member of the Central Region Schools’ Insurance Group (CRSIG) and the Self Insured Schools of California (SISC) joint powers authorities (JPA). The District pays annual premiums these entities for its health, workers’ compensation, and property liability coverage. The relationship between the District and the JPAs is such that the JPAs are not component units of the District for financial reporting purposes.

These entities have budgeting and financial reporting requirements independent of member units and their financial statements are not presented in these financial statements; however, fund transactions between the entities and the District are included in these statements. Audited financial statements are available from the respective entities.

The District has appointed one member to the governing board of CRSIG.

During the year ended June 30, 2022, the District made payment of \$579,752 to CRSIG for workers’ compensation and property and liability insurance.

The District has appointed no board members to the governing board of SISC.

During the year ended June 30, 2022, the District made payment of \$1,215,776 to SISC for health coverage.

**Note 17 - Restatement of Prior Year Net Position**

As of July 1, 2021, the District adopted GASB Statement No. 87, *Leases*. The implementation of this standard establishes a single model for lease accounting based on the foundational principle that leases are financings of the right-to-use an underlying asset. The Statement requires recognition of certain lease assets and liabilities for leases that previously were classified as operating leases and recognized as outflows of resources based on the payment provisions of the contract. Beginning net position was restated to retroactively adopt the provisions of GASB Statement No. 87 as follows:

Government-Wide Financial Statements	
Net Position - Beginning	\$ 2,504,795
Right-to-use intangible asset, net of amortization	413,065
Lease liability	<u>(404,914)</u>
Net Position - Beginning, as Restated	<u><u>\$ 2,512,946</u></u>



Required Supplementary Information  
June 30, 2022

**Salida Union School District**



Salida Union School District  
 Budgetary Comparison Schedule – General Fund  
 Year Ended June 30, 2022

	Budgeted Amounts		Actual	Variances - Positive (Negative)
	Original	Final		Final to Actual
<b>Revenues</b>				
Local control funding formula	\$ 24,622,678	\$ 25,251,219	\$ 25,269,787	\$ 18,568
Federal sources	2,398,828	5,441,452	1,746,310	(3,695,142)
Other State sources	2,171,552	5,004,943	5,897,781	892,838
Other local sources	1,811,893	2,040,761	1,750,394	(290,367)
<b>Total revenues</b> <sup>1</sup>	<u>31,004,951</u>	<u>37,738,375</u>	<u>34,664,272</u>	<u>(3,074,103)</u>
<b>Expenditures</b>				
<b>Current</b>				
Certificated salaries	13,547,801	14,100,874	14,052,639	48,235
Classified salaries	4,603,223	4,788,866	4,288,125	500,741
Employee benefits	5,496,228	5,456,732	6,800,988	(1,344,256)
Books and supplies	1,898,651	2,137,089	2,065,708	71,381
Services and operating expenditures	6,311,185	6,423,607	6,240,483	183,124
Other outgo	181,741	192,654	68,203	124,451
Capital outlay	23,960	23,960	8,838	15,122
<b>Total expenditures</b> <sup>1</sup>	<u>32,062,789</u>	<u>33,123,782</u>	<u>33,524,984</u>	<u>(401,202)</u>
<b>Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures</b>	<u>(1,057,838)</u>	<u>4,614,593</u>	<u>1,139,288</u>	<u>(3,475,305)</u>
<b>Other Financing Sources (Uses)</b>				
Transfers in	14,500	14,500	-	(14,500)
Transfers out	(296,174)	(324,076)	(235,849)	88,227
<b>Net financing sources (uses)</b>	<u>(281,674)</u>	<u>(309,576)</u>	<u>(235,849)</u>	<u>73,727</u>
<b>Net Change in Fund Balances</b>	(1,339,512)	4,305,017	903,439	(3,401,578)
<b>Fund Balance - Beginning</b>	<u>9,410,331</u>	<u>9,410,331</u>	<u>9,410,331</u>	<u>-</u>
<b>Fund Balance - Ending</b>	<u>\$ 8,070,819</u>	<u>\$ 13,715,348</u>	<u>\$ 10,313,770</u>	<u>\$ (3,401,578)</u>

<sup>1</sup> Due to the consolidation of Fund 17, Special Reserve Fund for Other Than Capital Outlay Projects for reporting purposes into the General Fund, additional revenues and expenditures pertaining to this fund are included in the actual revenues and expenditures; however, are not included in the original and final General Fund budgets. On behalf payments of \$1,536,745 are included in the actual revenues and expenditures but have not been included in the budgeted amounts.

Salida Union School District  
Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability – MPP Program  
Year Ended June 30, 2022

Year ended June 30,	2022	2021	2020	2019	2018
Proportion of the net OPEB liability	0.0359%	0.0416%	0.0391%	0.0403%	0.0382%
Proportionate share of the net OPEB liability	\$ 143,262	\$ 176,194	\$ 145,657	\$ 154,222	\$ 160,558
Covered payroll	N/A <sup>1</sup>	N/A <sup>1</sup>	N/A <sup>1</sup>	N/A <sup>1</sup>	N/A <sup>1</sup>
Proportionate share of the net OPEB liability as a percentage of it's covered payroll	N/A <sup>1</sup>	N/A <sup>1</sup>	N/A <sup>1</sup>	N/A <sup>1</sup>	N/A <sup>1</sup>
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total OPEB liability	(0.80%)	(0.71%)	(0.81%)	(0.40%)	0.01%
Measurement Date	June 30, 2021	June 30, 2020	June 30, 2019	June 30, 2018	June 30, 2017

<sup>1</sup> As of June 30, 2012, active members are no longer eligible for future enrollment in the MPP Program; therefore, the covered payroll disclosure is not applicable.

*Note:* In the future, as data becomes available, ten years of information will be presented.

Salida Union School District  
Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability  
Year Ended June 30, 2022

	<u>2022</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2019</u>
<b>CaSTRS</b>				
Proportion of the net pension liability	<u>0.0239%</u>	<u>0.0239%</u>	<u>0.0221%</u>	<u>0.0224%</u>
Proportionate share of the net pension liability	<u>\$ 10,873,975</u>	<u>\$ 23,123,229</u>	<u>\$ 19,969,095</u>	<u>\$ 20,630,882</u>
State's proportionate share of the net pension liability	<u>5,471,362</u>	<u>11,920,027</u>	<u>10,894,474</u>	<u>11,812,145</u>
Total	<u>\$ 16,345,337</u>	<u>\$ 35,043,256</u>	<u>\$ 30,863,569</u>	<u>\$ 32,443,027</u>
Covered payroll	<u>\$ 12,929,697</u>	<u>\$ 12,516,170</u>	<u>\$ 12,420,835</u>	<u>\$ 11,964,109</u>
Proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of its covered payroll	<u>84.10%</u>	<u>184.75%</u>	<u>160.77%</u>	<u>172.44%</u>
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	<u>87%</u>	<u>72%</u>	<u>73%</u>	<u>71%</u>
Measurement Date	June 30, 2021	June 30, 2020	June 30, 2019	June 30, 2018
<b>CaIPERS</b>				
Proportion of the net pension liability	<u>0.0382%</u>	<u>0.0391%</u>	<u>0.0389%</u>	<u>0.0383%</u>
Proportionate share of the net pension liability	<u>\$ 7,769,702</u>	<u>\$ 11,986,904</u>	<u>\$ 11,328,743</u>	<u>\$ 10,203,910</u>
Covered payroll	<u>\$ 5,495,995</u>	<u>\$ 5,621,769</u>	<u>\$ 5,389,763</u>	<u>\$ 5,061,026</u>
Proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of its covered payroll	<u>141.37%</u>	<u>213.22%</u>	<u>210.19%</u>	<u>201.62%</u>
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	<u>81%</u>	<u>70%</u>	<u>70%</u>	<u>71%</u>
Measurement Date	June 30, 2021	June 30, 2020	June 30, 2019	June 30, 2018

*Note:* In the future, as data becomes available, ten years of information will be presented.

Salida Union School District  
Schedule of the District's Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability  
Year Ended June 30, 2022

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>
<b>CaSTRS</b>				
Proportion of the net pension liability	0.0211%	0.0220%	0.0220%	0.0216%
Proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 19,494,829	\$ 17,792,180	\$ 14,834,896	\$ 12,626,912
State's proportionate share of the net pension liability	11,532,976	10,128,770	7,846,025	7,624,675
Total	<u>\$ 31,027,805</u>	<u>\$ 27,920,950</u>	<u>\$ 22,680,921</u>	<u>\$ 20,251,587</u>
Covered payroll	<u>\$ 11,180,723</u>	<u>\$ 11,045,452</u>	<u>\$ 11,159,054</u>	<u>\$ 9,636,448</u>
Proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of its covered payroll	<u>174.36%</u>	<u>161.08%</u>	<u>132.94%</u>	<u>131.03%</u>
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	<u>69%</u>	<u>70%</u>	<u>74%</u>	<u>77%</u>
Measurement Date	June 30, 2017	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	June 30, 2014
<b>CaIPERS</b>				
Proportion of the net pension liability	0.0379%	0.0408%	0.0407%	0.0396%
Proportionate share of the net pension liability	\$ 9,059,273	\$ 8,063,212	\$ 6,000,692	\$ 4,500,475
Covered payroll	<u>\$ 4,841,763</u>	<u>\$ 4,917,507</u>	<u>\$ 4,539,886</u>	<u>\$ 4,146,548</u>
Proportionate share of the net pension liability as a percentage of its covered payroll	<u>187.11%</u>	<u>163.97%</u>	<u>132.18%</u>	<u>108.54%</u>
Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability	<u>72%</u>	<u>74%</u>	<u>79%</u>	<u>83%</u>
Measurement Date	June 30, 2017	June 30, 2016	June 30, 2015	June 30, 2014

Note: In the future, as data becomes available, ten years of information will be presented.

Salida Union School District  
Schedule of the District's Contributions  
Year Ended June 30, 2022

	<u>2022</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2019</u>
<b>CaSTRS</b>				
Contractually required contribution	\$ 2,395,895	\$ 2,088,146	\$ 2,140,265	\$ 2,022,112
Less contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution	<u>2,395,895</u>	<u>2,088,146</u>	<u>2,140,265</u>	<u>2,022,112</u>
Contribution deficiency (excess)	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
Covered payroll	<u>\$ 14,160,136</u>	<u>\$ 12,929,697</u>	<u>\$ 12,516,170</u>	<u>\$ 12,420,835</u>
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll	<u>16.92%</u>	<u>16.15%</u>	<u>17.10%</u>	<u>16.28%</u>
<b>CaIPERS</b>				
Contractually required contribution	\$ 1,357,845	\$ 1,137,671	\$ 1,108,669	\$ 973,499
Less contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution	<u>1,357,845</u>	<u>1,137,671</u>	<u>1,108,669</u>	<u>973,499</u>
Contribution deficiency (excess)	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
Covered payroll	<u>\$ 5,926,866</u>	<u>\$ 5,495,995</u>	<u>\$ 5,621,769</u>	<u>\$ 5,389,763</u>
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll	<u>22.910%</u>	<u>20.700%</u>	<u>19.721%</u>	<u>18.0620%</u>

*Note:* In the future, as data becomes available, ten years of information will be presented.

Salida Union School District  
Schedule of the District's Contributions  
Year Ended June 30, 2022

	<u>2018</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2015</u>
<b>CaSTRS</b>				
Contractually required contribution	\$ 1,726,421	\$ 1,406,535	\$ 1,185,177	\$ 990,924
Less contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution	<u>1,726,421</u>	<u>1,406,535</u>	<u>1,185,177</u>	<u>990,924</u>
Contribution deficiency (excess)	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
Covered payroll	<u>\$ 11,964,109</u>	<u>\$ 11,180,723</u>	<u>\$ 11,045,452</u>	<u>\$ 11,159,054</u>
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll	<u>14.43%</u>	<u>12.58%</u>	<u>10.73%</u>	<u>8.88%</u>
<b>CaPERS</b>				
Contractually required contribution	\$ 786,028	\$ 672,424	\$ 582,577	\$ 534,390
Less contributions in relation to the contractually required contribution	<u>786,028</u>	<u>672,424</u>	<u>582,577</u>	<u>534,390</u>
Contribution deficiency (excess)	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>
Covered payroll	<u>\$ 5,061,026</u>	<u>\$ 4,841,763</u>	<u>\$ 4,917,507</u>	<u>\$ 4,539,886</u>
Contributions as a percentage of covered payroll	<u>15.5310%</u>	<u>13.8880%</u>	<u>11.8470%</u>	<u>11.7710%</u>

*Note:* In the future, as data becomes available, ten years of information will be presented.

**Note 1 - Purpose of Schedules**

**Budgetary Comparison Schedule**

The District employs budget control by object codes and by individual appropriation accounts. Budgets are prepared on the modified accrual basis of accounting in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United State of America as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board and provisions of the California *Education Code*. The governing board is required to hold a public hearing and adopt an operating budget no later than July 1 of each year. The adopted budget is subject to amendment throughout the year to give consideration to unanticipated revenue and expenditures primarily resulting from events unknown at the time of budget adoption with the legal restriction that expenditures cannot exceed appropriations by major object account.

The amounts reported as the original budgeted amounts in the budgetary statements reflect the amounts when the original appropriations were adopted. The amounts reported as the final budgeted amounts in the budgetary statements reflect the amounts after all budget amendments have been accounted for.

This schedule presents information for the original and final budgets and actual results of operations, as well as the variances from the final budget to actual results of operations.

At June 30, 2022, the District’s General Fund exceeded the budgeted amount in total as follows:

Fund	Expenditures and Other Uses		
	Budget	Actual	Excess
General Fund	\$ 33,447,858	\$ 33,760,833	\$ 312,975

**Schedule of the District’s Proportionate Share of the Net OPEB Liability - MPP Program**

This schedule presents information on the District’s proportionate share of the net OPEB Liability - MPP Program and the plan’s fiduciary net position. In the future, as data becomes available, ten years of information will be presented.

- *Changes in Benefit Terms* - There were no changes in the benefit terms since the previous valuation.
- *Changes of Assumptions* - The plan rate of investment return assumption was changed from 2.21% to 2.16% since the previous valuation.

**Schedule of the District’s Proportionate Share of the Net Pension Liability**

This schedule presents information on the District’s proportionate share of the net pension liability (NPL), the plans’ fiduciary net position and, when applicable, the State’s proportionate share of the NPL associated with the District. In the future, as data becomes available, ten years of information will be presented.

- *Changes in Benefit Terms* - There were no changes in benefit terms since the previous valuations for both CalSTRS and CalPERS.

- *Changes of Assumptions* - There were no changes in economic assumptions for either the CalSTRS or CalPERS plans from the previous valuations.

**Schedule of the District's Contributions**

This schedule presents information on the District's required contribution, the amounts actually contributed, and any excess or deficiency related to the required contribution. In the future, as data becomes available, ten years of information will be presented.





Supplementary Information  
June 30, 2022

## Salida Union School District

Salida Union School District  
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards  
Year Ended June 30, 2022

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal Financial Assistance Listing/Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of Education			
Passed Through California Department of Education (CDE)			
COVID-19 Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief Fund (ESSER)	84.425D	15536	\$ 30
COVID-19 Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief Fund II (ESSER II)	84.425D	15547	469,841
COVID-19 Expanded Learning Opportunities (ELO) Grant: ESSER II State Reserve	84.425D	15618	4,850
COVID-19 Expanded Learning Opportunities (ELO) Grant: ESSER III State Reserve, Learning Loss	84.425U	15621	91,956
COVID-19 Expanded Learning Opportunities (ELO) Grant: ESSER III State Reserve, Emergency Needs	84.425U	15620	38,738
COVID-19 Expanded Learning Opportunities (ELO) Grant GEER II	84.425C	15619	926
Subtotal (84.425)			<u>606,341</u>
Special Education Cluster			
Special Education Grants to States - Basic Local Assistance	84.027	13379	425,744
COVID-19 Special Ed: ARP IDEA Basic Local Assistance	84.027	13379	91,883
Total Special Education Cluster			<u>517,627</u>
Title I Grants to Local Educational Agencies	84.010	14329	416,446
Supporting Effective Instruction State Grants - Teacher Quality	84.367	14341	69,450
English Language Acquisition State Grants - LEP	84.365	14346	103,708
Student Support and Academic Enrichment Program	84.424	15396	31,818
Total U.S. Department of Education			<u>1,745,390</u>
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			
Passed Through California Department of Health Care Services			
Head Start Cluster			
Head Start	93.600	10016	1,427,467
Head Start - Early Head Start	93.600	15291	837,935
Total Head Start Cluster			<u>2,265,402</u>
Total U.S. Department of Health and Human Services			<u>2,265,402</u>

Salida Union School District  
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards  
Year Ended June 30, 2022

Federal Grantor/Pass-Through Grantor/Program or Cluster Title	Federal Financial Assistance Listing/Federal CFDA Number	Pass-Through Entity Identifying Number	Federal Expenditures
U.S. Department of Agriculture			
Passed Through California Department of Education			
Child Nutrition Cluster			
National School Lunch Program - Commodity Supplemental Food	10.555	13391	442,975
COVID-19 SNP, Emergency Operational Costs Reimbursement	10.555	15637	<u>52,620</u>
Subtotal (10.555)			495,595
National School Lunch Program - Summer Food Program	10.559	13004	<u>1,412,035</u>
Total Child Nutrition Cluster			<u>1,907,630</u>
Passed Through California Department of Social Services			
Child and Adult Care Food Program	10.558	13393	272,559
COVID-19 Child and Adult Care Food Program, Emergency Operational Costs Reimbursement	10.558	15577	<u>1,376</u>
Subtotal			<u>273,935</u>
Total U.S. Department of Agriculture			<u>2,181,565</u>
Total Federal Financial Assistance			<u>\$ 6,192,357</u>

## Organization

Salida Union School District was established in 1949 and is comprised of an area of approximately 31 square miles located in Stanislaus County. There were no changes in the District's boundaries in the current year. The District is currently operating four elementary schools, one middle school and one charter school.

## Governing Board

Member	Office	Term Expires
Linda Brughelli	President	2022
Nanci E. Fox	Clerk	2022
Dr. Gary Dew	Trustee	2024
Virginia L. Berry	Trustee	2022
Maria Elena Magana	Trustee	2024

## Administration

Twila Tosh	Superintendent
Jaime Towe	Chief Business Officer

Salida Union School District  
Schedule of Average Daily Attendance  
Year Ended June 30, 2022

	Second Period Report	Annual Report
Salida Union School District		
Regular ADA		
Transitional kindergarten through third	854.11	858.39
Fourth through sixth	672.19	671.39
Seventh and eighth	483.45	484.42
Total regular ADA	2,009.75	2,014.20
Extended Year Special Education		
Transitional kindergarten through third	-	0.21
Fourth through sixth	-	0.35
Seventh and eighth	-	0.14
Total extended year special education	-	0.70
Total ADA	2,009.75	2,014.90
Independence Charter School		
Charter School ADA		
Transitional kindergarten through third	13.75	13.56
Fourth through sixth	9.96	9.85
Total charter school ADA	23.71	23.41
Classroom Based ADA		
Transitional kindergarten through third	12.03	11.96
Fourth through sixth	8.97	8.86
Total classroom based ADA	21.00	20.82
Non-Classroom Based ADA		
Transitional kindergarten through third	1.72	1.60
Fourth through sixth	0.99	0.99
Total non-classroom based ADA	2.71	2.59

Salida Union School District  
 Schedule of Instructional Time  
 Year Ended June 30, 2022

Salida Union School District

Grade Level	1986-1987 Minutes Requirement	2021-2022 Actual Minutes	Total Days Offered	Status
Kindergarten	36,000	56,920	180	Complied
Grades 1 - 3	50,400			
Grade 1		54,220	180	Complied
Grade 2		54,220	180	Complied
Grade 3		54,220	180	Complied
Grades 4 - 8	54,000			
Grade 4		54,220	180	Complied
Grade 5		54,220	180	Complied
Grade 6		56,105	180	Complied
Grade 7		56,105	180	Complied
Grade 8		56,105	180	Complied

Independence Charter School

Grade Level	1986-1987 Minutes Requirement	2021-2022 Actual Minutes	Total Days Offered	Status
Kindergarten	36,000	56,920	180	Complied
Grades 1 - 3	50,400			
Grade 1		54,220	180	Complied
Grade 2		54,220	180	Complied
Grade 3		54,220	180	Complied
Grades 4 - 8	54,000			
Grade 4		54,220	180	Complied
Grade 5		54,220	180	Complied

Salida Union School District  
 Reconciliation of Annual Financial and Budget Report with Audited Financial Statements  
 Year Ended June 30, 2022

---

Summarized below are the fund balance reconciliations between the Unaudited Actual Financial Report and the audited financial statements.

	<u>Special Reserve Fund for Capital Outlay Projects</u>
Fund Balance	
Balance, June 30, 2022, Unaudited Actuals	\$ 2,432,661
Increase in	
Cash with Fiscal Agent	<u>127,804</u>
Balance, June 30, 2022, Audited Financial Statements	<u><u>\$ 2,560,465</u></u>

Salida Union School District  
Schedule of Financial Trends and Analysis  
Year Ended June 30, 2022

	(Budget) 2023 <sup>1</sup>	2022	2021 <sup>1</sup>	2020 <sup>1</sup>
General Fund <sup>3</sup>				
Revenues	\$ 40,130,076	\$ 34,706,843	\$ 32,814,273	\$ 30,180,233
Other sources and transfers in	-	-	40,000	-
<b>Total Revenues and Other Sources</b>	<b>40,130,076</b>	<b>34,706,843</b>	<b>32,854,273</b>	<b>30,180,233</b>
Expenditures	35,873,634	\$ 33,251,487	30,586,956	28,146,584
Other uses and transfers out	192,970	509,346	2,224,286	538,561
<b>Total Expenditures and Other Uses</b>	<b>36,066,604</b>	<b>33,760,833</b>	<b>32,811,242</b>	<b>28,685,145</b>
Increase in Fund Balance	4,063,472	946,010	43,031	1,495,088
Ending Fund Balance	<u>\$ 14,052,954</u>	<u>\$ 9,989,482</u>	<u>\$ 9,043,472</u>	<u>\$ 9,000,441</u>
Available Reserves <sup>2</sup>	<u>\$ 5,602,002</u>	<u>\$ 5,784,746</u>	<u>\$ 7,281,562</u>	<u>\$ 8,400,959</u>
Available Reserves as a Percentage of Total Outgo	<u>15.53%</u>	<u>17.13%</u>	<u>22.19%</u>	<u>29.29%</u>
Long-Term Liabilities <sup>4</sup>	<u>Not Available</u>	<u>\$ 37,648,584</u>	<u>\$ 54,830,276</u>	<u>\$ 44,312,989</u>
Average Daily Attendance at P-2	<u>2,040</u>	<u>2,010</u>	<u>2,202</u>	<u>2,202</u>

The General Fund balance has increased by \$989,041 over the past two years. The fiscal year 2022-2023 budget projects an increase of \$4,063,472 (41%). For a district this size, the State recommends available reserves of at least three percent of total General Fund expenditures, transfers out, and other uses (total outgo).

The District has incurred operating surpluses in each of the past three years and anticipates incurring an operating surplus during the 2022-2023 fiscal year. Total long-term liabilities have decreased by \$6,664,405 over the past two years primarily due to a decrease in the net pension liability.

Average daily attendance has decreased by 192 over the past two years, the decrease was primarily due to the impact of COVID-19 on student attendance. Growth of 30 ADA is anticipated during fiscal year 2022-2023.

<sup>1</sup> Financial information for 2023, 2021, and 2020 are included for analytical purposes only and has not been subjected to audit.

<sup>2</sup> Available reserves consist of all unassigned fund balances including all amounts reserved for economic uncertainties contained within the General Fund.

<sup>3</sup> General Fund amounts do not include activity related to the consolidation of the Special Reserve for Other than Capital Outlay Fund as required by GASB Statement No. 54.

<sup>4</sup> The long-term liabilities balance was restated as of June 30, 2021, due to the implementation of GASB Statement No. 87, *Leases*.



Salida Union School District  
Schedule of Charter Schools  
Year Ended June 30, 2022

---

<u>Name of Charter School</u>	<u>Charter Number</u>	<u>Included in Audit Report</u>
Independence Charter School	1098	Yes
Great Valley Academy - Salida	1819	No

Salida Union School District  
Combining Balance Sheet – Non-Major Governmental Funds  
June 30, 2022

	Student Activities Fund	Charter School Fund	Child Development Fund	Cafeteria Fund	Deferred Maintenance Fund
<b>Assets</b>					
Deposits and investments	\$ 110,118	\$ 97,662	\$ 41,682	\$ 1,133,631	\$ 365
Receivables	-	101,377	189,633	275,795	-
Due from other funds	-	38,338	912	1,169	-
Stores inventories	-	-	-	21,444	-
Lease receivables	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 110,118</b>	<b>\$ 237,377</b>	<b>\$ 232,227</b>	<b>\$ 1,432,039</b>	<b>\$ 365</b>
<b>Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances</b>					
<b>Liabilities</b>					
Accounts payable	\$ -	\$ 98,093	\$ 78,329	\$ 85,015	\$ -
Due to other funds	-	65,744	21,299	79,198	-
Unearned revenue	-	25,062	-	-	-
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>188,899</b>	<b>99,628</b>	<b>164,213</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Deferred Inflows of Resources</b>					
Deferred inflows of resources related to leases	-	-	-	-	-
<b>Fund Balances</b>					
Nonspendable	-	-	-	21,444	-
Restricted	110,118	48,447	132,501	1,246,382	-
Committed	-	-	-	-	365
Assigned	-	31	98	-	-
<b>Total fund balances</b>	<b>110,118</b>	<b>48,478</b>	<b>132,599</b>	<b>1,267,826</b>	<b>365</b>
<b>Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and fund balances</b>	<b>\$ 110,118</b>	<b>\$ 237,377</b>	<b>\$ 232,227</b>	<b>\$ 1,432,039</b>	<b>\$ 365</b>

Salida Union School District  
Combining Balance Sheet – Non-Major Governmental Funds  
June 30, 2022

	Capital Facilities Fund	County School Facilities Fund	Special Reserve Fund for Capital Outlay Projects	Bond Interest and Redemption Fund	Total Non-Major Governmental Funds
<b>Assets</b>					
Deposits and investments	\$ 633,500	\$ 33,800	\$ 2,412,856	\$ 744,675	\$ 5,208,289
Receivables	-	-	246,915	-	813,720
Due from other funds	-	-	100,430	-	140,849
Stores inventories	-	-	-	-	21,444
Lease receivables	-	-	615,198	-	615,198
	<u>633,500</u>	<u>33,800</u>	<u>3,375,399</u>	<u>744,675</u>	<u>6,799,500</u>
<b>Total assets</b>					
	<u>\$ 633,500</u>	<u>\$ 33,800</u>	<u>\$ 3,375,399</u>	<u>\$ 744,675</u>	<u>\$ 6,799,500</u>
<b>Liabilities, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Fund Balances</b>					
<b>Liabilities</b>					
Accounts payable	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 142,249	\$ -	\$ 403,686
Due to other funds	-	-	57,487	-	223,728
Unearned revenue	-	-	-	-	25,062
	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>199,736</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>652,476</u>
<b>Total liabilities</b>					
	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>199,736</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>652,476</u>
<b>Deferred Inflows of Resources</b>					
Deferred inflows of resources related to leases	-	-	615,198	-	615,198
	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>615,198</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>615,198</u>
<b>Fund Balances</b>					
Nonspendable	-	-	-	-	21,444
Restricted	633,500	33,800	-	744,675	2,949,423
Committed	-	-	-	-	365
Assigned	-	-	2,560,465	-	2,560,594
	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2,560,465</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>2,560,594</u>
<b>Total fund balances</b>					
	<u>633,500</u>	<u>33,800</u>	<u>2,560,465</u>	<u>744,675</u>	<u>5,531,826</u>
<b>Total liabilities, deferred inflows of resources, and fund balances</b>					
	<u>\$ 633,500</u>	<u>\$ 33,800</u>	<u>\$ 3,375,399</u>	<u>\$ 744,675</u>	<u>\$ 6,799,500</u>

Salida Union School District

Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Non-Major Governmental Funds

Year Ended June 30, 2022

	Student Activities Fund	Charter School Fund	Child Development Fund	Cafeteria Fund	Deferred Maintenance Fund	Capital Facilities Fund	County School Facilities Fund	Special Reserve Fund for Capital Outlay Projects	Bond Interest and Redemption Fund	Total Non-Major Governmental Funds
<b>Revenues</b>										
Local control funding formula	\$ -	\$ 311,414	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 311,414
Federal sources	-	(920)	2,290,602	2,184,628	-	-	-	-	-	4,474,310
Other State sources	-	98,854	425,405	90,077	-	-	-	-	6,288	620,624
Other local sources	219,784	12,071	(314)	927	(16)	14,539	(1,432)	756,757	754,383	1,756,699
<b>Total revenues</b>	<b>219,784</b>	<b>421,419</b>	<b>2,715,693</b>	<b>2,275,632</b>	<b>(16)</b>	<b>14,539</b>	<b>(1,432)</b>	<b>756,757</b>	<b>760,671</b>	<b>7,163,047</b>
<b>Expenditures</b>										
<b>Current</b>										
Instruction	-	316,931	64	-	-	-	-	-	-	316,995
Instruction-related activities										
Supervision of instruction	-	34,115	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	34,115
Instructional library, media, and technology	-	2,079	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,079
School site administration	-	24,778	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	24,778
Pupil services										
Food services	-	-	-	1,941,425	-	-	-	-	-	1,941,425
All other pupil services	-	47,608	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	47,608
Administration										
Data processing	-	3,592	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,592
All other administration	-	3,253	125,555	78,029	-	4,702	-	-	-	211,539
Plant services	-	18,606	11,212	44,065	-	-	-	735,898	-	809,781
Ancillary services	193,033	4,390	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	197,423
Community services	-	-	2,534,989	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,534,989
Facility acquisition and construction	-	-	8,838	-	-	-	-	195,158	-	203,996
Debt service										
Principal	-	-	-	-	-	68,000	-	344,723	-	412,723
Interest and other	-	-	-	-	-	192,463	-	-	307,311	499,774
<b>Total expenditures</b>	<b>193,033</b>	<b>455,352</b>	<b>2,680,658</b>	<b>2,063,519</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>265,165</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,275,779</b>	<b>307,311</b>	<b>7,240,817</b>

Salida Union School District  
Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances – Non-Major Governmental Funds  
Year Ended June 30, 2022

	Student Activities Fund	Charter School Fund	Child Development Fund	Cafeteria Fund	Deferred Maintenance Fund	Capital Facilities Fund	County School Facilities Fund	Special Reserve Fund for Capital Outlay Projects	Bond Interest and Redemption Fund	Total Non-Major Governmental Funds
Excess (Deficiency) of Revenues Over Expenditures	26,751	(33,933)	35,035	212,113	(16)	(250,626)	(1,432)	(519,022)	453,360	(77,770)
Other Financing Sources Transfers in	-	38,338	682	196,829	-	-	-	-	-	235,849
Net Change in Fund Balances	26,751	4,405	35,717	408,942	(16)	(250,626)	(1,432)	(519,022)	453,360	158,079
Fund Balance - Beginning	83,367	44,073	96,882	858,884	381	884,126	35,232	3,079,487	291,315	5,373,747
Fund Balance - Ending	\$ 110,118	\$ 48,478	\$ 132,599	\$1,267,826	\$ 365	\$ 633,500	\$ 33,800	\$ 2,560,465	\$ 744,675	\$ 5,531,826

**Note 1 - Purpose of Schedules**

**Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards (SEFA)**

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying schedule of expenditures of federal awards (the schedule) includes the federal award activity of the Salida Union School District (the District) under programs of the federal government for the year ended June 30, 2022. The information is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Because the schedule presents only a selected portion of the operations of the District, it is not intended to and does not present the net position or fund balance nor changes thereof for the District.

Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Expenditures reported in the schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. When applicable, such expenditures are recognized following the cost principles contained in the Uniform Guidance, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement. No federal financial assistance has been provided to a subrecipient.

Indirect Cost Rate

The District has not elected to use the ten percent de minimis cost rate.

Food Donation

Nonmonetary assistance is reported in this schedule at the fair market value of the commodities received and disbursed. At June 30, 2022, the District had food commodities totaling \$442,975 in inventory.

SEFA Reconciliation

The following schedule provides reconciliation between revenues reported on the Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances, and the related expenditures reported on the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards. The reconciling amount consist of the unspent COVID 19 supplemental grant revenues received by the programs listed in the table. The unspent balance is reported as legally restricted ending balances within the Child Development and Cafeteria Funds.

	Federal Financial Assistance Listing/Federal CFDA Number	Amount
<b>Description</b>		
Total Federal Revenues reported on the financial statements		\$ 6,220,620
COVID-19 Child Development: ARP California State Preschool Program One-time Stipend	93.575	(25,200)
COVID-19 SNAP, Pandemic EBT Local Administrative Grant	10.649	(3,063)
Total Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards		\$ 6,192,357

### **Local Education Agency Organization Structure**

This schedule provides information about the District's boundaries and schools operated, members of the governing board, and members of the administration.

### **Schedule of Average Daily Attendance (ADA)**

Average daily attendance (ADA) is a measurement of the number of pupils attending classes of the District. The purpose of attendance accounting from a fiscal standpoint is to provide the basis on which apportionments of State funds are made to school districts. This schedule provides information regarding the attendance of students at various grade levels and in different programs.

### **Schedule of Instructional Time**

The District has received incentive funding for increasing instructional time as provided by the Incentives for Longer Instructional Day. The District has met its target funding. This schedule presents information on the amount of instructional time offered by the District and whether the District complied with the provisions of *Education Code* Sections 46200 through 46207.

Districts must maintain their instructional minutes at the 1986-87 requirements, as required by *Education Code* Section 46201.

### **Reconciliation of Annual Financial and Budget Report with Audited Financial Statements**

This schedule provides the information necessary to reconcile the fund balance of all funds reported on the Unaudited Actual Financial Report to the audited financial statements.

### **Schedule of Financial Trends and Analysis**

This schedule discloses the District's financial trends by displaying past years' data along with current year budget information. These financial trend disclosures are used to evaluate the District's ability to continue as a going concern for a reasonable period of time.

### **Schedule of Charter Schools**

This schedule lists all charter schools chartered by the District, and displays information for each charter school on whether or not the charter school is included in the District audit.

### **Non-Major Governmental Funds - Combining Balance Sheet and Combining Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances**

These schedules are included to provide information regarding the individual funds that have been included in the Non-Major Governmental Funds column on the Governmental Funds Balance Sheet and Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balances.



Independent Auditor's Reports  
June 30, 2022

# Salida Union School District





**Independent Auditor’s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with *Government Auditing Standards***

To the Governing Board  
Salida Union School District  
Salida, California

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of Salida Union School District, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2022, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise Salida Union School District’s basic financial statements and have issued our report thereon dated February 2, 2023.

***Adoption of New Accounting Standard***

As discussed in Notes 1 and 17 to the financial statements, the Salida Union School District has adopted the provisions of Government Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Statement No. 87, *Leases*, for the year ended June 30, 2022. Accordingly, a restatement has been made to the governmental activities net position as of July 1, 2021, to restate beginning net position. Our opinions are not modified with respect to this matter.

**Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered Salida Union School District’s internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Salida Union School District’s internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of Salida Union School District’s internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that have not been identified.

### **Report on Compliance and Other Matters**

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether Salida Union School District's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

### **Purpose of this Report**

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

The image shows a handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Eide Bailly LLP". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.

Fresno, California  
February 2, 2023



**Independent Auditor’s Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program; Report on Internal Control Over Compliance Required by the Uniform Guidance**

To the Governing Board  
Salida Union School District  
Salida, California

**Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program**

***Opinion on Each Major Federal Program***

We have audited Salida Union School District’s compliance with the types of compliance requirements identified as subject to audit in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of Salida Union School District’s major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2022. Salida Union School District’s major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor’s results section of the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs.

In our opinion, Salida Union School District complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended June 30, 2022.

***Basis for Opinion on Each Major Federal Program***

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS); the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States (*Government Auditing Standards*); and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 200, *Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards* (Uniform Guidance). Our responsibilities under those standards and the Uniform Guidance are further described in the Auditor’s Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of Salida Union School District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of Salida Union School District’s compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

### ***Responsibilities of Management for Compliance***

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to Salida Union School District's federal programs.

### ***Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance***

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on Salida Union School District's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance will always detect material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about Salida Union School District's compliance with the requirements of each major federal program as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the Uniform Guidance, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding Salida Union School District's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of Salida Union School District's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the Uniform Guidance, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of Salida Union School District's internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identified during the audit.

### **Report on Internal Control over Compliance**

*A deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. *A material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such

that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses, as defined above. However, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance may exist that were not identified.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Eide Bailly LLP".

Fresno, California  
February 2, 2023



## Independent Auditor's Report on State Compliance

To the Governing Board  
Salida Union School District  
Salida, California

### Report on Compliance

#### ***Qualified and Unmodified Opinions***

We have audited Salida Union School District's (the District) compliance with the requirements specified in the *2021-2022 Guide for Annual Audits of K-12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting*, applicable to the District's state program requirements identified below for the year ended June 30, 2022.

#### ***Qualified Opinion on After/Before School Education and Safety program***

In our opinion, except for the noncompliance described in the Basis for Qualified and Unmodified Opinions section of our report, the District complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that are applicable to the laws and regulations of the state programs noted in the table below for the year ended June 30, 2022.

#### ***Unmodified Opinion on Each of the Other Programs***

In our opinion, the District complied, in all material respects, with the compliance requirements referred to above that are applicable to the laws and regulations of the state programs noted in the table below for the year ended June 30, 2022, except as described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

#### ***Basis for Qualified and Unmodified Opinions***

We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAS), the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the *2021-2022 Guide for Annual Audits of K-12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting*. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section of our report.

We are required to be independent of the District and to meet our other ethical responsibilities, in accordance with the relevant ethical requirements relating to our audit. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion. Our audit does not provide a legal determination of the District's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above.

***Matters Giving Rise to Qualified Opinion on the After/Before School Education and Safety program***

As described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs, the District did not comply with requirements regarding the After/Before School Education and Safety program as noted in finding 2022-001.

Compliance with such requirements is necessary, in our opinion, for the District to comply with the requirements referred to above.

***Responsibilities of Management for Compliance***

Management is responsible for compliance with the requirements referred to above and for the design, implementation, and maintenance of effective internal control over compliance with the requirements of laws, statutes, regulations, rules, and provisions of contracts or grant agreements applicable to the District's state programs.

***Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance***

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether material noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above occurred, whether due to fraud or error, and express an opinion on the District's compliance based on our audit. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance but is not absolute assurance and therefore is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the *2021-2022 Guide for Annual Audits of K-12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting* will always detect a material noncompliance when it exists. The risk of not detecting a material noncompliance resulting from fraud is higher than for that resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control. Noncompliance with the compliance requirements referred to above is considered material, if there is a substantial likelihood that, individually or in the aggregate, it would influence the judgment made by a reasonable user of the report on compliance about the District's compliance with the requirements of the state programs as a whole.

In performing an audit in accordance with GAAS, *Government Auditing Standards*, and the *2021-2022 Guide for Annual Audits of K-12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting*, we:

- Exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.
- Identify and assess the risks of material noncompliance, whether due to fraud or error, and design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks. Such procedures include examining, on a test basis, evidence regarding the District's compliance with the compliance requirements referred to above and performing such other procedures as we consider necessary in the circumstances.
- Obtain an understanding of the District's internal control over compliance relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances and to test and report

on internal control over compliance in accordance with the *2021-2022 Guide for Annual Audits of K-12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting*, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District’s internal controls over compliance.

Accordingly, we express no such opinion; and

- Select and test transactions and records to determine the District’s compliance with the state laws and regulations applicable to the following items:

2021-2022 K-12 Audit Guide Procedures	Procedures Performed
<b>Local Education Agencies Other than Charter Schools</b>	
Attendance	Yes
Teacher Certification and Misassignments	Yes
Kindergarten Continuance	No (see below)
Independent Study	Yes
Continuation Education	No (see below)
Instructional Time	Yes
Instructional Materials	Yes
Ratios of Administrative Employees to Teachers	Yes
Classroom Teacher Salaries	Yes
Early Retirement Incentive	No (see below)
GANN Limit Calculation	Yes
School Accountability Report Card	Yes
Juvenile Court Schools	No (see below)
Middle or Early College High Schools	No (see below)
K-3 Grade Span Adjustment	Yes
Transportation Maintenance of Effort	Yes
Apprenticeship: Related and Supplemental Instruction	No (see below)
Comprehensive School Safety Plan	Yes
District of Choice	No (see below)
<b>School Districts, County Offices of Education, and Charter Schools</b>	
California Clean Energy Jobs Act	No (see below)
After/Before School Education and Safety Program	No (see below)
Proper Expenditure of Education Protection Account Funds	Yes
Unduplicated Local Control Funding Formula Pupil Counts	Yes
Local Control and Accountability Plan	Yes
Independent Study - Course Based	Yes
Immunizations	Yes
Educator Effectiveness	Yes
Expanded Learning Opportunities Grant (ELO-G)	Yes
Career Technical Education Incentive Grant	No (see below)
In Person Instruction Grant	Yes
<b>Charter Schools</b>	
Attendance	Yes
Mode of Instruction	Yes
Nonclassroom-Based Instruction/Independent Study	No (see below)
Determination of Funding for Nonclassroom-Based Instruction	No (see below)
Annual Instructional Minutes - Classroom Based	Yes
Charter School Facility Grant Program	No (see below)



We did not perform procedures for Kindergarten Continuance because there were no Kindergarteners retained in 2020-2021 that were in Kindergarten in 2021-2022.

We did not perform Continuation Education procedures because the program is not offered by the District.

The District did not offer an Early Retirement Incentive Program during the current year; therefore, we did not perform procedures related to the Early Retirement Incentive Program.

The District does not have any Juvenile Court Schools; therefore, we did not perform procedures related to Juvenile Court Schools.

The District does not have any Middle or Early College High Schools; therefore, we did not perform procedures related to Middle or Early College High Schools.

We did not perform Apprenticeship: Related and Supplemental Instruction procedures because the program is not offered by the District.

We did not perform District of Choice procedures because the program is not offered by the District.

We did not perform California Clean Energy Jobs Act procedures because the related procedures were performed in a previous year.

The District does not offer a Before School Education and Safety Program; therefore, we did not perform procedures related to the Before School Education and Safety Program.

We did not perform Career Technical Education Incentive Grant procedures because the District did not receive funding for this grant.

We did not perform procedures for the Nonclassroom-Based Instruction/Independent Study nor for Determination of Funding for Nonclassroom-Based Instruction because the Charter School is classroom-based.

Additionally, we did not perform procedures for the Charter School Facility Grant Program because the District did not receive funding for this program.

We are required to communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and any significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in internal control over compliance that we identify during the audit.

### ***Other Matters***

The results of our auditing procedures disclosed an instance of noncompliance, which is described in the accompanying Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs as item 2022-001.

*Government Auditing Standards* requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the District's response to the noncompliance findings identified in our audit and described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The District's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

## Report on Internal Control over Compliance

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of Compliance section above and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in internal control over compliance and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as discussed below, we did identify certain deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses.

*A deficiency in internal control over compliance* exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance on a timely basis. A *material weakness in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material noncompliance with compliance requirement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency in internal control over compliance* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance. We consider the deficiencies in internal control over compliance described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs as item 2022-001 to be a material weakness.

Our audit was not designed for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, no such opinion is expressed.

*Government Auditing Standards* requires the auditor to perform limited procedures on the District's response to the internal control over compliance findings identified in our audit described in the accompanying schedule of findings and questioned costs. The District's response was not subjected to the other auditing procedures applied in the audit of compliance and, accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the *2021-2022 Guide for Annual Audits of K-12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting*. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.



Fresno, California  
February 2, 2023



Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs  
June 30, 2022

# Salida Union School District

**Financial Statements**

Type of auditor's report issued	Unmodified
Internal control over financial reporting	
Material weaknesses identified	No
Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses	None Reported
Noncompliance material to financial statements noted?	No

**Federal Awards**

Internal control over major program	
Material weaknesses identified	No
Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses	None Reported
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs	Unmodified
Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with Uniform Guidance 2 CFR 200.516(a)	No

**Identification of major programs**

Name of Federal Program or Cluster	Federal Financial Assistance Listing/Federal CFDA Number
Head Start	93.600
Head Start - Early Head Start	93.600
Dollar threshold used to distinguish between type A and type B programs	\$ 750,000
Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?	Yes

**State Compliance**

Internal control over state compliance programs	
Material weaknesses identified	Yes
Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses	None Reported
Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for programs	Unmodified
Unmodified for all programs except for the following program which was qualified	

Name of Program
After/Before School Education and Safety Program

None reported.

None reported.

The following finding represents a material weakness in internal controls over State compliance and an instance of noncompliance including questioned costs that is required to be reported by the *2021-2022 Guide for Annual Audits of K-12 Local Education Agencies and State Compliance Reporting*. The finding has been coded as follows:

Five Digit Code	AB 3627 Finding Type
40000	State Compliance

**2022-001      40000 – After School Education and Safety Program**

**Criteria**

The After School Education and Safety Program establishes before and after school enrichment programs through *California Education Code* Section 8484.3(b) that partner with public schools and communities to provide academic and literacy support and safe, constructive alternatives for students in grades K-9. School districts that offer the program are required to take attendance and submit semi-annual attendance reports to the State in accordance with *California Education Code* Section 8483(a)(1).

**Condition**

During our audit of the District's After School Program, we discovered the monthly attendance summaries did not reconcile to what was reported to the State.

**Questioned Costs**

There is not questioned cost associated with this finding because funding is for a three-year program period and is not determined by the semi-annual After School Base attendance reports that the District submits each year to the California Department of Education.

**Effect**

District reported attendance on the 1st Half: After School Base report of 4,728 pupils for Mildred Perkins Elementary and 6,377 pupils for Salida Middle School. Upon review of the monthly attendance summaries, Auditor calculated 5,503 pupils for Mildred Perkins and 6,623 pupils for Salida Middle School. The District underreported 775 pupils for Mildred Perkins and 246 for Salida Middle School.

**Cause**

There was a lack of a final reconciliation procedure between the documents provided to Auditor and what was submitted to the State as attendance figures.

**Repeat Finding**

No.

**Recommendation**

We recommend the District reconcile and review all attendance records for the After School and Safety Program before submitting pupil counts to the State.

**Corrective Action Plan and Views of Responsible Officials**

The District will ensure the site reports are reviewed for completeness and the filed activity reports are reviewed by someone other than the preparer to ensure accuracy.



There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

## APPENDIX C

### GENERAL ECONOMIC AND DEMOGRAPHIC INFORMATION FOR THE COUNTY OF STANISLAUS

*The following information concerning the County of Stanislaus (the “County”) is presented for information purposes only. The following information has been obtained from the sources referenced as of the dates indicated. These sources are believed to be reliable but the information is not guaranteed as to accuracy or completeness, and is not, and should not be construed as, a representation by the District. The District comprises only a portion of the County. The Bonds are only payable from ad valorem property taxes levied on property in the District. The Bonds are not a debt or obligation of the County.*

*The historical data and results presented in the tables that follow may differ materially from future results as a result of economic or other factors, including as a result of the impact of COVID-19. For more information on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic, see “DISTRICT FINANCIAL INFORMATION – COVID-19 Outbreak and its Economic Impact” and “Effect of COVID-19 Response on California School Districts” herein.*

#### **General**

The County is located approximately 90 miles east of the City of San Francisco and 75 miles south of the City of Sacramento. The County is located in the Central Valley region of California, one of the fastest growing regions of the State. Agriculture and related industries, such as food-processing, are major industries in the County.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

## Population

The following table shows historical population statistics, from 2018 to 2022, for the City, other cities in the County, the unincorporated portion of the County, and the County as a whole.

**POPULATION  
CITIES OF STANISLAUS COUNTY  
Calendar Years 2018 through 2022**

	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022 <sup>(1)</sup>
Ceres	48,498	48,625	48,886	48,762	48,386
Hughson	7,218	7,231	7,260	7,495	7,495
Modesto	215,800	217,091	218,440	218,745	217,880
Newman	11,694	11,921	11,950	12,326	12,244
Oakdale	22,776	23,033	23,109	23,110	23,071
Patterson	22,762	23,086	23,150	23,839	24,370
Riverbank	24,856	25,041	25,133	24,735	24,583
Turlock	74,495	74,784	75,030	71,734	71,531
Waterford	8,852	8,855	8,913	8,944	8,872
Unincorporated	113,338	113,464	113,060	112,047	111,034
Incorporated	436,951	439,667	441,871	439,690	438,432
Total	550,289	553,131	554,931	551,737	549,466

<sup>(1)</sup> This column provides provisional population estimates for January 1, 2022.

Source: California State Department of Finance, *E-4 Population Estimates for Cities, Counties, and the State, 2011-2020, with 2010 Census Benchmark* and *E-4 Population Estimates for Cities, Counties, and the State, 2021-2022, with 2020 Census Benchmark*. Sacramento, California, May 2022.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

## Employment and Industry

The following table summarizes the civilian labor force, employment and unemployment in the County for the calendar years 2017 through 2021 (the most recent data available). These figures are county-wide statistics and may not necessarily accurately reflect employment trends in the District.

### STANISLAUS COUNTY Labor Force and Industry Employment by Annual Average

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021
Civilian Labor Force <sup>(1)</sup>	242,000	243,100	242,900	241,700	239,500
Employment	223,700	227,200	227,900	214,900	219,500
Unemployment	18,200	15,800	14,900	26,800	20,000
Unemployment Rate	7.5%	6.5%	6.2%	11.1%	8.4%
<u>Wage and Salary Employment:</u> <sup>(2)</sup>					
Farm	14,300	14,400	14,900	14,500	13,800
Mining, Logging and Construction	9,300	10,200	10,500	9,800	10,600
Manufacturing	21,400	21,600	21,400	21,200	22,000
Wholesale Trade	6,100	6,300	6,200	5,900	5,700
Retail Trade	23,200	23,200	22,800	21,900	23,000
Transportation, Warehousing and Utilities	7,600	7,900	8,700	9,000	8,900
Information	1,000	1,000	1,000	800	800
Financial Activities	5,300	5,300	5,300	5,100	4,900
Professional and Business Services	14,900	15,400	15,300	15,100	15,300
Education and Health Services	32,600	33,600	34,700	34,600	34,900
Leisure and Hospitality	19,200	19,300	19,200	15,800	18,100
Other Services	5,700	5,800	5,900	5,100	5,500
Government	28,300	29,500	30,300	28,800	28,400
Total All Industries <sup>(3)</sup>	189,100	193,600	196,200	187,600	191,700

<sup>(1)</sup> Labor force data is by place of residence; includes self-employed individuals, unpaid family workers, household domestic workers, and workers on strike.

<sup>(2)</sup> Industry employment is by place of work; excludes self-employed individuals, unpaid family workers, household domestic workers, and workers on strike.

<sup>(3)</sup> Totals may not add due to rounding.

Source: State of California Employment Development Department Labor Market Information Division, *Industry Employment and Labor Force by Annual Average, March 2021 Benchmark*. Sacramento, California, June 2022.

[Remainder of page intentionally left blank]

## Major Employers within the County

The County is host to a diverse mix of major employers representing industries ranging from agriculture and government to manufacturing, wholesale, health services, and education. The following table lists the County's major employers in 2022.

### COUNTY OF STANISLAUS 2022 MAJOR EMPLOYERS

Employer Name	Location	Industry
Amazon Fulfillment Ctr	Patterson	Mail Order Fulfillment Service
Bartles & Jaymes Co	Modesto	Wineries (mfrs)
Bronco Wine Co	Ceres	Wineries (mfrs)
Conagra Brands Inc	Oakdale	Food Products (whls)
Del Monte Foods Inc	Modesto	Food Products & Manufacturers
Duarte Nursery	Hughson	Nurserymen
Duarte Nursery Inc	Hughson	Nurserymen
E & J Gallo Winery	Modesto	Wineries (mfrs)
Emanuel Medical Ctr	Turlock	Hospitals
Foster Farms	Turlock	Poultry Processing Plants (mfrs)
Frito-Lay Inc	Modesto	Potato Chips (whls)
Health Services Agency	Modesto	Clinics
Memorial Medical Ctr	Modesto	Hospitals
Modesto Bee	Modesto	Newspapers (publishers/Mfrs)
Modesto Irrigation District	Modesto	Utilities
Oak Valley Hospital District	Oakdale	Health Care Management
Pacific Southwest Contr LLC	Modesto	Corrugated & Solid Fiber Boxes (mfrs)
Patterson City Office	Modesto	City Government-Executive Offices
Stanislaus County Welfare Dept	Modesto	Government Offices-County
Storer Coachways	Modesto	Buses-Charter & Rental
Sysco Central Ca-Whls Rstrnt	Modesto	Food Products (whls)
Temsa	Turlock	Nonclassified Establishments
Turlock Irrigation District	Turlock	Electric Companies
Vsp Products Inc	Newman	Dried/Dehydrated Fruits Vegetables
Walmart	Modesto	Department Stores

Source: State of California Employment Development Department extracted from *America's Labor Market Information System (ALMIS) Employer Database, 2022 1st Edition*.

## Commercial Activity

A summary of historic taxable sales in the County from 2017 through 2021 (the most recent data available) is shown in the following tables.

**COUNTY OF STANISLAUS**  
**Taxable Retail Sales**  
**Number of Permits and Valuation of Taxable Transactions**  
**(Dollars in Thousands)**

	Retail and Food Stores		Total All Outlets	
	Number of Permits	Taxable Transactions	Number of Permits	Taxable Transactions
2017	7,245	\$6,054,078	11,210	\$ 8,972,620
2018	7,297	6,245,295	11,647	9,298,941
2019	7,442	6,465,963	12,084	9,679,826
2020	8,329	6,931,238	13,500	10,087,295
2021	7,848	8,695,930	12,854	12,336,565

Source: California Department of Tax and Fee Administration, *Taxable Sales - Counties by Type of Business (Taxable Table 3)*, last updated January 23, 2023.

## Income

Total personal income in the County increased by 29.5% between 2017 and 2021. Per capita personal income in the County increased by 27.9% between 2017 and 2021.

**COUNTY OF STANISLAUS**  
**Personal Income**  
**2017-2021**  
**(Dollars in Thousands)**

Year	County of Stanislaus	California	United States
2017	\$22,352,648	\$2,318,280,905	\$16,837,337,000
2018	22,944,298	2,431,773,865	17,671,054,000
2019	24,138,747	2,567,425,620	18,575,467,000
2020	27,153,448	2,790,523,455	19,812,171,000
2021	28,952,717	3,006,183,929	21,288,709,000

Source: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, *SAINCI State annual personal income summary: personal income, population, per capita personal income* and *CAINCI County and MSA personal income summary: personal income, population, per capita personal income* (accessed Monday, February 6, 2023).

**COUNTY OF STANISLAUS**  
**Per Capita Personal Income<sup>(1)</sup>**  
**2017-2021**  
**(Dollars in Thousands)<sup>(2)</sup>**

Year	County of Stanislaus	California	United States
2017	\$40,922	\$58,804	\$51,550
2018	41,729	61,508	53,786
2019	43,729	64,919	56,250
2020	49,128	70,647	59,765
2021	52,356	76,614	64,143

<sup>(1)</sup> Per capita personal income was computed using Census Bureau midyear population estimates. BEA produced intercensal annual county population statistics for 2010 to 2019 that are tied to the Census Bureau decennial counts for 2010 and 2020.

<sup>(2)</sup> All dollar estimates are in thousands of current dollars (not adjusted for inflation).

Source: U.S. Bureau of Economic Analysis, *SAINCI State annual personal income summary: personal income, population, per capita personal income* and *CAINCI County and MSA personal income summary: personal income, population, per capita personal income* (accessed Monday, February 6, 2023).



## APPENDIX D

### FORM OF CONTINUING DISCLOSURE AGREEMENT

This Continuing Disclosure Agreement (this “Disclosure Agreement”) is executed and delivered by the Salida Union School District (the “District”) in connection with the execution and delivery of \$\_\_\_\_\_ aggregate principal amount of the District’s General Obligation Bonds, 2020 Election, 2023 Series B (the “Bonds”). The Bonds are being issued pursuant to a resolution adopted by the Board of Trustees of the District on February 21, 2023 (the “Resolution”). Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed thereto in the Resolution.

In consideration of the execution and delivery of the Bonds by the District and the purchase of such Bonds by the Underwriter described below, the District hereby covenants and agrees as follows:

SECTION 1. Purpose of the Disclosure Agreement. This Disclosure Agreement is being executed and delivered by the District for the benefit of the Bondholders and in order to assist Oppenheimer & Co. Inc. (the “Underwriter”) in complying with Rule 15c2-12(b)(5) (the “Rule”) adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

SECTION 2. Additional Definitions. In addition to the above definitions and the definitions set forth in the Resolution, the following capitalized terms shall have the following meanings:

“Annual Report” shall mean any Annual Report provided by the District pursuant to, and as described in, Sections 4 and 5 of this Disclosure Agreement.

“Bondholder” or “Holder” means any holder of the Bonds or any beneficial owner of the Bonds so long as they are immobilized with DTC.

“Dissemination Agent” shall mean any Dissemination Agent, or any alternate or successor Dissemination Agent, designated in writing by the Superintendent (or otherwise by the District), which Agent has evidenced its acceptance in writing. The Dissemination Agent shall be Dale Scott & Company.

“Financial Obligation” shall mean a (i) debt obligation; (ii) derivative instrument entered into in connection with, or pledged as security or a source of payment for, an existing or planned debt obligation; or (iii) guarantee of (i) or (ii). The term financial obligation shall not include municipal securities as to which a final official statement has been provided to the MSRB consistent with the Rule.

“Listed Event” means any of the events listed in Section 6 of this Disclosure Agreement.

“MSRB” shall mean the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, through its electronic municipal market access system, which can be found at <http://emma.msrb.org/>, or any repository of disclosure information that may be designated by the Securities and Exchange Commission for purposes of the Rule.

SECTION 3. CUSIP Numbers and Final Official Statement. The CUSIP Numbers for the Bonds have been assigned. The Final Official Statement relating to the Bonds is dated \_\_\_\_\_, 2023 (“Final Official Statement”).

SECTION 4. Provision of Annual Reports.

(a) The District shall cause the Dissemination Agent, not later than nine (9) months after the end of the District's fiscal year (currently ending June 30), which date would be March 31, commencing with the report for the fiscal year ending June 30, 2023, which would be due on March 31, 2024, to provide to the MSRB an Annual Report which is consistent with the requirements of Section 5 of this Disclosure Agreement. The Annual Report may be submitted as a single document or as separate documents comprising a package, and may cross-reference other information as provided in Section 5 of this Disclosure Agreement; provided that the audited financial statements of the District may be submitted, when and if available, separately from the balance of the relevant Annual Report.

(b) If the District is unable to provide to the MSRB an Annual Report by the date required in paragraph (a) above, the District, in a timely manner, shall send a notice to the MSRB in substantially the form attached as Exhibit A.

(c) The Dissemination Agent shall:

(i) determine the name and address of the MSRB each year prior to the date established hereunder for providing the Annual Report; and

(ii) if the Dissemination Agent is other than the District or an official of the District, the Dissemination Agent shall file a report with the District certifying that the Annual Report has been provided pursuant to this Disclosure Agreement, stating the date it was provided and listing all the Repositories to which it was provided.

SECTION 5. Content of Annual Report. The District's Annual Report shall contain or incorporate by reference the following:

(a) Financial information including the general purpose financial statements of the District for the preceding fiscal year, prepared in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles as prescribed by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board and the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. If audited financial information is not available by the time the Annual Report is required to be filed pursuant to Section 4(a) hereof, the financial information included in the Annual Report may be unaudited, and the District will provide audited financial information to the MSRB as soon as practical after it has been made available to the District.

(b) Operating data, including the following information with respect to the District's preceding fiscal year (to the extent not included in the audited financial statements described in paragraph (a) above):

(i) General fund budget for the current fiscal year;

(ii) Assessed valuations for the current fiscal year;

(iii) Property tax levy, collections, and delinquencies, only if the County terminates or discontinues the Teeter Plan within the District; and

(iv) Top 20 largest local secured taxpayers, as shown on the most recent equalized assessment roll.

(c) Any or all of the items listed above may be incorporated by reference from other documents, including official statements of debt issues of the District or related public entities, which have been submitted to the MSRB or to the Securities and Exchange Commission. If the document incorporated by reference is a final official statement, it must be available from the MSRB. The District shall clearly identify each other document so incorporated by reference.

SECTION 6. Reporting of Significant Events.

(a) The District agrees to provide or cause to be provided to the MSRB, in readable PDF or other electronic format as prescribed by the MSRB, notice of the occurrence of any of the following events with respect to the Bonds not later than ten (10) business days after the occurrence of the event:

- (i) Principal and interest payment delinquencies;
- (ii) Unscheduled draws on any debt service reserves reflecting financial difficulties;
- (iii) Unscheduled draws on any credit enhancements reflecting financial difficulties;
- (iv) Substitution of or failure to perform by any credit provider;
- (v) Adverse tax opinions with respect to the tax status of the Bonds or the issuance by the Internal Revenue Service of proposed or final determination of taxability or of a Notice of Proposed Issue (IRS Form 5701 TEB) with respect to the Bonds;
- (vi) Tender Offers;
- (vii) Defeasances;
- (viii) Rating changes;
- (ix) Bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership or similar event of the obligated person; or
- (x) Default, event of acceleration, termination event, modification of terms, or other similar events under the terms of a Financial Obligation of the obligated person which reflect financial difficulties.

(b) The District shall give, or cause to be given, notice of the occurrence of any of the following events with respect to the securities, if material, not later than ten (10) business days after the occurrence of the event:

- (i) Unless described in paragraph 6(a)(v) hereof, other material notices or determinations by the Internal Revenue Service with respect to the tax status of the securities or other material events affecting the tax status of the securities;
- (ii) Modifications of rights to security holders;

- (iii) Bond calls;
- (iv) Release, substitution or sale of property securing repayment of the securities;
- (v) Non-payment related defaults;
- (vi) The consummation of a merger, consolidation, or acquisition involving an obligated person or the sale of all or substantially all of the assets of the obligated person, other than in the ordinary course of business, the entry into a definitive agreement to undertake such an action or the termination of a definitive agreement relating to any such actions, other than pursuant to its terms;
- (vii) Appointment of a successor or additional Paying Agent or Trustee or the change of name of a Paying Agent or Trustee; and
- (viii) Incurrence of a Financial Obligation of the obligated person or agreement to covenants, events of default, remedies, priority rights, or other similar terms of a Financial Obligation of the obligated person, any of which affect security holders;

(c) The District shall give, or cause to be given, in a timely manner, notice of a failure to provide the annual financial information on or before the date specified in Section 4 hereof, as provided in Section 4(b) hereof.

(d) Whenever the District obtains knowledge of the occurrence of a Listed Event described in Section 6(a) hereof, or determines that knowledge of a Listed Event described in Section 6(b) hereof would be material under applicable federal securities laws, the District shall within ten (10) business days of occurrence file a notice of such occurrence with the MSRB in electronic format, accompanied by such identifying information as is prescribed by the MSRB. Notwithstanding the foregoing, notice of the Listed Event described in subsection (b)(iii) need not be given under this subsection any earlier than the notice (if any) of the underlying event is given to Holders of affected Bonds pursuant to the Resolution.

SECTION 7. Termination of Reporting Obligation. The District's obligations under this Disclosure Agreement shall terminate when the District is no longer an obligated person with respect to the Bonds, as provided in the Rule, upon the defeasance, prior redemption or payment in full of all of the Bonds.

SECTION 8. Dissemination Agent. The Superintendent may, from time to time, appoint or engage an alternate or successor Dissemination Agent to assist in carrying out the District's obligations under this Disclosure Agreement, and may discharge any such Dissemination Agent, with or without appointing a successor Dissemination Agent.

The Dissemination Agent shall be entitled to the protections, limitations from liability, immunities and indemnities provided to the Paying Agent as set forth in the Resolution which are incorporated by reference herein. The Dissemination Agent agrees to perform only those duties of the Dissemination Agent specifically set forth in the Disclosure Agreement, and no implied duties, covenants or obligations shall be read into this Disclosure Agreement against the Dissemination Agent.

The Dissemination Agent shall have no duty or obligation to review the Annual Report nor shall the Dissemination Agent be responsible for filing any Annual Report not provided to it by the District in a timely manner in a form suitable for filing. In accepting the appointment under this Disclosure Agreement, the Dissemination Agent is not acting in a fiduciary capacity to the registered holders or beneficial owners of the Bonds, the District, or any other party or person.

The Dissemination Agent may consult with counsel of its choice and shall be protected in any action taken or not taken by it in accordance with the advice or opinion of such counsel. No provision of this Disclosure Agreement shall require the Dissemination Agent to risk or advance or expend its own funds or incur any financial liability. The Dissemination Agent shall have the right to resign from its duties as Dissemination Agent under this Disclosure Agreement upon thirty days' written notice to the District. The Dissemination Agent shall be entitled to compensation for its services as Dissemination Agent and reimbursement for its out-of-pocket expenses, attorney's fees, costs and advances made or incurred in the performance of its duties under this Disclosure Agreement in accordance with its written fee schedule provided to the District, as such fee schedule may be amended from time to time in writing. The District agrees to indemnify and hold the Dissemination Agent harmless from and against any cost, claim, expense, cost or liability related to or arising from the acceptance of and performance of the duties of the Dissemination Agent hereunder, provided the Dissemination Agent shall not be indemnified to the extent of its willful misconduct or negligence. The obligations of the District under this Section shall survive the termination or discharge of this Disclosure Agreement and the Bonds.

SECTION 9. Amendment. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Disclosure Agreement, the District may amend this Disclosure Agreement under the following conditions, provided no amendment to this Disclosure Agreement shall be made that affects the rights, duties or obligations of the Dissemination Agent without its written consent:

(a) The amendment may be made only in connection with a change in circumstances that arises from a change in legal requirements, change in law or change in the identity, nature or status of the obligated person, or type of business conducted;

(b) This Disclosure Agreement, as amended, would have complied with the requirements of the Rule at the time of the primary offering of the Bonds, after taking into account any amendments or interpretations of the Rule, as well as any change in circumstances; and

(c) The amendment does not materially impair the interests of Holders, as determined either by parties unaffiliated with the District or another obligated person (such as the Bond Counsel) or by the written approval of the Bondholders; provided, that the Annual Report containing the amended operating data or financial information shall explain, in narrative form, the reasons for the amendment and the impact of the change in the type of operating data or financial information being provided.

SECTION 10. Additional Information. If the District chooses to include any information from any document or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event in addition to that which is specifically required by this Disclosure Agreement, the District shall have no obligation under this Disclosure Agreement to update such information or to include it in any future disclosure or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event.

Nothing in this Disclosure Agreement shall be deemed to prevent the District from disseminating any other information, using the means of dissemination set forth in this Disclosure Agreement or any other means of communication, or including any other information in any Annual Report or notice of occurrence of a Listed Event, in addition to that which is required by this Disclosure Agreement.

SECTION 11. Default. The District shall give notice to the MSRB of any failure to provide the Annual Report when the same is due hereunder, which notice shall be given prior to July 1 of that year. In the event of a failure of the District to comply with any provision of this Disclosure Agreement, any Bondholder may take such actions as may be necessary and appropriate, including seeking mandate or specific performance by court order, to cause the District to comply with its obligations under this Disclosure Agreement. A default under this Disclosure Agreement shall not be deemed an event of default under the Resolution, and the sole remedy under this Disclosure Agreement in the event of any failure of the District to comply with this Disclosure Agreement shall be an action to compel performance.

SECTION 12. Beneficiaries. This Disclosure Agreement shall inure solely to the benefit of the District, the Dissemination Agent, the Underwriter and Holders from time to time of the Bonds, and shall create no rights in any other person or entity.

SECTION 13. Governing Law. This Disclosure Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State, applicable to contracts made and performed in such State.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2023

SALIDA UNION SCHOOL DISTRICT

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Superintendent

Acceptance of duties as Dissemination Agent:

Dale Scott & Company

By: \_\_\_\_\_  
Authorized Officer

EXHIBIT A

NOTICE TO REPOSITORIES OF FAILURE TO FILE ANNUAL REPORT

Name of Issuer: Salida Union School District

Name of Issue: \$\_\_\_\_\_ General Obligation Bonds, 2020 Election, 2023 Series B

Date of Issuance: \_\_\_\_\_, 2023

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the above-named Issuer has not provided an Annual Report with respect to the above-named Bonds as required by Section 4(a) of the Continuing Disclosure Agreement dated \_\_\_\_\_, 2023. The Issuer anticipates that the Annual Report will be filed by \_\_\_\_\_.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

[ISSUER/DISSEMINATION AGENT]

By: \_\_\_\_\_

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]



**APPENDIX E**

**STANISLAUS COUNTY INVESTMENT POLICY STATEMENT**

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

2022

STANISLAUS COUNTY  
TREASURY POOL  
INVESTMENT POLICY



Donna Riley  
Treasurer – Tax Collector  
Effective Date: July 1, 2022

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1. Purpose .....	2
2. Scope .....	2
3. Objective .....	2
4. Delegation of Authority .....	3
5. Prudent Investor Standard .....	3
6. Ethics and Conflicts of Interest.....	3
7. Treasury Oversight Committee .....	3
8. Limits on Honoraria, Gifts, and Gratuities .....	4
9. Authorized Broker/Dealers and Institutions .....	4
10. Authorized Investments .....	5
11. Non-Authorized Investments .....	5
12. Due Diligence.....	5
13. Review of Investment Portfolio.....	6
14. Collateralization .....	6
15. Safekeeping & Custody .....	6
16. Diversification .....	6
17. Maximum Maturities.....	6
18. Internal Controls .....	7
19. Performance Standards.....	7
20. Reporting.....	7
21. Investment Policy Adoption .....	8
22. Investment Pool Expenses.....	8
23. Agencies’ Voluntary Depositing and Withdrawal .....	8
24. Investment Earnings Apportionment and Rate.....	9
25. Exemptions and Amendments .....	9
<b>Attachments:</b>	
I – Authorized Investments .....	10
II – Glossary.....	16

## **1. Purpose**

The purpose of the Stanislaus County Treasury Pool Investment Policy ("Policy") is to provide guidance for the investment of funds in excess of the current day anticipated expenditures. Investment responsibility has been entrusted and delegated to the Stanislaus County Treasurer ("Treasurer") in accordance with California State Law ("Law") and local ordinance. This policy is intended to provide guidelines to ensure compliance with State and local laws and the prudent money management and investment of funds in the Stanislaus County Treasury Pool ("Pool").

## **2. Scope**

This investment policy applies to all financial assets and investment activity of the County of Stanislaus ("County") including monies entrusted to the Treasurer and deposited into the Pool by County departments, County & City Schools, and Special Districts. The funds covered by this Policy are accounted for and incorporated in the County of Stanislaus Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and include:

- 2.1. General Fund
- 2.2. Special Revenue Funds
- 2.3. Debt Service Funds
- 2.4. Capital Project Funds
- 2.5. Enterprise Funds
- 2.6. Internal Service Funds
- 2.7. Fiduciary Funds

## **3. Objective**

The investment program shall provide for daily cash flow requirements while following the objectives of this Policy in priority order of Safety, Liquidity, and Return on Investment. In accordance with Government Code 53600.5, the primary objectives of the investment program for the Pool, in priority order, shall be:

### **3.1. Safety**

Safety of principal is the foremost objective of the investment program. Investments shall be undertaken in a prudent manner as to ensure the preservation and return of capital in the overall portfolio. To attain this objective, investments will only be made in highly rated or strongly collateralized securities with a very high probability of maintaining the principal amount invested. The County will diversify its investments by type, issuer, and maturity among a variety of securities with independent returns.

### **3.2. Liquidity**

The investment portfolio will remain sufficiently liquid to meet all anticipated cash flow requirements of all the Pool's depositors. This may be accomplished through a variety of investment strategies, such as laddering investment maturities to meet historical cash flow needs, seasonal disbursements, and one-time disbursement requests by depositors. To further ensure liquidity, a portion of the Pool may be in highly liquid securities which can easily be sold on the secondary market or matched to known expenditures such as bond payments. In addition, reserves may be held in a local government investment pool offering same day withdrawal.

### 3.3. Return on Investments

The investment portfolio strategy shall be designed with the objective of achieving a competitive market rate of return or yield, while adhering to credit quality requirements and liquidity needs. A market rate of return should correspond with the County's investment risk constraints identified in the Policy and the cash flow requirements of the depositors. Due to the primary objectives of safety and liquidity, the portfolio's yield may be lower than that of a higher risk and/or longer maturity investment pool.

### 3.4. Strategy

The core investment strategy will call for securities to be held to maturity. The following exceptions may apply:

- 3.4.1 Liquidity needs of the portfolio require a security to be sold;
- 3.4.2 A security with declining credit may be sold early to minimize loss of principal; or
- 3.4.3 Sale of a security before maturity may be made if such sale will allow investment in a higher yielding instrument and any loss upon sale can be more than compensated by additional interest earnings within a six-month period.

## 4. Delegation of Authority

In accordance with Government Code Section 27000-27013 and Government Code 53607, the Stanislaus County Board of Supervisors ("Board") has delegated the daily investment of Pool funds to the Treasurer. This is an annual delegation given to the Treasurer by the Board and can be revoked at any time. The Treasurer is responsible for all transactions undertaken and for establishing a system of controls to regulate the activities of staff authorized to invest, specifically the Assistant Treasurer-Tax Collector and the Chief Deputy Treasurer, and their procedures in the absence of the Treasurer.

## 5. Prudent Investor Standard

In accordance with Government Code 53600.3, the County Treasurer is a fiduciary subject to the "Prudent Investor Standard" which shall be applied in the context of managing the overall portfolio. When investing, reinvesting, purchasing, acquiring, exchanging, selling, or managing public funds, the Treasurer shall act with care, skill, prudence, and diligence to meet the objectives set forth in the Policy to safeguard investment principal, maintain liquidity needs of the County and earn a reasonable competitive market rate of return.

## 6. Ethics and Conflicts of Interest

Investment officials shall refrain from personal business activity that could conflict with proper execution and management of the Policy and investment program, or which could impair their ability to make impartial decisions. Investment officials must provide a public disclosure document (Form 700) by February 1 of each year or when material interest in financial institutions or personal investment positions require it. Furthermore, investment officials must refrain from undertaking personal investment transactions with the same individual(s) employed by the financial institution with whom business is conducted on behalf of the County. All bond issue participants, including but not limited to, underwriters, bond counsel, financial advisors, brokers, and dealers will disclose any fee sharing arrangements or fee splitting to the Treasurer prior to the execution of any transactions.

## 7. Treasury Oversight Committee

In accordance with Government Codes 27130 - 27137 and 53646, the Board established a Treasury Oversight Committee ("Committee") in 1996. The purpose of the Committee is to review and monitor the Policy and regular reporting thereof. The Committee will cause an annual audit to be conducted to determine compliance with this Policy.

This policy shall be reviewed by the Treasurer annually, and any changes prepared by the Treasurer shall be reviewed and approved for propriety by the Committee, prior to being submitted for review and approval by the Board at a public meeting. The members of the Committee are the County Auditor-Controller (or designee), the County Superintendent of Schools (or designee) and a member of the public familiar with the investment industry.

In 2004, Government Code Section 27131 (b) was added to remove the mandate requiring counties to have a Committee. The Committee is now optional and no longer required. However, Stanislaus County chooses to maintain its Treasury Oversight Committee functionality as an added layer of oversight and transparency to the compliance of investment policies and government code.

Pursuant to Government Code Sections 27132.1, 27132.2 and 27132.3, Committee members are prohibited from:

- 7.1. Being an employee of an entity, which has contributed to the campaign fund of any candidate for local treasurer or legislative body either during membership or three years prior to membership.
- 7.2. Raising any money for a candidate for local treasurer or governing board.
- 7.3. Securing employment with bond underwriters, bond counsel, security brokerages or dealers, or like financial services while a Committee member or for three years after leaving the Committee.

An annual certification of compliance as prepared by the Treasurer shall be submitted by Committee members.

Pursuant to Government Code Section 27132.4, Committee meetings shall be open to the public and subject to the Ralph M. Brown Act.

## **8. Limits on Honoraria, Gifts, and Gratuities**

A limit of \$50 per calendar year is placed on the receipt of honoraria, gifts, and gratuities from advisors, brokers, dealers, bankers, or other persons with whom the County Treasury ("Treasury") conducts business by any member of the Committee, the Treasurer and any staff involved in the investment process. A Statement of Economic Interests (Form 700 from the California Fair Political Practices Commission) will be collected from the Treasurer-Tax Collector, Assistant Treasurer-Tax Collector, and Chief Deputy Treasurer on an annual basis. Committee members will confirm their understanding and agreement with these limitations annually.

The acceptance of transportation, meals, and/or refreshments received during regularly scheduled conferences (such as the California Association of County Treasurers and Tax Collectors – CACTTC) are not prohibited by this Policy.

## **9. Authorized Broker/Dealers and Institutions**

In accordance with Government Code 53601.5, the Treasurer shall maintain an approved list of broker/dealers and institutions authorized to provide investment services to the County. The approved list may include "primary" or regional dealers qualified under Securities & Exchange Commission Rule 15C3-1 (uniform net capital rule) with a minimum capitalization of \$250,000 and have at least one major office in the State of California. Broker/Dealers staff assigned to Stanislaus County accounts must have at least five years of experience in California public agency investing with knowledge of investment products acceptable under the Stanislaus County Investment Policy. The firms and individuals assigned to the County accounts shall be reputable and trustworthy. No public deposit shall be made except in a qualified public depository as established by Law. All financial institutions and broker/dealers proposing to conduct investment transactions with the County shall supply the following to the Treasurer for review:

- 9.1. Proof of registration with the Financial Industry Regulation Authority (FINRA)
- 9.2. Proof of registration with the State of California
- 9.3. Completed Broker/Dealer questionnaire
- 9.4. Certification of review and willingness to comply with all aspects of this Policy.

Broker/Dealers are prohibited from making political contributions to any candidate for the Board or Treasurer, which exceed the limitations contained in Rule G-37 of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board. The County is prohibited from selecting any broker/dealer or security firm within any consecutive 48-month period following a contribution exceeding the limit set forth in the above rule.

A review of the financial condition and registrations of previously approved Broker/Dealer firms will be conducted by the Treasurer, at least annually or more often, as needed. A current audited financial statement is required to be on file for each financial institution and broker/dealer on the County's approved list.

#### **10. Authorized Investments**

In accordance with Government Code 53601 and 53635, investments will only be made in authorized securities with a maturity date of five (5) years or less from the transaction settlement date unless otherwise approved by the Board. Such approval must be issued no less than three months prior to the purchase of any security exceeding the five-year maturity limit.

For the purpose of approved investments and compliance with the investment percentage limits compared to the overall portfolio balance, calculations shall be performed on the date the investment is purchased. If the percentage is legally compliant on the date of purchase, then compliance with the Law shall have been met. Calculations are to be based on the final maturity date, and neither duration nor average days may be used.

The investment instruments listed in Attachment 1 are authorized for investment and any instrument not listed are specifically prohibited. Authorized investments are allowed under California State Government Code 53601 and 53635 and concentration limitations are equivalent to, or more conservative than, the code allows.

#### **11. Non-Authorized Investments**

In accordance with Government Code 53601.6, investments in derivative securities such as inverse floaters, range notes, or mortgage-derived, interest-only strips or any securities that could result in zero interest accrual if held to maturity are prohibited.

#### **12. Due Diligence - Investment Pools and Mutual Funds**

Due diligence shall be performed by investigating any pool or fund prior to investing and on an annual basis thereafter. A completed questionnaire will be required which will answer the following questions:

- 12.1. A description of eligible investment securities, and a written statement of investment policy and objectives.
- 12.2. A description of interest calculations and how interest is distributed, and how gains and losses are treated.
- 12.3. A description of how the securities are safeguarded (including the settlement processes), how often the securities are priced, and the how often the program is audited for compliance.
- 12.4. A description of who may invest in the program, how often, what size deposit and withdrawal are allowed.
- 12.5. A schedule for receiving statements and portfolio listings.



12.6. Are reserves, retained earnings, etc. utilized by the pool/fund?

12.7. A fee schedule, and when and how is it assessed.

12.8. Is the pool/fund eligible for bond proceeds and/or will it accept such proceeds?

All Due Diligence reviews will be maintained with the annual investment files for a period of five (5) years. Third-party safekeeping arrangements will be approved by the Treasurer and will be corroborated by a written custodial agreement.

### **13. Review of Investment Portfolio**

The securities held by the Pool must be in compliance with Section 10.0 Authorized Investments at the time of purchase. The Treasurer shall review the portfolio, at least monthly, to identify any securities that may not comply with Section 10.0 Authorized Investments after the date of purchase and establish a procedure to report to the Board and Committee any major or critical incidences of non-compliance identified through review of the portfolio. To ensure diversification of the portfolio, purchases of the following types of investments will limit commercial issuers to 10% across all asset types:

- 13.1 Certificates of Deposit
- 13.2 Negotiable Certificates of Deposit
- 13.3 Yankee Certificates of Deposit
- 13.4 Commercial Paper
- 13.5 Medium-Term and Corporate Notes

### **14. Collateralization**

In accordance with Government Code 53601, collateralization will be required on certificates of deposit and repurchase agreements. To anticipate market changes and provide a level of security for all funds, the collateralization level will be 110% of market value for certificates of deposit and 102% or greater based on the collateral type for repurchase agreements. Collateralization of any investment will be in accordance with securities approved under this policy. Collateral will always be held by an independent third party with whom the entity has a current custodial agreement. A clearly marked evidence of ownership (safekeeping receipt) must be supplied to the entity and retained. The right of collateral substitution is granted.

### **15. Safekeeping & Custody**

In accordance with Government Code 53608, all security transactions, including collateral for repurchase agreements, entered into by the Treasurer shall be conducted on a delivery-versus-payment (DVP) basis. Securities will be held by a third-party custodian designated by the Treasurer and evidenced by safekeeping statements. Third-party safekeeping arrangements will be approved by the Treasurer and will be corroborated by a written custodial agreement.

### **16. Diversification**

The Treasurer will diversify the Pool investments by security type and institution to achieve a diversified mix of independent maturities. No more than 10% of the total portfolio may be invested in the securities of any single issuer, across all investment types, other than the US Government, its agencies and instrumentalities.

### **17. Maximum Maturities**

Investment purchases shall not include securities maturing more than five years from the date of purchase unless previously approved by the County Board of Supervisors. If approved by the Board,

reserve funds may be invested in securities exceeding five years if the maturity of such investments is made to coincide as nearly as practicable with the expected use of the funds. Board approval must be issued no less than three months prior to the purchase of any security exceeding the five-year maturity limit.

### **18. Internal Controls**

The Treasurer is responsible for establishing and maintaining an internal control structure designed to ensure that the assets of the Pool are protected from loss, theft, fraud or misuse. Accordingly, the Treasurer shall budget for independent review by an external auditor, with the scope of the audit to be determined by the Auditor-Controller in consultation of the Treasury Oversight Committee. This review will provide internal control by assuring investment transactions are in compliance with policies, procedures and laws.

The annual audit shall be supervised by a Certified Public Accountant (CPA) who shall render an opinion to the Committee. The opinion shall be forwarded to the Board for review and acceptance. The selection of the CPA shall be by the Stanislaus County Auditor-Controller as a Committee member.

### **19. Performance Standards**

The investment portfolio shall be designed with the objective of earning a rate of return throughout budgetary cycles, corresponding with the investment risk constraints and the cash flow needs of the Pool.

### **20. Reporting**

In accordance with Government Code 53607, a monthly report shall be prepared by the Treasurer no later than 30 days following the end of the monthly reporting period. A copy of the report will be forwarded to Committee members, and the Treasurer will maintain a file of their acceptance. The report will be forwarded to the Board for final review and acceptance. The report will be provided through both the Board and Treasurer's web sites.

The monthly report shall include:

- 20.1. A concise management summary of Pool activity and position rendered with statements of review and reconciliation with custodial records, source of market valuation, ability to meet next six (6) month's expenditures and for compliance with this Policy by the Treasurer.
- 20.2. A detailed listing of securities held at the end of the month grouped by investment type (e.g. CD, CP, MTN) and delineated as follows:
  - 20.2.1. Issuing agency (e.g. U.S. Government, FHLB, Toyota Motor Credit)
  - 20.2.2. Date purchased
  - 20.2.3. Date of maturity
  - 20.2.4. Par Value
  - 20.2.5. Book Value
  - 20.2.6. Market value
  - 20.2.7. Stated rate (coupon rate)
  - 20.2.8. Yield-to-Maturity
  - 20.2.9. Days-to-Maturity
- 20.3. A detailed listing of security transactions during the report period (purchases, sales and maturities) grouped by investment type and to include the following:

- 20.3.1. Date of transaction
- 20.3.2. Issuing agency (e.g. U.S. Government, FHLB, Toyota Motor Credit)
- 20.3.3. Purchase, Deposit, Sale, Maturity or Withdrawal Amount
- 20.3.4. Stated rate (coupon rate)
- 20.3.5. A summary of Pool position by investment type dollar amount, percentage of total portfolio and average weighted maturity showing compliance with Policy limitations.
- 20.3.6. A summary by investment type of purchases and sales/maturities and ending position.

## **21. Investment Policy Adoption**

In accordance with Government Code 53646, the Policy shall be adopted by resolution of the Board. The Policy shall be reviewed annually by the Board and any modifications made thereto must be approved by the Board.

The Treasurer shall establish written procedures for the operation of the investment program consistent with this Policy. The procedures will include reference to: safekeeping, master repurchase agreements, wire transfer agreements, banking service contracts and collateral/depository agreements. Such procedures shall include explicit delegation of authority to persons responsible for investment transactions. No person may engage in an investment transaction except as provided under the terms of this Policy and the procedures established by the Treasurer.

## **22. Investment Pool Expenses**

The expenses for administration of the Pool shall be borne by all depositors by the utilization of investment earnings to offset the costs. Costs include normal Treasury costs for staff and support services in the areas of:

- 22.1. Handling, safekeeping, and depositing monies received;
- 22.2. Investment transactions and custodial safekeeping of securities;
- 22.3. Bank services; accounting, reporting, and auditing of deposit and investment transactions;
- 22.4. Training, informational, and educational materials and services related to financial markets, investments, and individual business and governmental entities' financial condition; and
- 22.5. Other duties and costs related to the management of Pool funds.

Appropriate costs normally charged as "Treasury/org 30400" on the Stanislaus County Auditor-Controller's records will incorporate and clearly define the Pool expenses.

## **23. Agencies' Voluntary Depositing and Withdrawal**

"Voluntary" agency depositing is discouraged due to the potential volatility of depositing and withdrawal, which may occur. The Pool is designed as an operating fund for the County and entities, which are required to deposit by Law or have historically utilized the efficiencies of the Treasury. Only those agencies which use the Treasury for operational purposes due to their ties to County departmental functions, area schools or special public districts and are either required or allowed to deposit funds in the Treasury are allowed to be participants in the Pool.

Withdrawals from the Pool, for investment purposes outside of the Pool, by non-County member agencies may be done if the following conditions are met:

- 23.1. The agency has provided the Treasurer with legal authority that it can invest funds outside of the Pool and specifying that responsibility for investment of funds now resides with the agency;

- 23.2. The agency shows evidence of maintaining a minimum cash balance of one month's normal payroll expenditures for 30 days prior to the date of request as verified by the County Auditor-Controller;
- 23.3. The agency withdraws a minimum of \$1,000,000 and will continue to maintain a minimum Pool cash balance of one month's normal payroll costs;
- 23.4. The agency makes its request in writing signed by an authorized representative of the agency's board; and
- 23.5. The agency must allow two business days for each five million dollars or increment thereof which is being withdrawn (e.g. a \$15,000,000 withdrawal would require that the Treasurer receive a completed request form with appropriate signatures and verifications 6 business days before the funds are released)

If the withdrawing agency's Pool cash balance falls below one month's payroll expense, the Treasurer may demand that funds be retrieved to restore the Pool cash balance to such level.

Reinvestment of funds from external investments (e.g. California State Local Agency Investment Fund) may be done without the above procedures. The Treasurer's Office may verify with the Auditor's Office, by email, that the agency has one month's payroll expenditures as cash in the Pool exclusive of the redemption of the external investment funds.

**24. Investment Earnings Apportionment and Rate**

The Pool's investment earnings shall be apportioned by the following method. The investment earnings, which have been received in cash and accumulated from the beginning to the end of each calendar quarter, shall be apportioned to each cash balance fund maintained within the Pool. The apportionment of earnings to any cash balance fund will be in direct proportion of that fund's average daily cash balance to the entire Pool's average daily cash balance for that same quarter.

Example:

Earnings received for the quarter ending March 31	\$5,000,000
Fund ABC average daily cash balance during the quarter	\$10,000,000
Treasury Pool average daily cash balance during the quarter	\$500,000,000
Interest earnings apportioned to Fund ABC would be calculated as \$5,000,000 x (\$10,000,000/\$500,000,000)	\$100,000

Cash balance accounts shall be maintained in and earnings apportionment shall be performed by the County Auditor-Controller's Office.

The cash earnings apportionment rate is calculated as the investment earnings received on a cash basis for the quarter divided by the average daily cash balance for the entire Pool, annualized (times four). In the example above the cash earnings apportionment rate would be 4% [(\$5,000,000 / \$500,000,000) x 4].

**25. Exemptions and Amendments**

Any investment held prior to the adoption of changes to this Policy, which does not meet the revised guidelines of the Policy, shall be exempted from the requirements. Upon that investment's maturity or liquidation, the monies received shall be invested in accordance with this Policy.

**ATTACHMENT I – AUTHORIZED INVESTMENTS**

<b>Authorized Investments</b>	<b>Authorized by CA Gov Code</b>	<b>Maximum Maturity</b>	<b>Maximum % or \$ Limit of Portfolio</b>	<b>Issuer Concentration % Limit</b>	<b>Minimum Credit Quality</b>	<b>Additional Requirements</b>
<b>Local Agency Bonds, Notes, and Warrants</b>						
Bonds, notes, warrants, or other evidences of indebtedness of a local agency within this state, including bonds payable solely out of the revenues from a revenue-producing property owned, controlled, or operated by the local agency, or by a department, board, agency, or authority of the local agency including Stanislaus County.	53601 (a)(e)	5 years	None	None	None	None
<b>U.S. Treasury Obligations</b>						
United States Treasury notes, bonds, bills, or certificates of indebtedness, or those for which the faith and credit of the United States are pledged for the payment of principal and interest.	53601 (b)	5 years	None	None	None	None
<b>California State Registered Warrants, Treasury Notes, and Bonds</b>						
Registered State warrants or Treasury notes or bonds of this State, including bonds payable solely out of the revenues from a revenue-producing property owned, controlled, or operated by the State or by a department, board, agency, or authority of the State.	53601 (c)	5 years	None	None	None	None

Authorized Investments	Authorized by CA Gov Code	Maximum Maturity	Maximum % or \$ Limit of Portfolio	Issuer Concentration % Limit	Minimum Credit Quality	Additional Requirements
<b>State Registered Treasury Notes and Bonds</b>						
Registered Treasury notes or bonds of any of the other 49 states in addition to California, including bonds payable solely out of the revenues from a revenue-producing property owned, controlled, or operated by a State or by a department, board, agency, or authority of any of the other 49 states, in addition to California.	53601 (d)	5 years	None	None	None	None
<b>U.S. Agency Obligations</b>						
Federal agency or United States government-sponsored enterprise obligations, participations, or other instruments, including those issued by or fully guaranteed as to principal and interest by federal agencies or United States government-sponsored enterprises.	53601 (f), 53601.6	5 years	None	None	None	None
<b>Bankers' Acceptances</b>						
Bankers' acceptances otherwise known as bills of exchange or time drafts that are drawn on and accepted by a commercial bank.	53601 (g)	180 days	40%	30%	None	None

Authorized Investments	Authorized by CA Gov Code	Maximum Maturity	Maximum % or \$ Limit of Portfolio	Issuer Concentration % Limit	Minimum Credit Quality	Additional Requirements
<b>Commercial Paper</b>						
Commercial paper of "prime" quality only. The entity that issues the commercial paper shall meet all of the following conditions: (A) Is organized and operating in the United States as a general corporation. (B) Has total assets in excess of five hundred million dollars (\$500,000,000). (C) Has debt other than commercial paper, if any, that is rated in a rating category of "A" or its equivalent or higher by an NRSRO.	53601 (h), 53601.2, 53635 (a)	270 days	40%	10%	"A-1" by S&P or equivalent by an NRSRO	Must not exceed 10% of the outstanding commercial paper of one issuer, commercial paper must be 3(a)3 only. 144A commercial paper is not allowed. NRSRO is a nationally recognized statistical rating organization.
<b>Negotiable Certificates of Deposit</b>						
Negotiable certificates of deposit issued by a Nationally- or State-Chartered Bank, or by a Federally- or State-Licensed branch of a foreign bank. NCD's are highly liquid and actively traded in the secondary market.	53601 (i), 53638	5 years	30%	10%	None	The deposit shall not exceed the shareholder's equity of any depository bank.
<b>Certificates of Deposit (Non-Negotiable)</b>						
Certificates of deposit issued by a nationally or state-chartered bank which are 110% collateralized by the institution with government securities.	53635, 53635.2, 53635.8, 53636, 53637, 53638, 53641	5 years	None	10%	None	The deposit shall not exceed the shareholder's equity of any depository bank.

Authorized Investments	Authorized by CA Gov Code	Maximum Maturity	Maximum % or \$ Limit of Portfolio	Issuer Concentration % Limit	Minimum Credit Quality	Additional Requirements
<b>Repurchase Agreement</b>						
Repurchase Agreement for any security authorized by government code 53601 with an approved broker/dealer and a third-party custodial bank. Signed Master Repurchase Agreement is required.	53601 (j)	1 year	None	None	None	The market value of the agreement's underlying securities shall be valued at 102% or greater. Reverse repurchase agreements are prohibited.
<b>Medium-Term and Corporate Notes</b>						
Debt securities issued by corporate or depository institutions operating or licensed in the United States with a maximum remaining maturity of five years or less.	53601 (k)	5 years	30%	10%	"A" by S&P or equivalent by an NRSRO	Notes purchased at par or a discount and payable at par or greater are preferred. Private placement notes are prohibited.
<b>Mutual Funds (Beneficial Interest)</b>						
Shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies that invest in the securities and obligations as authorized by Government Code 53601 (a) to (l) inclusive and have assets under management in excess of \$500 million.	53601 (l)(1)	N/A	20%	10%	See Additional Requirements	Mutual Fund must receive highest rating by not less than two NRSROs or have an investment advisor registered or exempt from registration with the Securities & Exchange Commission (SEC) with at least five years' experience investing in instruments authorized by Sections 53601 and 53635 with assets under management in excess of \$500 million dollars.



Authorized Investments	Authorized by CA Gov Code	Maximum Maturity	Maximum % or \$ Limit of Portfolio	Issuer Concentration % Limit	Minimum Credit Quality	Additional Requirements
<b>Money Market Mutual Funds (Beneficial Interest)</b>						
Shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies that are money market funds registered with the SEC under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. Sec 80a-1 et seq.)	53601 (l)(2)	N/A	20%	None	See Additional Requirements	Money Market Mutual Fund must receive highest rating by not less than two NRSROs or have an investment advisor registered or exempt from registration with the SEC with at least five years' experience investing in money market mutual funds with assets under management in excess of \$500 million dollars.
<b>California Asset Management Program (CAMP)</b>						
CAMP is a California Joint Powers Authority established in 1989 for public agencies to jointly exercise their common power to invest surplus funds.	53601 (p)	N/A	None	N/A	None	To be used for reserves and liquidity
<b>Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)</b>						
LAIF is a voluntary program created by statute in 1977 as an investment alternative for California's local governments and special districts.	16429.1 (b)	N/A	\$75 million or as approved by the State Treasurer	N/A	None	To be used for reserves and liquidity

Authorized Investments	Authorized by CA Gov Code	Maximum Maturity	Maximum % or \$ Limit of Portfolio	Issuer Concentration % Limit	Minimum Credit Quality	Additional Requirements
<b>Supranational Bonds and Notes</b>						
Obligations issued or unconditionally guaranteed by the International Bank for Reconstruction and Development (IBRD), International Finance Corporation (IFC) and Inter-American Development Bank (IADB) and eligible for purchase and sale in the United States.	53601 (q)	5 years	30%	None	"AA" by S&P or equivalent by an NRSRO	None

## ATTACHMENT II – GLOSSARY

**AGENCIES:** Federal agency securities and/or Government-sponsored enterprises.

**BANKERS' ACCEPTANCE (BA):** A draft or bill of exchange accepted by a bank or trust company. The accepting institution guarantees payment of the bill, as well as the issuer.

**BROKER:** A broker brings buyers and sellers together for a commission.

**CERTIFICATE OF DEPOSIT (CD):** A time deposit with a specific maturity evidenced by a Certificate. Large-denomination CD's are typically negotiable.

**COLLATERAL:** Securities, evidence of deposit or other property, which a borrower pledges to secure repayment of a loan. Also refers to securities pledged by a bank to secure deposits of public monies.

**COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT (CAFR):** The official annual report of the (*entity*). It includes five combined statements for each individual fund and account group prepared in conformity with GAAP. It also includes supporting schedules necessary to demonstrate compliance with finance-related legal and contractual provisions, extensive introductory material, and a detailed Statistical Section.

**COUPON:** (a) The annual rate of interest that a bond's issuer promises to pay the bondholder on the bond's face value. (b) A certificate attached to a bond evidencing interest due on a payment date.

**DEALER:** A dealer, as opposed to a broker, acts as a principal in all transactions, buying and selling for his own account.

**DELIVERY VERSUS PAYMENT:** There are two methods of delivery of securities: delivery versus payment and delivery versus receipt. Delivery versus payment is delivery of securities with an exchange of money for the securities. Delivery versus receipt is delivery of securities with an exchange of a signed receipt for the securities.

**DERIVATIVES:** (1) Financial instruments whose return profile is linked to, or derived from, the movement of one or more underlying index or security, and may include a leveraging factor, or (2) financial contracts based upon notional amounts whose value is derived from an underlying index or security (interest rates, foreign exchange rates, equities or commodities).

**DISCOUNT:** The difference between the cost price of a security and its maturity when quoted at lower than face value. A security selling below original offering price shortly after sale also is considered to be at a discount.

**DISCOUNT SECURITIES:** Non-interest-bearing money market instruments that are issued at a discount and redeemed at maturity for full face value (*e.g., U.S. Treasury Bills.*)

**DIVERSIFICATION:** Dividing investment funds among a variety of securities offering independent returns.

**DURATION:** A measure of the sensitivity of the price (the value of principal) of a fixed-income investment to a change in interest rates. Duration is expressed as a number of years.

**FEDERAL AGENCIES:** Agencies of the Federal government set up to supply credit to various classes of institutions and individuals, e.g., S&L's, small business firms, students, farmers, farm cooperatives, and exporters.

**FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANKS (FHLB):** Government sponsored wholesale banks (currently 12 regional banks), which lend funds and provide correspondent banking services to member commercial banks,

thrift institutions, credit unions and insurance companies. The mission of the FHLBs is to liquefy the housing related assets of its members who must purchase stock in their district Bank.

**FEDERAL NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION (FNMA):** FNMA, like GNMA was chartered under the Federal National Mortgage Association Act in 1938. FNMA is a federal corporation working under the auspices of the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). It is the largest single provider of residential mortgage funds in the United States. Fannie Mae, as the corporation is called, is a private stockholder-owned corporation. The corporation's purchases include a variety of adjustable mortgages and second loans, in addition to fixed-rate mortgages. FNMA's securities are also highly liquid and are widely accepted. FNMA assumes and guarantees that all security holders will receive timely payment of principal and interest.

**GOVERNMENT NATIONAL MORTGAGE ASSOCIATION (GNMA or Ginnie Mae):** Securities influencing the volume of bank credit guaranteed by GNMA and issued by mortgage bankers, commercial banks, savings and loan associations, and other institutions. Security holder is protected by full faith and credit of the U.S. Government. Ginnie Mae securities are backed by the FHA, VA or FHA mortgages. The term "pass-throughs" is often used to describe Ginnie Maes.

**LIQUIDITY:** A liquid asset is one that can be converted easily and rapidly into cash without a substantial loss of value. In the money market, a security is said to be liquid if the spread between bid and asked prices is narrow and reasonable size can be done at those quotes.

**LOCAL GOVERNMENT INVESTMENT POOL (LGIP):** The aggregate of all funds from political subdivisions that are placed in the custody of the State Treasurer for investment and reinvestment.

**MARKET VALUE:** The price at which a security is trading and could presumably be purchased or sold.

**MASTER REPURCHASE AGREEMENT:** A written contract covering all future transactions between the parties to repurchase—reverse repurchase agreements that establishes each party's rights in the transactions. A master agreement will often specify, among other things, the right of the buyer-lender to liquidate the underlying securities in the event of default by the seller borrower.

**MATURITY:** The date upon which the principal or stated value of an investment becomes due and payable.

**MONEY MARKET:** The market in which short-term debt instruments (bills, commercial paper, bankers' acceptances, etc.) are issued and traded.

**MUTUAL FUND:** An investment company that pools cash and is able to invest in a variety of securities, including fixed-income securities and money market instruments, as outlined in the fund's prospectus.

**NRSRO:** National Recognized Statistical Rating Organization; current NRSROs include: A.M. Best Rating Services, Inc., DBRS, Inc., Egan-Jones Ratings Co., Fitch Ratings, Inc., HR Ratings de México, S.A. de C.V., Japan Credit Rating Agency, Ltd., Kroll Bond Rating Agency, Inc., Moody's Investors Service, Inc., & S&P Global Ratings.

**PORTFOLIO:** Collection of securities held by an investor.

**PRIMARY DEALER:** A group of government securities dealers who submit daily reports of market activity and positions and monthly financial statements to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York and are subject to its informal oversight. Primary dealers include Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC)-registered securities broker-dealers, banks, and a few unregulated firms.

**PRUDENT PERSON RULE:** An investment standard. In some states the law requires that a fiduciary, such as a trustee, may invest money only in a list of securities selected by the custody state—the so-called legal list. In other states the trustee may invest in a security if it is one which would be bought by a prudent person of discretion and intelligence who is seeking a reasonable income and preservation of

capital.

**RATE OF RETURN:** The yield obtainable on a security based on its purchase price or its current market price. This may be the amortized yield to maturity on a bond the current income return.

**REPURCHASE AGREEMENT (REPO):** A holder of securities sells these securities to an investor with an agreement to repurchase them at a fixed price on a fixed date. The security “buyer” in effect lends the “seller” money for the period of the agreement, and the terms of the agreement are structured to compensate the buyer for this.

**REVERSE REPURCHASE AGREEMENT (REVERSE REPO):** Reverse repurchase agreements are forms of short-term lending and borrowing using bonds or securities as collateral.

**RULE G-37 OF THE MUNICIPAL SECURITIES RULEMAKING BOARD (MSRB):** Federal regulations to sever any connection between the making of political contributions and the awarding of municipal securities business.

**SAFEKEEPING:** A service to customers rendered by banks for a fee whereby securities and valuables of all types and descriptions are held in the bank’s vaults for protection.

**SECONDARY MARKET:** A market made for the purchase and sale of outstanding issues following the initial distribution.

**SECURITIES & EXCHANGE COMMISSION (SEC):** Agency created by Congress to protect investors in securities transactions by administering securities legislation.

**SEC RULE 15(C)3-1:** See Uniform Net Capital Rule.

**STRUCTURED NOTES:** Notes issued by Government Sponsored Enterprises (FHLB, FNMA, SLMA, etc.) and Corporations, which have imbedded options (e.g., call features, step-up coupons, floating rate coupons, derivative-based returns) into their debt structure. Their market performance is impacted by the fluctuation of interest rates, the volatility of the imbedded options and shifts in the shape of the yield curve.

**TREASURY BILLS:** A non-interest-bearing discount security issued by the U.S. Treasury to finance the national debt. Most bills are issued to mature in three months, six months, or one year.

**TREASURY BONDS:** Long-term coupon-bearing U.S. Treasury securities issued as direct obligations of the U.S. Government and having initial maturities of more than 10 years.

**TREASURY NOTES:** Medium-term coupon-bearing U.S. Treasury securities issued as direct obligations of the U.S. Government and having initial maturities from two to 10 years.

**UNIFORM NET CAPITAL RULE:** Securities and Exchange Commission requirement that member firms as well as nonmember broker-dealers in securities maintain a maximum ratio of indebtedness to liquid capital of 15 to 1; also called net capital rule and net capital ratio. Indebtedness covers all money owed to a firm, including margin loans and commitments to purchase securities, one reason new public issues are spread among members of underwriting syndicates. Liquid capital includes cash and assets easily converted into cash.

**YIELD:** The annual rate of income returned on an investment, expressed as a percentage. (a) **INCOME YIELD** is obtained by dividing the current dollar income by the current market price for the security. (b) **NET YIELD** or **YIELD TO MATURITY** is the current income yield minus any premium above par or plus any discount from par in purchase price, with the adjustment spread over the period from the date of purchase to the date of maturity of the bond.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

## APPENDIX F

### BOOK-ENTRY ONLY SYSTEM

*The information in this section concerning DTC and DTC's book-entry system has been obtained from sources that the District believes to be reliable, but the District takes no responsibility for the accuracy or completeness thereof. The District cannot and does not give any assurances that DTC, DTC Direct Participants or Indirect Participants will distribute to the Beneficial Owners (a) payments of interest, principal or premium, if any, with respect to the Bonds, (b) Bonds representing ownership interest in or other confirmation or ownership interest in the Bonds, or (c) prepayment or other notices sent to DTC or Cede & Co., its nominee, as the registered owner of the Bonds, or that they will so do on a timely basis or that DTC, DTC Direct Participants or DTC Indirect Participants will act in the manner described in this Official Statement. The current "Rules" applicable to DTC are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the current "Procedure" of DTC to be followed in dealing with DTC Direct or Indirect Participants are on file with DTC.*

#### **General**

The Depository Trust Company ("DTC"), New York, New York, will act as securities depository for the Bonds. The Bonds will be issued as fully-registered securities registered in the name of Cede & Co. (DTC's partnership nominee) or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. One fully-registered Bond will be issued for each maturity of the Bonds, in the aggregate principal amount of such maturity, and will be deposited with DTC.

DTC, the world's largest depository, is a limited-purpose trust company organized under the New York Banking Law, a "banking organization" within the meaning of the New York Banking Law, a member of the Federal Reserve System, a "clearing corporation" within the meaning of the New York Uniform Commercial Code, and a "clearing agency" registered pursuant to the provisions of Section 17A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. DTC holds and provides asset servicing for over 3.5 million issues of U.S. and non-U.S. equity issues, corporate and municipal debt issues, and money market instruments (from over 100 countries) that DTC's participants ("Direct Participants") deposit with DTC. DTC also facilitates the post-trade settlement among Direct Participants of sales and other securities transactions in deposited securities through electronic computerized book-entry transfers and pledges between Direct Participants' accounts. This eliminates the need for physical movement of securities certificates. Direct Participants include both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, clearing corporations, and certain other organizations. DTC is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Depository Trust & Clearing Corporation ("DTCC"). DTCC is the holding company for DTC, National Securities Clearing Corporation, and Fixed Income Clearing Corporation, all of which are registered clearing agencies. DTCC is owned by the users of its regulated subsidiaries. Access to the DTC system is also available to others such as both U.S. and non-U.S. securities brokers and dealers, banks, trust companies, and clearing corporations that clear through or maintain a custodial relationship with a Direct Participant, either directly or indirectly ("Indirect Participants"). DTC has a Standard & Poor's rating of AA+. The DTC Rules applicable to its respective Participants are on file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. More information about DTC can be found at [www.dtcc.com](http://www.dtcc.com) and [www.dtc.org](http://www.dtc.org). *The foregoing internet addresses are included for reference only, and the information on these internet sites is not incorporated by reference herein.*

Purchases of Bonds under the DTC system must be made by or through Direct Participants, which will receive a credit for the Bonds on DTC's records. The ownership interest of each actual purchaser of each Bond ("Beneficial Owner") is in turn to be recorded on the Direct and Indirect

Participants' records. Beneficial Owners will not receive written confirmation from DTC of their purchase. Beneficial Owners are, however, expected to receive written confirmations providing details of the transaction, as well as periodic statements of their holdings, from the Direct or Indirect Participant through which the Beneficial Owner entered into the transaction. Transfers of ownership interests in the Bonds are to be accomplished by entries made on the books of Direct and Indirect Participants acting on behalf of Beneficial Owners. Beneficial Owners will not receive certificates representing their ownership interests in Bonds, except in the event that use of the book-entry system for the Bonds is discontinued.

To facilitate subsequent transfers, all Bonds deposited by Direct Participants with DTC are registered in the name of DTC's partnership nominee, Cede & Co., or such other name as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. The deposit of Bonds with DTC and their registration in the name of Cede & Co. or such other DTC nominee do not effect any change in beneficial ownership. DTC has no knowledge of the actual Beneficial Owners of the Bonds; DTC's records reflect only the identity of the Direct Participants to whose accounts such Bonds are credited, which may or may not be the Beneficial Owners. The Direct and Indirect Participants will remain responsible for keeping account of their holdings on behalf of their customers.

Conveyance of notices and other communications by DTC to Direct Participants, by Direct Participants to Indirect Participants, and by Direct Participants and Indirect Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by arrangements among them, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time.

Redemption notices shall be sent to DTC. If less than all of the Bonds within an issue are being redeemed, DTC's practice is to determine by lot the amount of the interest of each Direct Participant in such issue to be redeemed.

Neither DTC nor Cede & Co. (nor any other DTC nominee) will consent or vote with respect to Bonds unless authorized by a Direct Participant in accordance with DTC's MMI Procedures. Under its usual procedures, DTC mails an Omnibus Proxy to the District (or the Paying Agent on behalf thereof) as soon as possible after the Record Date. The Omnibus Proxy assigns Cede & Co.'s consenting or voting rights to those Direct Participants to whose accounts Bonds are credited on the Record Date (identified in a listing attached to the Omnibus Proxy).

Principal, premium, if any, and interest payments on the Bonds will be made to Cede & Co., or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC. DTC's practice is to credit Direct Participants' accounts upon DTC's receipt of funds and corresponding detail information from the District or Paying Agent, on payable date in accordance with their respective holdings shown on DTC's records. Payments by Participants to Beneficial Owners will be governed by standing instructions and customary practices, as is the case with securities held for the accounts of customers in bearer form or registered in "street name," and will be the responsibility of such Participant and not of DTC nor its nominee, Paying Agent, or the District, subject to any statutory or regulatory requirements as may be in effect from time to time. Payment of principal, premium, if any, and interest payments to Cede & Co. (or such other nominee as may be requested by an authorized representative of DTC) is the responsibility of the District or Paying Agent, disbursement of such payments to Direct Participants will be the responsibility of DTC, and disbursement of such payments to the Beneficial Owners will be the responsibility of Direct and Indirect Participants.

DTC may discontinue providing its services as depository with respect to the Bonds at any time by giving reasonable notice to the District or Paying Agent. Under such circumstances, in the event that a successor depository is not obtained, Bonds are required to be printed and delivered.



The District may decide to discontinue use of the system of book-entry transfers through DTC (or a successor securities depository). Discontinuance of use of the system of book-entry transfers through DTC may require the approval of DTC Participants under DTC's operational arrangements. In that event, printed certificates for the Bonds will be printed and delivered.

The information in this section concerning DTC and DTC's book-entry system has been obtained from sources that the District believes to be reliable, but the District takes no responsibility for the accuracy thereof.

### **Discontinuation of Book-Entry Only System; Payment to Beneficial Owners**

In the event that the book-entry system described above is no longer used with respect to the Bonds, the following provisions will govern the payment, transfer and exchange of the Bonds.

The principal of the Bonds and any premium and interest upon the redemption thereof prior to the maturity will be payable in lawful money of the United States of America upon presentation and surrender of the Bonds at the office of the Paying Agent, initially located in Dallas, Texas. Interest on the Bonds will be paid by the Paying Agent by check or draft mailed to the person whose name appears on the registration books of the Paying Agent as the registered owner, and to that person's address appearing on the registration books as of the close of business on the Record Date. At the written request of any registered owner of at least \$1,000,000 in aggregate principal, payments shall be wired to a bank and account number on file with the Paying Agent as of the Record Date.

Any Bond may be exchanged for Bonds of any authorized denomination upon presentation and surrender at the office of the Paying Agent, initially located in Dallas, Texas, together with a request for exchange signed by the registered owner or by a person legally empowered to do so in a form satisfactory to the Paying Agent. A Bond may be transferred only on the Bond registration books upon presentation and surrender of the Bond at such office of the Paying Agent together with an assignment executed by the registered owner or by a person legally empowered to do so in a form satisfactory to the Paying Agent. Upon exchange or transfer, the Paying Agent shall complete, authenticate and deliver a new Bond or Bonds of any authorized denomination or denominations requested by the owner equal in the aggregate to the unmatured principal amount of the Bond surrendered and bearing interest at the same rate and maturing on the same date.

Neither the District nor the Paying Agent will be required to exchange or transfer any Bond during the period from the Record Date through the next Interest Payment Date.

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

**APPENDIX G**

**SPECIMEN MUNICIPAL BOND INSURANCE POLICY**

[THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]



## MUNICIPAL BOND INSURANCE POLICY

ISSUER:

Policy No: -N

BONDS: \$ in aggregate principal amount of

Effective Date:

Premium: \$

ASSURED GUARANTY MUNICIPAL CORP. ("AGM"), for consideration received, hereby UNCONDITIONALLY AND IRREVOCABLY agrees to pay to the trustee (the "Trustee") or paying agent (the "Paying Agent") (as set forth in the documentation providing for the issuance of and securing the Bonds) for the Bonds, for the benefit of the Owners or, at the election of AGM, directly to each Owner, subject only to the terms of this Policy (which includes each endorsement hereto), that portion of the principal of and interest on the Bonds that shall become Due for Payment but shall be unpaid by reason of Nonpayment by the Issuer.

On the later of the day on which such principal and interest becomes Due for Payment or the Business Day next following the Business Day on which AGM shall have received Notice of Nonpayment, AGM will disburse to or for the benefit of each Owner of a Bond the face amount of principal of and interest on the Bond that is then Due for Payment but is then unpaid by reason of Nonpayment by the Issuer, but only upon receipt by AGM, in a form reasonably satisfactory to it, of (a) evidence of the Owner's right to receive payment of the principal or interest then Due for Payment and (b) evidence, including any appropriate instruments of assignment, that all of the Owner's rights with respect to payment of such principal or interest that is Due for Payment shall thereupon vest in AGM. A Notice of Nonpayment will be deemed received on a given Business Day if it is received prior to 1:00 p.m. (New York time) on such Business Day; otherwise, it will be deemed received on the next Business Day. If any Notice of Nonpayment received by AGM is incomplete, it shall be deemed not to have been received by AGM for purposes of the preceding sentence and AGM shall promptly so advise the Trustee, Paying Agent or Owner, as appropriate, who may submit an amended Notice of Nonpayment. Upon disbursement in respect of a Bond, AGM shall become the owner of the Bond, any appurtenant coupon to the Bond or right to receipt of payment of principal of or interest on the Bond and shall be fully subrogated to the rights of the Owner, including the Owner's right to receive payments under the Bond, to the extent of any payment by AGM hereunder. Payment by AGM to the Trustee or Paying Agent for the benefit of the Owners shall, to the extent thereof, discharge the obligation of AGM under this Policy.

Except to the extent expressly modified by an endorsement hereto, the following terms shall have the meanings specified for all purposes of this Policy. "Business Day" means any day other than (a) a Saturday or Sunday or (b) a day on which banking institutions in the State of New York or the Insurer's Fiscal Agent are authorized or required by law or executive order to remain closed. "Due for Payment" means (a) when referring to the principal of a Bond, payable on the stated maturity date thereof or the date on which the same shall have been duly called for mandatory sinking fund redemption and does not refer to any earlier date on which payment is due by reason of call for redemption (other than by mandatory sinking fund redemption), acceleration or other advancement of maturity unless AGM shall elect, in its sole discretion, to pay such principal due upon such acceleration together with any accrued interest to the date of acceleration and (b) when referring to interest on a Bond, payable on the stated date for payment of interest. "Nonpayment" means, in respect of a Bond, the failure of the Issuer to have provided sufficient funds to the Trustee or, if there is no Trustee, to the Paying Agent for payment in full of all principal and interest that is Due for Payment on such Bond. "Nonpayment" shall also include, in respect of a Bond, any payment of principal or interest that is Due for Payment made to an Owner by or on behalf of the Issuer which has been recovered from such Owner pursuant to the

United States Bankruptcy Code by a trustee in bankruptcy in accordance with a final, nonappealable order of a court having competent jurisdiction. "Notice" means telephonic or telecopied notice, subsequently confirmed in a signed writing, or written notice by registered or certified mail, from an Owner, the Trustee or the Paying Agent to AGM which notice shall specify (a) the person or entity making the claim, (b) the Policy Number, (c) the claimed amount and (d) the date such claimed amount became Due for Payment. "Owner" means, in respect of a Bond, the person or entity who, at the time of Nonpayment, is entitled under the terms of such Bond to payment thereof, except that "Owner" shall not include the Issuer or any person or entity whose direct or indirect obligation constitutes the underlying security for the Bonds.

AGM may appoint a fiscal agent (the "Insurer's Fiscal Agent") for purposes of this Policy by giving written notice to the Trustee and the Paying Agent specifying the name and notice address of the Insurer's Fiscal Agent. From and after the date of receipt of such notice by the Trustee and the Paying Agent, (a) copies of all notices required to be delivered to AGM pursuant to this Policy shall be simultaneously delivered to the Insurer's Fiscal Agent and to AGM and shall not be deemed received until received by both and (b) all payments required to be made by AGM under this Policy may be made directly by AGM or by the Insurer's Fiscal Agent on behalf of AGM. The Insurer's Fiscal Agent is the agent of AGM only and the Insurer's Fiscal Agent shall in no event be liable to any Owner for any act of the Insurer's Fiscal Agent or any failure of AGM to deposit or cause to be deposited sufficient funds to make payments due under this Policy.

To the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, AGM agrees not to assert, and hereby waives, only for the benefit of each Owner, all rights (whether by counterclaim, setoff or otherwise) and defenses (including, without limitation, the defense of fraud), whether acquired by subrogation, assignment or otherwise, to the extent that such rights and defenses may be available to AGM to avoid payment of its obligations under this Policy in accordance with the express provisions of this Policy.

This Policy sets forth in full the undertaking of AGM, and shall not be modified, altered or affected by any other agreement or instrument, including any modification or amendment thereto. Except to the extent expressly modified by an endorsement hereto, (a) any premium paid in respect of this Policy is nonrefundable for any reason whatsoever, including payment, or provision being made for payment, of the Bonds prior to maturity and (b) this Policy may not be canceled or revoked. THIS POLICY IS NOT COVERED BY THE PROPERTY/CASUALTY INSURANCE SECURITY FUND SPECIFIED IN ARTICLE 76 OF THE NEW YORK INSURANCE LAW.

In witness whereof, ASSURED GUARANTY MUNICIPAL CORP. has caused this Policy to be executed on its behalf by its Authorized Officer.

ASSURED GUARANTY MUNICIPAL CORP.

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Authorized Officer

A subsidiary of Assured Guaranty Municipal Holdings Inc.  
31 West 52nd Street, New York, N.Y. 10019  
(212) 974-0100



